

146. Zibo Wuzhou Tanshun Carbon Co., Ltd.

Companies that are now part of the PRC entity because they did not demonstrate in this review that they are entitled to a separate rate.

1. Fushun Jinly Petrochemical Carbon Co., Ltd.
2. Fangda Lanzhou Carbon Joint Stock Company Co., Ltd.
3. Jilin Carbon Graphite Material Co., Ltd.
4. Lanzhou Carbon Co., Ltd.
5. Lanzhou Carbon Import & Export Corp.
6. Lanzhou Hailong New Material Co.
7. Lanzhou Hailong Technology
8. Liaoning Fangda Group Industrial Co., Ltd.
9. Sinosteel Anhui Co., Ltd.
10. Sinosteel Corp.
11. Sinosteel Jilin Carbon Plant
12. Sinosteel Jilin Carbon Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd.
13. Sinosteel Sichuan Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2014-06399 Filed 3-21-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-351-825]

#### Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Brazil. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Villares Metals S.A. (Villares). We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has not been sold at less than normal value.<sup>1</sup> Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 24, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sandra Dreisonstok or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)

482-0768, and (202) 482-1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is SSB. The SSB subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 7222.10.00, 7222.11.00, 7222.19.00, 7222.20.00, 7222.30.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes.<sup>2</sup> The written description is dispositive.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export price is calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

##### Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for Villares for the period February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013.

<sup>2</sup> A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil" dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice.

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c), interested parties may submit case briefs not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.<sup>3</sup> Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>4</sup>

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically *via* IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>5</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case briefs. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, the Department shall determine and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If Villares' weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* in the final results of this review, we will calculate an importer-specific assessment rate on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of the sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). If Villares' weighted-average dumping margin continues to be zero or *de minimis* in the final results

<sup>3</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d).

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>5</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>1</sup> The deadline for the preliminary results of this review was March 17, 2014. Due to the closure of the Federal Government in Washington, DC on March 17, 2014, the Department reached this determination on the next business day (*i.e.*, March 18, 2014). *See Notice of Clarification: Application of "Next Business Day" Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

of review, we will instruct CBP not to assess duties on any of its entries in accordance with the *Final Modification for Reviews*, i.e., “[w]here the weighted-average margin of dumping for the exporter is determined to be zero or *de minimis*, no antidumping duties will be assessed.”<sup>6</sup>

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Villares for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of SSB from Brazil entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Villares will be the rate established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 19.43 percent, the all-others rate established in the *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Bar From Brazil*, 59 FR 66914 (December 28, 1994). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

<sup>6</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 80102 (February 14, 2012).

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 18, 2014.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

- A. Summary
- B. Background
- C. Scope of the Order
- D. Discussion of the Methodology
  1. Comparisons to Normal Value
    - a. Determination of Comparison Method
    - b. Results of Differential Pricing Analysis
    - c. Sales Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade
    - d. Costs of Production for Certain Control Numbers
  2. Product Comparisons
  3. Date of Sale
  4. Constructed Export Price
  5. Normal Value
    - a. Home Market Viability as Comparison Market
    - b. Level of Trade
    - c. Cost of Production
      - (1) Calculation of Cost of Production
      - (2) Results of COP Test
    - d. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
- E. Currency Conversion
- F. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014-06392 Filed 3-21-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-570-893]

##### Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“Department”) is conducting an

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (“shrimp”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”), covering the period of review (“POR”) from February 1, 2012, through January 31, 2013. As discussed below, the Department preliminarily determines that Zhanjiang Newpro Foods Co., Ltd. (“Newpro”), and Hilltop International (“Hilltop”) failed to cooperate to the best of their ability in this review. Accordingly, the Department is finding Newpro and Hilltop are part of the PRC-wide entity to which we are applying adverse facts available (“AFA”), as discussed below. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* March 24, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kabir Archuletta, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2593.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain frozen warmwater shrimp.<sup>1</sup> The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40, 1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description, available in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, remains dispositive.

##### Tolling of Deadlines for Preliminary Determination

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, the Department exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from

<sup>1</sup> See the Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results for the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China, (“Preliminary Decision Memorandum”) from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with these results, for a complete description of the Scope of the Order.