containing the information required by paragraph (c)(4) of this section, shall be made by each person who at any time after January 1, 1963, becomes a U.S. person while owning 10 percent or more in value of the outstanding stock of such foreign corporation.

(4) Information required to be shown on return—(i) In general. The return on Form 5471, required to be filed by persons described in paragraph (c)(1) or (3) of this section, shall set forth the same information as is required by the provisions of paragraph (b) of this section except that where such provisions require information with respect to January 1, 1963, such information shall be furnished with respect to the date on which liability arises to file the return required under this paragraph.

(ii) Additional information. In addition to the information required under paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section, the following information shall also be furnished in the return required under this paragraph:

(a) The date on or after January 1, 1963, if any, on which such shareholder (or shareholders) last filed a return under this section with respect to the corporation;

(b) If a return is filed by reason of becoming a United States person, the date the shareholder became a United States person;

(c) If a return is filed by reason of the disposition of stock, the date and method of such disposition and the person to whom such disposition was made; and

(d) If a return is filed by reason of the organization or reorganization of the foreign corporation on or after January 1, 1963, the following information with respect to such organization or reorganization:

(1) A statement showing a detailed list of the classes and kinds of assets transferred to the foreign corporation including description of the assets (such as a list of patents, copyrights, stock, securities, etc.), the fair market value of each asset transferred (and, if such asset is transferred by a United States person, its adjusted basis), the date of transfer, the name, address, and identifying number, if any, of the owner immediately prior to the transfer, and the consideration paid by the foreign corporation for such transfer or issue; and

(2) An analysis of the changes in the corporation’s surplus accounts occurring on or after January 1, 1963.

(iii) Exclusion of information previously furnished. In any case where any identical item of information required to be filed under this paragraph by a shareholder with respect to a foreign corporation has previously been furnished by such shareholder in any return made in accordance with the provisions of this section, such shareholder may satisfy the requirements of this paragraph by filing Form 5471, identifying such item of information, the date furnished, and stating that it is unchanged.

* * * * *

(o) * * * * *

(5) [Reserved]. For further guidance see §1.6046–1T(e)(5).

(f) * * * * *

(4) [Reserved].

* * * * *

(l) Effective/applicability date—(1) * * *

(2) Paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section applies to taxable years ending after December 31, 2013.

Par. 11. Section 1.6046–1T is added to read as follows:

§1.6046–1T Returns as to organizations or reorganizations of foreign corporations and as to acquisitions of their stock (temporary).

(a) (1) through (o)(4). [Reserved]. For further guidance, see §1.6046–1(a)(1) through (o)(4).

(5) Persons excepted from furnishing items of information. Any person required to furnish any item of information under paragraph (b) or (c) of this section with respect to a foreign corporation may, if such item of information is furnished by another person having an equal or greater stock interest (measured in terms of value of such stock) in such foreign corporation, satisfy such requirement by filing a statement with his return on Form 5471 indicating that such liability has been satisfied and identifying the return in which such item of information was included. This paragraph (o)(5) does not apply to persons excepted from filing a return by reason of the provisions of paragraph (e)(4) of this section.

(l)(1) through (l)(2). [Reserved]. For further guidance, see §1.6046–1(f)(1) through (l)(2).

(3) Paragraph (o)(5) of this section applies to returns filed on or after December 31, 2013. See paragraph (o)(5) of §1.6046–1, as contained in 26 CFR part 1 revised as of April 1, 2012, for returns filed before December 31, 2013.

(m) Expiration date. Paragraph (o)(5) of this section expires on or before December 30, 2016.

John Dalrymple,
Deputy Commissioner for Services and Enforcement.
Approved: December 12, 2013.

Mark J. Mazur,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury (Tax Policy).
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Final Requirement—Migrant Education Program Consortium Incentive Grant Program

AGENCY: Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

ACTION: Final requirement.

SUMMARY: The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education announces a final requirement under the Migrant Education Program (MEP) Consortium Incentive Grant (CIG) Program. This final requirement changes the maximum project period of grants awarded to State educational agencies (SEAs) under the MEP CIG program from two years to three years. We take this action to allow participating SEAs, where appropriate, to have an additional year to conduct needed activities, evaluate their projects, and provide a final report addressing their success in completing project activities and achieving the objectives and outcomes that were established in their approved CIG program application.

