

the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC-2013-0283 in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

II. Discussion

CR-3 began commercial operation in March 1977. On February 20, 2013, Florida Power Corporation (FPC), which was renamed DEF in October 2013, provided to the NRC its certification of permanent cessation of operations (Cert1) and permanent removal of fuel from the reactor vessel (Cert2), as required by 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(i) and (ii), respectively. FPC stated in its February 20, 2013, letter that it had safely shut down the reactor on September 26, 2009, and had completed the transfer all fuel from the reactor vessel to the spent fuel pool on May 28, 2011. The letter documenting the licensee's certifications may be viewed in ADAMS at Accession No. ML13056A005.

With the docketing of Cert1 and Cert2 on February 20, 2013, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.82(a)(2), the 10 CFR Part 50 facility operating license for CR-3 no longer authorizes operation of the reactor or emplacement or retention of fuel in the reactor vessel. In addition, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.51, "Continuation of license," Subpart (b), the facility license remains in effect until the NRC notifies the licensee that the license has been terminated.

On December 2, 2013, DEF submitted the PSDAR for CR-3 in accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(4)(i). The PSDAR includes a description of the planned decommissioning activities, a proposed schedule for their accomplishment, a site-specific decommissioning cost estimate, and a discussion that provides the basis for concluding that the environmental impacts associated with decommissioning activities will be bounded by appropriate, previously issued environmental impact statements.

III. Request for Comment

The NRC is requesting public comments on the PSDAR for CR-3.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 22nd day of December, 2013.

For The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Douglas A. Broaddus,

Chief, Plant Licensing IV-2 and Decommissioning Transition Branch, Division of Operator Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2013-31317 Filed 12-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2014-20; Order No. 1925]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) 3 negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* January 2, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Contents of Filing

- IV. Commission Action
- V. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On December 23, 2013, the Postal Service filed Notice that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services (GEPS) 3 negotiated service agreement (Agreement).¹ The Postal Service seeks inclusion of the Agreement within the GEPS 3 product. *Id.* at 2.

II. Background

The Commission approved the addition of the GEPS Contracts product to the competitive product list following consideration of a Postal Service filing in Docket No. CP2008-5 based on Governors' Decision No. 08-7.² The Commission later added GEPS 3 to the competitive product list and authorized the agreement filed in Docket No. CP2010-71 to serve as the baseline agreement for comparison of potentially functionally equivalent agreements.³

Effective date; term. The Postal Service will notify its contracting partner of the effective date no later than 30 days after receiving approval from oversight entities. Notice, Attachment 1 at 7 (Article 12). The term of the Agreement is for one calendar year from the effective date or the last day of the month which falls one calendar year from the effective date, unless terminated sooner pursuant to contractual terms. *Id.*

III. Contents of Filing

The Notice includes a public Excel file consisting of financial workpapers and the following attachments:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-7, which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS Contracts; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to be filed under seal.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, December 23, 2013 (Notice).

² See Docket No. CP2008-5, Order No. 86, Order Concerning Global Expedited Package Services Contracts, June 27, 2008.

³ See Docket Nos. MC2010-28 and CP2010-71, Order No. 503, Order Approving Global Expedited Package Services 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 29, 2010.

Unredacted versions of Attachments 1 and 2 and the Excel file were also filed under seal. Notice at 2.

The Notice lists and summarizes differences between the Agreement and the baseline agreement. These include differences in two of the introductory paragraphs of the Agreement; revisions to numerous existing articles; and new, deleted, and renumbered articles. *Id.* at 4–6. The Postal Service states that these differences affect neither the fundamental service being offered under the Agreement nor the Agreement's fundamental structure, and that nothing detracts from the conclusion that the Agreement is "functionally equivalent in all pertinent respects" to the baseline agreement. *Id.* at 7. It therefore seeks the inclusion of the Agreement within the GEPS 3 product. *Id.*

IV. Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2014–20 for consideration of matters raised by the Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filing is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and subpart B of 39 CFR part 3020. Comments are due no later than January 2, 2014. The public portions of the Postal Service's filing can be accessed via the Commission's Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>. Information concerning access to non-public material is located in 39 CFR part 3007.

The Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as Public Representative in this proceeding.

V. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2014–20 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service's Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than January 2, 2014.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–31284 Filed 12–30–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Rule 17f–7, OMB Control No. 3235–0529, SEC File No. 270–470.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521) ("Paperwork Reduction Act"), the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Rule 17f–7 (17 CFR 270.17f–7) permits a fund under certain conditions to maintain its foreign assets with an eligible securities depository, which has to meet minimum standards for a depository. The fund or its investment adviser generally determines whether the depository complies with those requirements based on information provided by the fund's primary custodian (a bank that acts as global custodian). The depository custody arrangement also must meet certain conditions. The fund or its adviser must receive from the primary custodian (or its agent) an initial risk analysis of the depository arrangements, and the fund's contract with its primary custodian must state that the custodian will monitor risks and promptly notify the fund or its adviser of material changes in risks. The primary custodian and other custodians also are required to agree to exercise at least reasonable care, prudence, and diligence.

The collection of information requirements in rule 17f–7 are intended to provide workable standards that protect funds from the risks of using foreign securities depositories while assigning appropriate responsibilities to the fund's primary custodian and investment adviser based on their capabilities. The requirement that the foreign securities depository meet specified minimum standards is intended to ensure that the depository is subject to basic safeguards deemed appropriate for all depositories. The requirement that the fund or its adviser must receive from the primary custodian (or its agent) an initial risk analysis of the depository arrangements,

and that the fund's contract with its primary custodian must state that the custodian will monitor risks and promptly notify the fund or its adviser of material changes in risks, is intended to provide essential information about custody risks to the fund's investment adviser as necessary for it to approve the continued use of the depository. The requirement that the primary custodian agree to exercise reasonable care is intended to provide assurances that its services and the information it provides will meet an appropriate standard of care.

The staff estimates that each of approximately 938 investment advisers¹ will make an average of 8 responses annually under the rule to address depository compliance with minimum requirements, any indemnification or insurance arrangements, and reviews of risk analyses or notifications. The staff estimates each response will take 6 hours, requiring a total of approximately 48 hours for each adviser.² Thus the total annual burden associated with these requirements of the rule is approximately 45,024 hours.³ The staff further estimates that during each year, each of approximately 15 global custodians will make an average of 4 responses to analyze custody risks and provide notice of any material changes to custody risk under the rule. The staff estimates that each response will take 260 hours, requiring approximately 1,040 hours annually per global custodian.⁴ Thus the total annual burden associated with these requirements is approximately 15,600 hours.⁵ The staff estimates that the total annual hour burden associated with all collection of information requirements of the rule is therefore 60,624 hours.⁶

The estimate of average burden hours is made solely for the purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act and is not derived from a comprehensive or even a representative survey or study of the costs of Commission rules and forms. Compliance with the collection of information requirements of the rule is necessary to obtain the benefit of relying on the rule's permission for funds to maintain their assets in foreign custodians. The information provided

¹ As of October 2013, 938 investment advisers managed or sponsored open-end registered funds (including exchange-traded funds) and closed-end registered funds.

² 8 responses per adviser × 6 hours per response = 48 hours per adviser.

³ 938 hours × 48 hours per adviser = 45,024 hours.

⁴ 260 hours per response × 4 responses per global custodian = 1,040 hours per global custodian.

⁵ 15 global custodians × 1,040 hours per global custodian = 15,600 hours.

⁶ 45,024 hours + 15,600 hours = 60,624 hours.