

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.<sup>2</sup>

Further, as explained in *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change*

in *Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department has clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.<sup>3</sup> In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity's entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate.

All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>.<sup>4</sup> Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of

<sup>3</sup> In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.

<sup>4</sup> See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of March 2014. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of March 2014, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: February 21, 2014.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04630 Filed 2-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 24, 2014. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

*Docket Number:* 14-002. Applicant: University of Minnesota, Dept. of

<sup>2</sup> See also the Enforcement and Compliance Web site at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>.

Biochem., Mol. Biol. & Biophysics, 140 Gortner Lab, 1479 Gortner Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108. Instrument: Anaerobic glovebox for crystallography. Manufacturer: Belle Technology UK Ltd, Great Britain. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to study the growth of crystals of oxygen-sensitive proteins and trapping of catalytic intermediates in crystals of enzymes which utilize oxygen as a substrate. The objective is to produce atomic resolution molecular structures of oxygen-sensitive or oxygen-dependent proteins by x-ray crystallography. The necessary features of this instrument include an entry port in the floor of the microscope box that forms an air-tight seal with a two liter liquid nitrogen dewar mated to the port from outside the box. Air needs to be expelled (purged) from above the liquid nitrogen surface and replaced with gaseous nitrogen. Closure of the port allows removal of the dewar. An air-tight door between the larger anaerobic crystallization box and the anaerobic microscope box is also necessary. Justification for Duty-Free Entry: There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: January 23, 2014.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**

*Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04642 Filed 2-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Application(s) for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Pub. L. 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be postmarked on or before March 24, 2014. Address written comments to Statutory Import Programs Staff, Room 3720, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and

5:00 p.m. at the U.S. Department of Commerce in Room 3720.

*Docket Number:* 14-001. *Applicant:* Baylor College of Medicine, One Baylor Plaza, Houston, TX 77030. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* The instrument will be used to analyze medical devices and materials for possible colonization with microorganisms. *Justification for Duty-Free Entry:* There are no instruments of the same general category manufactured in the United States. *Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs:* January 23, 2014.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

**Gregory W. Campbell,**

*Director of Subsidies Enforcement, Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04632 Filed 2-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Automotive Trade Mission to New Delhi, Pune and Chennai, India

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; cancellation.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, Industry and Analysis previously published a document in the **Federal Register** on November 25, 2013, 78 FR 70278, regarding the Automotive Trade Mission to New Delhi, Pune and Chennai, India scheduled for April 24-30, 2014. This mission has been cancelled. Please update the existing notice with a note that this mission is cancelled as of February 19, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Frank Spector, Industry and Analysis, Trade Promotion Programs, Phone: 202-482-2054; Fax: 202-482-9000, Email: [Frank.Spector@trade.gov](mailto:Frank.Spector@trade.gov).

#### Cancellation Notice

In the **Federal Register** Notice of November 25, 2013, 78 FR 70278 on page 70278, title note at top of page, correct the subject heading of the notice to read: Automotive Trade Mission to New Delhi, Pune and Chennai, India has been cancelled, April 24-30, 2014.

Dated: February 19, 2014.

**Elnora Moye,**

*Trade Program Assistant.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04504 Filed 2-28-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[Application No. 92-12A001]

#### Export Trade Certificate of Review

**ACTION:** Notice of Issuance of an Amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc., Application No. 92-12A001.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Commerce issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc. ("AIA") on February 18, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph E. Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at [etca@trade.gov](mailto:etca@trade.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR Part 325 (2014). The U.S. Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis ("OTEA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the issuance in the **Federal Register**. Under Section 305(a) of the Export Trading Company Act (15 U.S.C. 4012(b)(1)) and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

#### Description of Certified Conduct

AIA's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended to:

1. Add the following companies as new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)): Aero Mechanical Industries (Rio Rancho, NM); Avascent (Washington, DC); Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp. (Boulder, CO); Castle Metals (Oak Brook, IL); Crane Aerospace & Electronics (Lynnwood, WA); EPS Corporation (Tinton Falls, NJ); Oxford Performance Materials (South Windsor, CT), and The Padina Group, Inc. (Lancaster, PA).