DATES: Effective Date: This requirement is effective January 30, 2014.


If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1–800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Purpose of Program: The MEP, authorized in title I, part C, section 1301 et seq. of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended...
The MEP helps SEAs support high-quality and comprehensive educational programs that do two things: provide migratory children with appropriate educational and supportive services that address their special needs in a coordinated and efficient manner, and give migratory children the opportunity to meet the same challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards that all children are expected to meet.

One component of the MEP is the CIG program, authorized in section 1308(d) of the ESEA (20 U.S.C. 6398(d)). Through the MEP CIG program, the Department provides financial incentives to SEAs to participate in high-quality consortia that improve the interstate or intrastate coordination of migrant education programs by addressing key needs of migratory children who have their education interrupted.


We published a notice of proposed requirement for this program in the Federal Register on July 3, 2013 (78 FR 40084). That notice contained background information and our reasons for proposing the particular requirement.

Except for one minor technical revision, there are no differences between the proposed requirement and this final requirement.

Public Comment: In response to our invitation in the notice of proposed requirement, the Department received one comment.

Analysis of Comments and Changes

Comment: The one comment supported the proposed requirement because the additional year will allow time for projects to mature and will also provide time to disseminate successful and promising practices, as well as share lessons learned.

Changes: None.

Final Requirement—Duration of Incentive Grants

The Secretary may provide a maximum project period of three years for grants awarded under the MEP CIG program. The Secretary may extend the current two-year project period of the FY 2012 grantees to three years as well as determine a project period for future competitions of up to three years. For grants with a three-year project period, grantees must submit a performance report at the end of each project year and are eligible for a continuation award at the end of the first and second project years based on the two-tiered funding formula in the 2004 Notice of Final Requirements published in the Federal Register on March 3, 2004 (69 FR 10110). The second and third year’s continuation funding is contingent on the grantee making substantial progress in performing the previous year’s consortium activities and in attaining the outcomes identified in the approved consortium application. Grantees must submit their final summary evaluation report at the end of the third project year.

Note: This notice does not preclude us from proposing additional priorities, requirements, definitions, or selection criteria, subject to meeting applicable rulemaking requirements.

Note: This notice does not solicit applications. In any year in which we choose to use this requirement, we invite applications through a notice in the Federal Register.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Regulatory Impact Analysis

Under Executive Order 12866, the Secretary must determine whether this regulatory action is “significant” and, therefore, subject to the requirements of the Executive order and subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 defines a “significant regulatory action” as an action likely to result in a rule that may—

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more, or adversely affect a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local or tribal governments or communities in a material way (also referred to as an “economically significant” rule); or

(2) Create serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impacts of entitlement grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues.

As we are issuing this final requirement on a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs. In choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, select those approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity); and

We are issuing this final requirement only on a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs. In choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, select those approaches that maximize net benefits. Based on the analysis that follows, the Department believes that this regulatory action is consistent with the principles in Executive Order 13563.

We also have determined that this regulatory action does not unduly interfere with State, local, and tribal governments in the exercise of their governmental functions.

In accordance with both Executive orders, the Department has assessed the potential costs and benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, of this regulatory action. The potential costs are those resulting from statutory requirements and those we have determined as necessary for
administering the Department’s programs and activities.

**Intergovernmental Review:** This program is subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79. One of the objectives of the Executive order is to foster an intergovernmental partnership and a strengthened federalism. The Executive order relies on processes developed by State and local governments for coordination and review of proposed Federal financial assistance.

This document provides early notification of specific plans and actions for this program.

**Accessible Format:** Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

**Electronic Access to This Document:** The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fdsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the Federal Register, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the Federal Register by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: December 26, 2013.

Deborah S. Delisle,
Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon Leitch, RCRA Waste Management and UST Section, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration (OSRR07–1), US EPA Region 1, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA 02109–3912.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Why are revisions to state programs necessary?**

States which have received final authorization from EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal program. As the Federal program changes, States must change their programs and ask EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must change their programs because of changes to EPA’s regulations in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 124, 260 through 266, 268, 270, 273 and 279.

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 271


**Vermont: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct Final Rule.