

the appropriate procedure to challenge the redaction of public information.

Warning letters were issued to the second attorney and to the translator. Although the Commission found that unauthorized persons had access to CBI, it found among other mitigating factors that this attorney and the translator had relied upon the representation of the first attorney that all CBI had been redacted from the draft rebuttal statements.

Case 2: Two attorneys breached the APO in an appeal of the Commission's final determination in a section 337 investigation, before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, when they disclosed CBI in a publicly filed document. The attorneys each received warning letters.

Several mitigating factors were present. The attorneys immediately remedied the breach of the APO, before any member of the public viewed the CBI. Neither attorney had previously committed an APO breach in the past two years.

Although the Commission noted an aggravating factor in that the discovery of the breach was by a person other than the breacher, the Commission did not find any significant aggravating circumstances.

Issued: December 24, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearing and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-31202 Filed 12-27-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), and the Missouri Rev. Stat. of the Missouri Clean Water Law

On December 20, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri, Southeast Division, in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America and the State of Missouri v. Teck American, Incorporated and DII Industries, LLC*, Civil Action 1:13-cv-00188-LMB.

This is a civil action for the recovery of damages, for injury to, destruction of and loss of use of natural resources and their services resulting from the release and threat of a release of hazardous substances by Defendants Teck American, Incorporated and DII

Industries, LLC at and from the former Magmont Mine and Mill in Bixby, Missouri, in the Viburnum Trend in Southeast Missouri.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Proposed Consent Decree. The Department of Justice will receive comments concerning the settlement for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division and should refer to *United States of America and the State of Missouri v. Teck American, Incorporated and DII Industries, LLC*, 1:13-cv-00188-LMB, Department of Justice # 90-11-3-09424.

Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$5.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Susan M. Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-31124 Filed 12-27-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On December 24, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America and the State of*

Indiana v. City of Crawfordsville, Montgomery County, Indiana 1:13-cv-1964.

The complaint in this matter alleges that the City of Crawfordsville ("City") has violated the Clean Water Act, because discharges from the City's wastewater treatment plant have violated conditions of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit ("Permit") issued by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management. The Permit imposes effluent limitations on copper and other pollutants and includes requirements for proper operation, maintenance, and monitoring of the treatment plant. The Consent Decree requires the City to undertake general improvements at the treatment plant, including measures involving the pretreatment of wastewater. The Consent Decree also requires the City to pay a total penalty of \$96,000, to be split evenly between the State and the federal government.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America and the State of Indiana v. City of Crawfordsville, Montgomery County, Indiana*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-09648.

All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Acting Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.75 (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Maureen Katz,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-31199 Filed 12-27-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Gannett Co., Inc., Belo Corp., and Sander Media LLC; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. Gannett Co., Inc., Belo Corp., and Sander Media LLC*, Civil Action No. 1:13-cv-01984. On December 16, 2013, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Gannett's proposed acquisition of Belo, the sale of KMOV-TV in St. Louis to Sander, and Sander's operation of KMOV-TV subject to various agreements between Sander and Gannett would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18, and Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1. The proposed Final Judgment, filed the same time as the Complaint, requires Gannett Co., Inc., Belo Corp., and Sander Media LLC to divest KMOV-TV.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC, 20530 (telephone: 202-514-2481), on the Department of Justice's Web site at <http://justice.gov/atr>, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, including the name of the submitter, and responses thereto, will be posted on the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division's internet Web site, filed with the Court and, under certain circumstances, published in the **Federal Register**. Comments should be directed to Scott A. Scheele,

Chief, Telecommunications and Media Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 7000, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202-514-5621).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 5th Street, NW., Suite 7000, Washington, D.C. 20530, Plaintiff, v. *GANNETT CO., INC., 7950 Jones Branch Drive, McLean, Virginia 22107, BELO CORP., 400 South Record Street, Dallas, Texas 75202, and SANDER MEDIA LLC, 28150 N. Alma School Parkway #103, PBM 509, Scottsdale, Arizona 85262*, Defendants.

Case No. 1:13-cv-01984-RBW

Judge: Reggie B. Walton

Filed: 12/16/2013

COMPLAINT

The United States of America, acting under the direction of the Attorney General of the United States, brings this civil action to enjoin the proposed acquisition of Belo Corp. ("Belo") by Gannett Co., Inc. ("Gannett"), and the simultaneous implementation of related agreements between Gannett and Sander Holdings Co. LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Sander Media LLC ("Sander"), pursuant to which broadcast television station KMOV-TV in St. Louis, Missouri, along with certain other broadcast television stations owned by Belo, will be transferred to and operated by Sander (collectively "the Transaction"), and to obtain other equitable relief. The Transaction likely would lessen competition substantially and would restrain trade in the sale of broadcast television spot advertising in the St. Louis Designated Market Area ("DMA"), which includes parts of Missouri and Illinois, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 18. The United States alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Pursuant to the June 12, 2013, Agreement and Plan of Merger, Gannett will acquire all outstanding stock of Belo for approximately \$1.5 billion, with a total transaction value of \$2.2 billion including assumed debt. Gannett owns 23 broadcast television stations and numerous newspapers throughout the United States. Consummation of Gannett's acquisition of Belo would give Gannett ownership of Belo's 20 broadcast television stations; however, Federal Communications Commission

("FCC") rules prohibit Gannett from owning Belo stations in five DMAs where Gannett already owns broadcast television stations or newspapers. To comply with these ownership rules, Gannett has entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement and other related agreements with Sander Holdings Co., LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Sander, which would transfer ownership of six Belo stations in five DMAs, including KMOV-TV in St. Louis, to Sander. Sander will pay Gannett approximately \$101 million for the six stations, significantly less than their actual market value. The agreements between Gannett and Sander are mutually contingent on and intended to close simultaneously with the merger between Gannett and Belo.

2. Gannett owns and operates KSDK-TV, the NBC affiliate in the St. Louis DMA. As the owner and operator of that station, Gannett sells KSDK-TV's advertising time. Based on advertising sales revenues, KSDK-TV is one of the three largest commercial broadcast television stations in St. Louis.

3. Belo owns and operates KMOV-TV, the CBS affiliate in the St. Louis DMA. As the owner and operator of that station, Belo sells KMOV-TV's advertising time. Based on advertising sales revenues, KMOV-TV is one of the three largest commercial broadcast television stations in St. Louis.

4. Currently, Gannett's KSDK-TV and Belo's KMOV-TV vigorously compete for the business of local and national companies that seek to purchase local spot advertisements on broadcast television stations in St. Louis. This competition benefits advertisers by reducing prices and improving the quality of services advertisers receive from the stations.

5. Although Gannett will transfer ownership of six stations to Sander, the agreements between Gannett and Sander include: (1) eight-year assignable option agreements that permit Gannett to reacquire any of the stations (should existing FCC prohibitions be eliminated) or to transfer the options to a third party; (2) eight-year Shared Services Agreements under which Gannett will provide a variety of services to help Sander operate the stations, excluding joint advertising sales and negotiation of retransmission consent rights in DMAs such as St. Louis where Gannett also has television stations, in return for substantial payments from Sander to Gannett; (3) a financing guarantee obligating Gannett to repay, should Sander default, the balance of the \$101 million loan Sander is obtaining to purchase the stations; and (4) Joint Sales Agreements in DMAs where Gannett