

number or proportion of workers separated at the subject firm. The request for reconsideration did not include any supporting documents. The Department contacted the worker for information regarding the number or proportion of workers separated from the subject firm, but did not receive any additional information.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination. Based on these findings, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 13th day of March, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-06679 Filed 3-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,371]

T-Mobile USA, Inc., Core Fault Isolation Team, Engineering Division, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On May 8, 2013, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of T-Mobile USA, Inc., Core Fault Isolation Team, Engineering Division, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2013 (78 FR 31592). The subject workers are engaged in activities related to the supply of technical trouble-shooting services for T-Mobile USA, Inc. customers. T-Mobile USA, Inc. is an international mobile communications company.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on no shift in services and no company or customer imports of like or directly competitive services.

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioners asserted that the subject firm had acquired from a foreign country services like or directly competitive with those provided by the workers at the subject firm and that the subject workers provided value-added services to a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (T-Mobile, Allentown, Pennsylvania; TA-W-81,520). Specifically, the request states "our separations were in fact attributable to the shift of services to a foreign country by T-Mobile USA."

In support of the assertion that the workers are secondarily-affected, the request states "our team was created to provide this location [Allentown, Pennsylvania call center] with a value added service by providing the bridge for the communication gap between T-Mobile USA's Allentown technical support group and T-Mobile USA's engineering teams."

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department carefully reviewed previously-submitted information, reviewed the certification of TA-W-81,520, and directed the subject firm to address the assertions in the request for reconsideration.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation revealed that the Core Fault Isolation Team received work orders from various call centers (not only the Allentown or Bethlehem, Pennsylvania centers), operation centers, and from other internal and external customers.

Based on information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department affirms that the subject firm did not import from another country the supply of technical trouble-shooting services; that the subject firm did not shift to a foreign country or acquire from a foreign country the supply of services like or directly competitive with those

provided by the workers at the subject firm; that the subject workers do not qualify as Downstream Producers because they did not supply value-added services, as defined by the Trade Act, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that the requirements of Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272, have not been met and, therefore, affirm the negative determination applicable to workers and former workers of T-Mobile USA, Inc., Core Fault Isolation Team, Engineering Division, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania, in accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273.

Signed in Washington, DC on this 12th day of March, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-06678 Filed 3-25-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,184]

Redflex Traffic Systems, Inc., North American Division, A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of Redflex Holdings, Ltd., Including On-Site Leased Workers From Iconma, BPS Staffing, AZ Tech Finder, and Volt Workforce Solutions, Phoenix, Arizona; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated February 18, 2014, a former worker requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on November 25, 2013 and the Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on February 13, 2014 (79 FR 8736). Workers at the subject firm are engaged in employment related to the installation, maintenance, and operation services of traffic enforcement systems.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not shift to, or acquire from, a foreign country the services provided by the workers of the subject firm; further, neither the subject firm nor its customers imported services like or