Rockbridge, Smyth, Tazewell and Wythe, and the Cities of Bedford, Buena Vista, Covington, Danville, Galax, Lynchburg, Martinsville, Radford, Roanoke and Salem, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies' needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within/adjacent to the New River Valley Airport Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone to include all of the existing sites as "magnet" sites. The ASF allows for the possible exemption of one magnet site from the "sunset" time limits that generally apply to sites under the ASF, and the applicant proposes that Site 1 be so exempted. The application would have no impact on FTZ 238's previously authorized subzone.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Kathleen Boyce of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is May 5, 2014. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to May 19, 2014.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz. For further information, contact Kathleen Boyce at Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov or (202) 482–1346.

Dated: February 20, 2014.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014-04750 Filed 3-3-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket B-54-2012]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 143—West Sacramento, California; Application for Extended Production Authority; Mitsubishi Rayon Carbon Fiber and Composites, Inc. (formerly Grafil, Inc.), Subzone 143D; Opening of Comment Period on New Evidence

Production authority and subzone status were approved at the facilities of Mitsubishi Rayon Carbon Fiber and Composites, Inc. (MRCFC) for a period of five years, until May 7, 2014 (Board Order 1620, May 7, 2009; 74 FR 24798, 5/26/2009). The current application is requesting to extend indefinitely FTZ authority to produce carbon fiber from foreign-status polyacrylonitrile (PAN) precursor (B–54–2012, 77 FR 45575–44575, 8/1/2012).

On February 21, 2014, MRCFC made a submission to the FTZ Board that included new evidence in response to the examiner's preliminary recommendation for export only authority. Public comment is invited on MRCFC's new submission through April 3, 2014. Rebuttal comments may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period, until April 18, 2014. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at: Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 21013, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20230.

A copy of MRCFC's February 21, 2014, submission will be available for public inspection at the address above, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Diane Finver at *Diane.Finver@trade.gov* or (202) 482–1367.

Dated: February 20, 2014.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–04751 Filed 3–3–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board [B-92-2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 235—Lakewood, New Jersey; Authorization of Production Activity; Cosmetic Essence Innovations, LLC (Fragrance Bottling); Holmdel, New Jersey

On October 30, 2013, Cosmetic Essence Innovations, LLC submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board for its facility within FTZ 235—Site 8, in Holmdel, New Jersey.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (78 FR 66330, 11–5–2013). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: February 27, 2014.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2014–04749 Filed 3–3–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–570–851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: On November 21, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period February 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013. This review covers the PRC-

¹ See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Rescission In Part of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013, 78 FR 69817 (November 21, 2013) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

wide entity, which includes Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field), among other companies. The Department gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*, but we received no comments. Hence, these final results are unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*. The final dumping margin for this review is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Effective March 4, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Scott or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 21, 2013, the Department published the Preliminary Results of the instant review.² By virtue of its failure to respond to the Department's questionnaire, Blue Field failed to establish that it was separate from the PRC-wide entity.3 Consequently, the Department examined the PRC-wide entity, which included Blue Field, among other companies, for the Preliminary Results and assigned the entity a preliminary dumping margin of 308.33 percent.4 The dumping margin applied to the PRCwide entity was based on adverse facts available because the Department determined that an element of the entity, Blue Field, failed to act to the best of its ability in complying with the Department's request for information in this review and, consequently, significantly impeded the proceeding.5 We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results.6 We received no comments from interested parties.

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this antidumping order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species

Agaricus bisporus and Agaricus bitorquis. "Certain Preserved Mushrooms" refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) All fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms;" (3) Dried mushrooms; (4) Frozen mushrooms; and (5) "Marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.⁷

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, and 0711.51.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Final Determination as to the PRC-Wide Entity

As explained above, in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department found that the use of adverse facts available is warranted with respect to the PRC-wide entity.⁸

Also in the *Preliminary Results*, consistent with its practice,⁹ the Department stated its intent not to rescind the review for the following

exporters that remain a part of the PRCwide entity: (1) Ayecue (Liaocheng) Foodstuff Co., Ltd.; (2) China National Cereals, Oils & Foodstuffs Import & Export Corp.; (3) China Processed Food Import & Export Co.; (4) Dujiangyan Xingda Foodstuff Co., Ltd.; (5) Fujian Pinghe Baofeng Canned Foods; (6) Fujian Yuxing Fruits and Vegetables Foodstuffs Development Co., Ltd.; (7) Fujian Zishan Group Co., Ltd.; (8) Guangxi Eastwing Trading Co., Ltd.; (9) Inter-Foods (Dongshan) Co., Ltd.; (10) Longhai Guangfa Food Co., Ltd.; (11) Primera Harvest (Xiangfan) Co., Ltd.; (12) Shandong Fengyu Edible Fungus Corporation Ltd.; (13) Shandong Jiufa Edible Fungus Corporation, Ltd.; (14) Shandong Yinfeng Rare Fungus Corporation, Ltd.; (15) Sun Wave Trading Co., Ltd.; (16) Xiamen Greenland Import & Export Co., Ltd.; (17) Xiamen Gulong Import & Export Co., Ltd.; (18) Xiamen Jiahua Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd.; (19) Xiamen Longhuai Import & Export Co., Ltd.; (20) Zhangzhou Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd. (Zhangzhou Golden Banyan); 10 (21) Zhangzhou Long Mountain Foods Co., Ltd.; (22) Zhejiang Iceman Food Co., Ltd.; 11 and (23) Zhejiang Iceman Group Co., Ltd. We explained that, although the requests for review of these exporters were timely withdrawn, we would not rescind the review with respect to these exporters because the PRC-wide entity remains under review.12

After issuing the *Preliminary Results*, the Department received no comments

² *Id*.

³ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8-12.

⁴ Id.

⁵ Id.

⁶ See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 69819.

⁷ On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See "Recommendation Memorandum-Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, et al. for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China," dated June 19, 2000. On February 9, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld this decision. See Tak Fat v. United States, 396 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

⁸ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 8–12.
⁹ See, e.g., Small Diameter Graphite Electrodes
From the People's Republic of China: Final Results
of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012, 78 FR 55680, 55681 (September 11, 2013).

¹⁰ The Department considers Zhangzhou Golden Banyan to be distinct from another company with a similar name for which a review was originally requested, Fujian Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd. (Golden Banyan). In the administrative review covering the period February 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011, the Department considered Zhangzhou Golden Banyan to remain a part of the PRC-wide entity, while it calculated a separate rate for Golden Banyan. See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 77 FR 55808 (September 11, 2012). The record of this review does not contain any evidence that suggests these two companies should be considered a single entity. In the Preliminary Results, we rescinded this administrative review with respect to Golden Banyan because it has a separate rate and all review requests had been withdrawn for Golden Banyan. See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 69818.

¹¹The Department found that Zhejiang Iceman Food Co., Ltd. should be equated with Zhejiang Iceman Group Co., Ltd. See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 76 FR 70112 (November 10, 2011). The Court of International Trade upheld that finding. See Xiamen Int'l Trade & Indus. Co., Ltd. v. United States, No. 11–00411, 2013 WL 6728248, at *14–15 (Ct. Int'l Trade Dec. 20, 2013). The record of this review does not contain any evidence that contradicts this finding.

¹² See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 69818-19.

from interested parties, nor has it received any information that would cause it to revisit its preliminary determinations as to the PRC-wide entity. Therefore, for these final results, the Department continues to find that Blue Field and the other 23 exporters named in this section are part of the PRC-wide entity and that the use of adverse facts available is warranted with respect to the PRC-wide entity.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that Xiamen International Trade & Industrial Co., Ltd. (XITIC) and Zhangzhou Hongda Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Zhangzhou Hongda) did not have any reviewable transactions during the period of review (POR) because (1) XITIC and Zhangzhou Hongda submitted timely certifications of no shipments, entries, or sales of subject merchandise during the POR and (2) We did not receive any information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) indicating that there were reviewable transactions for XITIC or Zhangzhou Hongda during the POR.¹³ Consistent with the Department's assessment practice in non-market economy cases,14 we stated in the Preliminary Results that the Department would not rescind the review in these circumstances, but rather would complete the review with respect to XITIC and Zhangzhou Hongda and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.15

As stated above, we did not receive any comments on our *Preliminary Results*, nor have we received any information that would cause us to revisit our preliminary determinations as to no shipments. Accordingly, in these final results, we continue to determine that XITIC and Zhangzhou Hongda had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR.

Final Results of Review

The Department determined that the following dumping margin exists for the period February 1, 2012 through January 31, 2013:

Exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
PRC-wide entity ¹⁶	308.33

¹⁶The PRC-wide entity includes, among other exporters, Blue Field.

Assessment Rates

The Department determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. ¹⁷ The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For the PRC-wide entity, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on entries of subject merchandise at the PRC-wide rate of 308.33 percent.

Additionally, consistent with the Department's refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases, because the Department determined that XITIC and Zhangzhou Hongda had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR, any suspended entries that entered under XITIC's or Zhangzhou Hongda's antidumping duty case numbers (*i.e.*, at those exporters' rates) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.¹⁸

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice of final results of the administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For XITIC and Zhangzhou Hongda, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to each exporter in the most recentlycompleted review of each exporter; (2) For any previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters which are not under review in this segment of the proceeding that received a separate rate in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recentlycompleted period; (3) For all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, including Blue Field, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (i.e., 308.33 percent);

and (4) For all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied the non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: February 25, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–04643 Filed 3–3–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-704]

Brass Sheet and Strip From Japan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on brass sheet and strip from Japan for the period August 1, 2012, through July 31, 2013.

¹³ Id., 78 FR at 69819.

¹⁴ See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (Assessment Practice Refinement).

¹⁵ See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 69819.

¹⁷ See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

 $^{^{18}\,}See$ Assessment Practice Refinement, 76 FR 65694.