

submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until March 10, 2014. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Ramona R. Rantala, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531 (phone: 202-307-6170).

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) *Type of information collection:* Existing data collection.
- (2) *Title of the form/collection:* Survey of Sexual Violence.
- (3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: SSV1, SSV2, SSV3, SSV4, SSV5, SSV6, SSVIA, SSVIJ; Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.
- (4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local, or Tribal Government. Other: Federal

*Government and business (privately operated correctional institutions, both for-profit and not-for-profit).* The data will be used to develop estimates for the incidence and prevalence of sexual assault within correctional facilities, as well as characteristics of substantiated incidents, as required under the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (Pub. L. 108-79).

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 1,340 respondents will complete each summary form within 60 minutes and each substantiated incident form (as needed, we estimate about 1,000 forms will be completed) in 15 minutes.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 1,590 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, Planning Staff, Two Constitution Avenue, 145 N Street NE., Room 3W-1407B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: January 3, 2014.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA,  
Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2014-00117 Filed 1-8-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2010-0021]

#### Grantee Quarterly Progress Report; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to extend the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of the information collection requirements specified for its Grantee Quarterly Progress Report.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by March 10, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** *Electronically:* You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

*Facsimile:* If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

*Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service:* When using this method, you must submit a copy of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0021, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) (OSHA-2010-0021). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

*Docket:* To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. To obtain a copy of the ICR, you may contact Theda Kenney, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-3609, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693-2222.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kimberly A. Mason, OSHA Directorate of Training and Education, 2020 S. Arlington Heights Road, Arlington Heights, IL 60005-4102; telephone: (847) 759-7700; email: [HarwoodGrants@dol.gov](mailto:HarwoodGrants@dol.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background**

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accord with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the OSH Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

Section 21 of the OSH Act (29 U.S.C. 670) authorizes OSHA to conduct directly, or through grants and contracts, education and training courses. These courses must ensure an adequate number of qualified personnel to fulfill the purposes of the OSH Act, provide them with short-term training, inform them of the importance and proper use of safety and health equipment, and train employers and employees to recognize, avoid, and prevent unsafe and unhealthful working conditions.

Under Section 21, OSHA awards training grants to nonprofit organizations to provide part of the required training. The Agency requires organizations that receive these grants to submit quarterly progress reports that provide information on their grant-funded training activities; these reports allow OSHA to monitor the grantee's performance and to determine if an organization is using grant funds as specified in its grant application. Accordingly, the Agency compares the information provided in the quarterly progress report to the quarterly milestones proposed by the organization in the work plan and budget that accompanied the grant application. This information includes: Identifier data (organization name and grant number);

the date and location where the training occurred; the length of training (hours); the number of employees and employers attending training sessions provided by the organization during the quarter; a description of the training provided; a narrative account of grant activities conducted during the quarter; and an evaluation of progress regarding planned versus actual work accomplished. This comparison permits OSHA to determine if the organization is meeting the proposed program goals and objectives, and spending funds in the manner described in the proposed budget.

Requiring these reports on a quarterly basis enables OSHA to identify work plan, training, and expenditure discrepancies in a timely fashion so that it can implement appropriate action. In addition, this information permits the Agency to assess an organization's ability to meet projected milestones and expenditures.

**II. Special Issues for Comment**

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

**III. Proposed Actions**

OSHA is requesting that OMB extend its approval of the information collection requirements specified for the Grantee Quarterly Progress Report. OSHA is proposing to increase the burden hours in its currently approved information collection request from 4,944 burden hours to 5,096 burden hours (a total increase of 152 hours). Although the annual number of grants managed by the Agency decreased from a three-year average of 103 to 91, the estimated number of hours required to complete the report increased by 2 hours per quarter. The number of hours required to complete the report was increased in order to collect, compile, and maintain evaluation information for the narrative portion of the report. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice and

will include this summary in the request to OMB.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Grantee Quarterly Progress Report.

