

may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 31, 2013, based on a Complaint filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea, and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC of Richardson, Texas (collectively "Samsung"). 78 FR 6837-38 (Jan. 31, 2013). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless communications equipment and articles therein by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,782,749; 8,165,081; 8,208,438 ("the '438 patent"); 8,228,827; 6,617,929; 6,767,813 ("the '813 patent"); and 6,865,682. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Ericsson Inc. of Plano, Texas and Telefonaktiebolaget LM Ericsson of Stockholm, Sweden (collectively, "Ericsson"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. The Commission previously terminated the investigation with respect to the '813 patent and the '438 patent. Notice (July 12, 2013); Notice (Oct. 25, 2013).

On January 27, 2014, Samsung and Ericsson filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon a settlement agreement. On January 29, 2014, Samsung and Ericsson filed a supplement to their motion, attaching a revised public version of the Agreement. On January 30, 2014, the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed a response in support of the motion.

On February 10, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the joint motion complied with the requirements of section 210.21(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)) and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested terminations. The ALJ agreed with movants and the IA that granting

the motion would not be contrary to the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-05634 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Third Review)]

Persulfates From China; Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on March 1, 2013 (78 FR 13891, corrected 78 FR 14591, March 6, 2013) and determined on June 4, 2013, that it would conduct a full review (78 FR 35314, June 12, 2013). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on August 27, 2013 (78 FR 52969), revised on October 28, 2013 (78 FR 64244). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on January 16, 2014, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission completed and filed its determination in this review on March 10, 2014. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Publication 4456 (March 2014), entitled *Persulfates From China: Investigation No. 731-TA-749 (Third Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 10, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-05556 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-889]

Certain Wireless Devices, Including Mobile Phones and Tablets; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 10) granting a joint motion to terminate the above-referenced investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 5, 2013, based on a Complaint filed by Pragmatus Mobile, LLC of Alexandria, Virginia

(“Pragmatism”), as supplemented. 78 FR 47410–11 (Aug. 5, 2013). The Complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,149,124 and 8,466,795. The Complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s Notice of Investigation named as respondents Pantech Co., Ltd. of Seoul, Republic of Korea, and Pantech Wireless, Inc. of Atlanta, Georgia (collectively, “Pantech”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party.

On January 31, 2014, Pragmatism and Pantech filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based upon a settlement agreement. On February 10, 2014, the Commission investigative attorney (“IA”) filed a response in support of the motion.

On February 12, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The ALJ found that the joint motion complied with the requirements of section 210.21(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)) and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested terminations. The ALJ agreed with movants and the IA that granting the motion would not be contrary to the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 11, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014–05635 Filed 3–13–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure will

hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

DATES: April 22–23, 2014.

Time: 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: University of Texas School of Law, 727 East Dean Keeton Street, Austin, Texas 78705.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan C. Rose, Secretary and Chief Rules Officer, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502–1820.

Dated: March 11, 2014.

Jonathan C. Rose,

Secretary and Chief Rules Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–05715 Filed 3–13–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Meeting of the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Committee on Rules of Appellate Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation.

DATES: April 28–29, 2014.

Time: April 28, 2014—10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. April 29, 2014—8:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Seton Hall University School of Law, One Newark Center, Newark, New Jersey 07102.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan C. Rose, Secretary and Chief Rules Officer, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502–1820.

Dated: March 11, 2014.

Jonathan C. Rose,

Secretary and Chief Rules Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014–05714 Filed 3–13–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210–55–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB No. 1121–0292]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Existing Collection; Comments Requested: Extension and Revision of a Currently Approved Collection; Survey of Sexual Victimization (Formerly Known as the Survey of Sexual Violence)

ACTION: 30-Day Notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 79, Number 6, pages 1657–1658, on January 9, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until April 14, 2014. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Allen J. Beck, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531 (phone: 202–616–3277).

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

—Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or