DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[201–805]


AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 9, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain circular welded non-alloy steel pipe from Mexico for the period November 1, 2011 through October 31, 2012.1 For the final results, we continue to find that Lamina y Placa Comercial, S.A. de C.V. (Lamina), Mueller Comercial de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Mueller), Regiomontana de Perfiles y Tubos, S.A. de C.V. (Regiopytsa), and Tuberia Nacional, S.A. de C. V. (TUNA) made no shipments of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). We made no changes to the Preliminary Results.

DATES: Effective Date: December 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mark Flessner or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6312 and (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 9, 2013, the Department published the Preliminary Results in the Federal Register.2 In the Preliminary Results, the Department rescinded this administrative review with respect to four respondents for which reviews had been initiated but subsequently timely withdrawn (i.e., Conduit S.A. de C.V., PYTCO, S.A. de C.V., Southland Pipe Nipples Co., Inc., and Ternium Mexico, S.A. de C.V.). We also preliminarily determined that Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA made no shipments during the POR. We invited parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received no comments.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are circular welded non-alloy steel pipes and tubes, of circular cross-section, not more than 406.4 millimeters (16 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, surface finish (black, galvanized, or painted), or end finish (plain end, beveled end, threaded, or threaded and coupled).3 The merchandise covered by the order and subject to this review is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheadings: 7306.30.10, 7306.30.20, 7306.30.30, 7306.30.40, 7306.30.50, 7306.30.70, and 7306.30.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of these proceedings is dispositive.

Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the Preliminary Results, we received no-shipment claims from Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA, and we confirmed these claims with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). Because we continue to find that the record indicates that Lamina, Mueller, Regiopytsa, and TUNA did not export subject merchandise to the United States during

1 For the complete scope of this order, see Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Brazil, the Republic of Korea (Korea), Mexico, and Venezuela and Amendment to Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from Korea, 57 FR 49453 (November 2, 1992).


merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 32.62 percent, the all-others rate established in the original antidumping investigation.\(^5\) These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notifications**

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: December 20, 2013.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2013–31343 Filed 12–30–13; 8:45 am]
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**Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration,

International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 7, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products (welded pipe and tube) from Turkey.\(^6\) The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled “Final Results of the Review.” Further, we find that two companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.\(^2\)

**DATES:** Effective Date: December 31, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

**Background**

On June 7, 2013, the Department published the Preliminary Results, and invited interested parties to comment.\(^3\) On July 22, 2013, we received case briefs from domestic producers Wheatland Tube Company (Wheatland) and United States Steel Corporation (U.S. Steel), as well as from respondent Borusan. On August 1, 2013, we received rebuttal briefs from Borusan and Erbosan. On August 2, 2013, we received rebuttal briefs from Wheatland and U.S. Steel.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is welded pipe and tube. The welded pipe and tube subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 7306.30.10, 7306.30.20, 7306.30.30, 7306.30.40, and 7306.30.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full written description of the scope of the Order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey; 2011–2012” (Issues and Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice and incorporated herein by reference. The written description is dispositive.

**Final Determination of No Shipments**

As noted in the Preliminary Results, we received no-shipment claims from two companies under review—Yucel and Toscelik.\(^4\) These companies reported that they had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.\(^5\) U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) confirmed that it did not identify evidence of shipments from either company.

Following publication of the Preliminary Results, we received no comments from interested parties regarding these companies. As a consequence, and because the record contains no evidence to the contrary, we continue to find that neither company made any shipments during the POR. Accordingly, consistent with the

---

\(^1\) See Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012, 78 FR 34340 (June 7, 2013) (Preliminary Results).

\(^2\) The Department initiated a review on the Borusan Group, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Borusan Holding A.S., and Borusan Lojistik Dağıtım Depolama Ticaret ve Tic A.S. (collectively, Borusan); ERBOSAN Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan); Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustriisi A.S., Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S., and Tosyalı Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, Toscelik); the Yucel Group, Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S., Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustriisi A.S., and Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarsama A.S. (collectively, Yucel). As noted in the preliminary results, with respect to the Borusan entities, only Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. had reviewable sales during the POR. See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 34340 n. 4. Furthermore, as we stated in the draft cash deposit instructions accompanying the preliminary results, several of the Borusan entities no longer exist. See draft cash deposit instructions in Attachment 2 of the memorandum entitled “Preliminary Results of the 2011–2012 Administrative Review of Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey,” dated June 3, 2013. Erbosan also had reviewable sales. As noted below, we have determined that neither Toscelik nor Yucel had reviewable entries during the POR. See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 34341.

\(^3\) See Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Mexico, 57 FR 42953 (September 17, 1992).

\(^4\) See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 34340–41.

\(^5\) For a full explanation of the Department’s analysis, see the Preliminary Results and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum at 3.