*OMB Control Number:* 1218-0100.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profits; not-for-profit institutions.

*Number of Respondents:* 91.

*Frequency of Responses:* Quarterly.

*Average Time per Response:* 14 hours per quarter.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 5,096.

*Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance):* \$0.

**IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions**

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows:

- (1) Electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA-2010-0021). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled **ADDRESSES**). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger, or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-2350, (TTY) (877) 889-5627).

Comments and submissions are posted without change at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and date of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit

comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

## V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506 et seq.) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1-2012 (72 FR 3912).

Signed at Washington, DC, on January 3, 2014.

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2014-00121 Filed 1-8-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-71233; File No. SR-CBOE-2013-127]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Order Format 1

January 3, 2014.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on December 24, 2013, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend CBOE Rule 6.53A (Types of Order Formats). The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/>)

*CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx*), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.53A. (Types of Order Formats), which rule describes the types of order formats available to Trading Permit Holders (TPHs) to facilitate order entry. Specifically the Exchange proposes to amend where Order Format 1 orders are processed.

By way of background, order formats are message types that are used to send orders into CBOE Command<sup>3</sup> through a user's selected API. Currently, all orders must be submitted to CBOE using the message type Order Format 1 ("OF1"). Orders using the OF1 format must pass through various processes, including validation checks in the Order Handling Service ("OHS"),<sup>4</sup> before execution, entry into the book, cancellation, or routing for manual handling. Where an order is routed for processing by the OHS depends on various parameters configured by the Exchange and the order entry firm itself. Examples of such parameters are firm-specific volume restrictions (i.e., orders larger than a firm-imposed quantity are routed to booth/order management terminal) or inbound limit order price reasonability

<sup>3</sup> CBOE Command is the trading engine platform for CBOE, C2, CBSX and CBOE Futures Exchange ("CFE"). CBOE Command incorporates both order handling and trade processing on the same platform.

<sup>4</sup> The Order Handling System ("OHS") performs basic validation checks and has the capability to route orders to the trade engine for automatic execution and book entry, to Trading Permit Holder and PAR Official workstations located in the trading crowds for manual handling, and/or to other order management terminals ("OMTs") generally located in booths on the trading floor for manual handling.

(i.e., orders may be rerouted to booth/order management terminal for manual review if "too marketable"). OF1 supports all order types, including auction responses.

The Exchange proposes to change where OF1 orders are processed. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to have orders using the OF1 format pass through various processes, including the validation checks in the trade engine, as opposed to the OHS. The Exchange notes that OF1 orders will still be subject to the same validation checks. The proposed rule change merely changes where these checks occur.

As before, orders using OF1 can still be executed in the trade engine, routed to TPH and PAR Official workstations located in the trading crowds for manual handling, and/or routed to other order management terminals ("OMTs") generally located in booths on the trading floor for manual handling. Where an order is routed will still depend upon various parameters set by the Exchange and the order entry firms. For example, if during these checks in the trade engine an order hits a certain parameter that requires it to be routed to a booth/order management terminal (e.g. a firm-specific volume restriction which requires orders larger than the firm-imposed quantity to be routed to booth/order management terminal), that order will be routed to the OHS, and the OHS will then route the order to the appropriate booth/order management terminal for manual review and processing. The Exchange believes that allowing OF1 orders to pass straight to the trade engine for validation checks, as opposed to stopping first in the OHS for these checks, increases overall efficiency. The Exchange finally notes that the proposed new Order Format 1 will operate substantially similar to how C2 Order Format 1 currently operates on C2.<sup>5</sup>

The Exchange will announce the implementation date of the proposed rule change in an Information Circular to be published no later than 90 days following the effective date of this rule filing. The implementation date will be no later than 180 days following the effective date of this rule filing.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b)<sup>6</sup> of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule

<sup>5</sup> See C2 Rule 6.19(i).

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.