

deliveries on the pipeline to provide up to an additional 158,000 dekatherms per day, all as more fully set forth in the application, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or call toll-free, (866) 208-3676 or TTY, (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Jacquelyne Rocan, Assistant General Counsel, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C., 1001 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas 77002, phone: (713) 420-4544, fax: (713) 420-1601, email: [Jacquelyne\\_Rocan@kindermorgan.com](mailto:Jacquelyne_Rocan@kindermorgan.com), or Richard Siegel, Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C., 1001 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas 77002, phone: (713) 420-5535, fax: (713) 420-1605, email: [Richard\\_Siegel@kindermorgan.com](mailto:Richard_Siegel@kindermorgan.com).

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18

CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email

[FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

*Comment Date:* March 28, 2014.

*Dated:* March 7, 2014.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-05621 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 14345-001]

#### Rock River Beach, Inc.; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission or FERC) regulations, 18 Code of Federal Regulations part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47879), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed Rock River Beach, Inc.'s application for an original license to continue operating the unlicensed Rock River Beach Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project No. 14345-001). The 8.0-kilowatt project is located on the Rock River in Alger County, Michigan. The project does not occupy any federal land.

Staff prepared an environmental assessment (EA), which analyzes the potential environmental effects of licensing the project and concludes that licensing the project, with appropriate protective measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at [www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov) using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free number at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, 202-502-8659. You may also register online at [www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp](http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp) to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to this or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice. The Commission strongly encourages

electronic filing. Please file the requested information using the Commission's eFiling system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. The first page of any filing should include docket number P-14345-001.

Dated: March 7, 2014.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-05627 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RD14-6-000]

#### Reliability Standards for Physical Security Measures; Notice of Designation of Commission Staff as Non-Decisional

With respect to an order issued by the Commission today in the above-captioned docket, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.2201(c)(3) (2013), the staff identified below from the Office of Electric Reliability are designated as non-decisional in deliberations by the Commission in this docket. Accordingly, these persons will not serve as advisors to the Commission or otherwise be involved in the decisional process in this proceeding. Likewise, as non-decisional staff, pursuant to 18 CFR 385.2201 (2013), they are prohibited from communicating with advisory staff concerning any deliberations in this docket.

The staff designated as non-decisional are:

Edward Franks

Regis Binder

David O'Connor

Mary Agnes Nimis

Justin Kelly

Andres Lopez

Dated: March 7, 2014.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2014-05628 Filed 3-13-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0978; FRL-9908-07-Region-9]

### Notice of Decision To Issue Clean Air Act PSD Permit for the Pio Pico Energy Center

**AGENCY:** United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 9.

**ACTION:** Notice of final agency action.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that EPA Region 9 issued a final permit decision for a Clean Air Act Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit to Pio Pico Energy Center, LLC (PPLLC) for the construction of the Pio Pico Energy Center (PPEC).

**DATES:** EPA Region 9 issued a final PSD permit decision for the PPEC on February 28, 2014. The PSD permit for the PPEC will become effective on April 7, 2014. Pursuant to section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7607(b)(1), judicial review of this final permit decision, to the extent it is available, may be sought by filing a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit within 60 days of March 14, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** Documents relevant to the above-referenced permit are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following address: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901. To arrange for viewing of these documents, call Lisa Beckham at (415) 972-3811.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa Beckham, Permits Office (Air-3), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, (415) 972-3811, [beckham.lisa@epa.gov](mailto:beckham.lisa@epa.gov). Key portions of the administrative record for this decision (including the final permit, all public comments, EPA's responses to the public comments, and additional supporting information) are available through a link at Region 9's Web site, [www.epa.gov/region09/air/permit/r9-permits-issued.html#psd](http://www.epa.gov/region09/air/permit/r9-permits-issued.html#psd), or at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (Docket ID # EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0978). Anyone who wishes to review the EPA Environmental Appeals Board (EAB or Board) decision described below or documents in the EAB's electronic docket for its decision related to this matter can obtain them at <http://www.epa.gov/eab/>.

**Notice of Final Action and Supplementary Information:** EPA Region 9 issued its final permit decision to PPLLC authorizing the construction and operation of the PPEC, PSD Permit No. SD 11-01, on February 28, 2014.

EPA Region 9 initially issued a final PSD permit decision to PPLLC for the PPEC on November 19, 2012. Three commenters filed petitions for review of the Region's November 19, 2012 PSD permit decision for the PPEC with EPA's EAB. On August 2, 2013, the Board issued a decision remanding in part and denying review in part of the Region's November 19, 2012 PSD permit decision for the PPEC. *See In re Pio Pico Energy Center PSD Permit No. SD 11-01*, PSD Appeal Nos. 12-04 through 12-06 (EAB, Aug. 2, 2013) (Order Remanding in Part and Denying Review in Part). The Board remanded to Region 9 the emission limits for particulate matter from the combustion turbines and directed Region 9 to prepare a revised Best Available Control Technology (BACT) analysis for particulate matter for the Project's combustion turbines in accordance with the Board's decision, including a new BACT determination, after consideration of all of the relevant information. The Board also directed Region 9 to reopen the public comment period to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on the revisions to its particulate matter BACT analysis. The EAB denied review of all other issues.

In addition, the Board's decision stated that once Region 9 issues a final permit decision following the public comment period required by the remand, the Region's final permit decision and the Board's decision in this matter would become final agency action subject to judicial review per 40 CFR 124.19(l). The Board's decision further stated that the Board was not requiring, and would not accept, an appeal to the Board of the final permit decision for the Project following remand in this case.

In response to the EAB's remand decision, Region 9 prepared a revised particulate matter BACT analysis and BACT determination for the emission limits for the Project's combustion turbines in accordance with the Board's order, and proposed revised permit conditions for such limits. In November of 2013, Region 9 issued a public notice seeking public comment on this revised analysis and these revised particulate matter permit conditions, and on December 17, 2013, Region 9 held a public hearing to receive such comment.

EPA has carefully reviewed each of the comments submitted and, after consideration of the expressed views of all commenters, the pertinent Federal statutes and regulations, and additional material relevant to the application and contained in our Administrative Record, EPA made a decision in accordance