and current Employer Identification Number (EIN) issued by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS). NCUA will not consider an application that does not include a valid and current EIN. Such an Application will be deemed incomplete and will be declined. Information on how to obtain an EIN may be found on the IRS’s Web site at www.irs.gov.

3. Application: An Applicant requesting a grant must complete an online grant application form which includes required responses. The required responses will address the proposed use of funds and how the credit union will assess the impact of the funding.

C. Submission of Application: Under this NOFO, Applications must be submitted online at www.cybergrants.com/ncua/applications.

IV. Application Review

A. Review Process

1. Eligibility and Completeness Review: NCUA will review each Application to determine whether it is complete and that the Applicant meets the eligibility requirements described in the Regulations, Section II of this NOFO, and the grant guidelines. An incomplete Application or one that does not meet the eligibility requirements will be declined without further consideration.

2. Substantive Review: After an Applicant is determined eligible and its Application is determined complete, NCUA will conduct a substantive review in accordance with the criteria and procedures described in the Regulations, this NOFO, and the grant guidelines. NCUA reserves the right to contact the Applicant during its review for the purpose of clarifying or confirming information contained in the Application. If so contacted, the Applicant must respond within the time specified by NCUA or NCUA, in its sole discretion, may decline the application without further consideration.

3. Evaluation and Scoring: The evaluation criteria for each initiative will be more fully described in the grant guidelines.

4. Input from Examiners: NCUA will not approve an award to a credit union for which its NCUA regional examining office or State Supervisory Agency (SSA), if applicable, indicates it has safety and soundness concerns. If the NCUA regional office or SSA identifies a safety and soundness concern, OSCUI, in conjunction with the regional office or SSA, will assess whether the condition of the Applicant is adequate to undertake the activities for which funding is requested, and the obligations of the loan and its conditions. NCUA, in its sole discretion, may defer decision on funding an Application until the credit union’s safety and soundness conditions improve.

V. Award Process

A. Award Selection: In general, NCUA will make its award selections based on a consistent scoring system where each applicant will receive an individual score. NCUA will consider the impact of the funding. When grant demand is high applications may be ranked based on the aforementioned in addition to factors listed in the grant guidelines.

B. Notice of Award: NCUA will notify each Applicant of its funding decision. Notification will generally be by email. Applicants that are approved for funding will also receive instructions on how to proceed with the reimbursement request for disbursement of funds.

VI. Post-Award Requirements

A. Reporting Requirements: Each awarded credit union must submit a reimbursement request in order to receive the awarded funds. The reimbursement requirements are specific to each initiative. In general, the reimbursement request will require an explanation of the impact of funding and any success or failure to meet objectives for use of proceeds, outcome, or impact. NCUA, in its sole discretion, may modify these requirements.

VII. Agency Contacts

A. Methods of Contact: For further information, contact NCUA by email at OSCUIAPPS@ncua.gov.

B. Information Technology Support: People who have visual or mobility impairments that prevent them from using NCUA’s Web site should call (703) 518–6610 for guidance (this is not a toll free number).

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1756, 1757(5)(D), and (7)(I), 1766, 1782, 1784, 1785 and 1786; 12 CFR 705.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on December 17, 2013.

Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board.
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BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2013–0184; Docket No. 70–0036]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to an Alternative Disposal Request of Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC; Hematite Decommissioning Project; Festus, Missouri

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; availability.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of a license amendment to special nuclear material (SNM) license number SNM–33 issued to Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC, for the former Hematite Fuel Cycle Facility in Festus, Missouri authorizing alternative disposal of soil and soil-like wastes at the US Ecology Idaho, Inc. (USEI) disposal facility located near Grand View, Idaho. In addition, the NRC is considering the issuance of an exemption to USEI so that it may accept the waste for disposal. This exemption is required so that USEI may accept NRC regulated material under its Idaho Department of Environmental Quality license. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for this proposed action and has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate with respect to the proposed action.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2013–0184 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access publicly-available information related to this action by the following methods:

• Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC–2013–0184. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–287–3422; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.

• NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS,
please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.

NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC received a license amendment application from Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC (WEC or the licensee), dated May 28, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13149A291). The licensee requests:

(1) NRC authorization for disposal, pursuant to §20.2002 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), of an additional 22,000 m³ (cubic meters) of soil and soil-like material containing NRC-licensed source, byproduct, and special nuclear materials from its former fuel cycle facility located in Festus, Missouri; (2) NRC approval for the treatment, as needed, for removal of chemical contaminants from the 22,000 m³ or from the material associated with the previous approvals of approximately 46,000 m³ of Hematite waste for alternate disposal; and (3) NRC authorization for disposal of dewatered sanitary sludge as soil-like material. The licensee holds NRC License No. SNM–70.3 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13170A434), WEC license number SNM–5928; and USEI license number SNM–70.3 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13227A016).

II. Environmental Assessment Summary

The WEC Hematite Decommissioning Project (HDP) is a decommissioning and environmental restoration project that will generate low-activity, low-level radioactive waste (LLRW) in the form of concrete/asphalt, piping, miscellaneous equipment, soil and soil-like material containing NRC-licensed source, byproduct, and special nuclear materials from its former fuel cycle facility located in Festus, Missouri. The no-action alternative involves discontinuing ongoing decommissioning activities at the HDP and leaving soil and soil-like waste at the HDP site. This action would require an exemption from the requirement in 10 CFR 70.38(d) that decommissioning of facilities specifically licensed for possession and use of special nuclear material be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The no-action alternative would result in leaving approximately 22,000 m³ of total waste volume onsite.

As was previously noted, the radiologically contaminated remediation waste, regulated by the NRC is co-mingled with chemically contaminated waste regulated under CERCLA. The “no-action alternative” would not be in accordance with the July 2009 CERCLA Record of Decision (http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/hwp/docs/20090721HRSFINALROD.pdf) for disposal of the contaminated waste.

The no-action alternative would not allow WEC to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Selection of this alternative would require WEC to continue environmental monitoring/surveillance and to maintain administrative and engineered controls to ensure facility safety and security. The environmental impacts of the no-action alternative would include continued contamination of soil and water, which could further escalate over time if groundwater contamination spreads and material such as Technicium–99 (Tc–99), continues to be...
leach into the soil. The continued monitoring required at the site would result in environmental impacts due to the emissions from vehicular traffic associated with workers traversing to and from the site and entities providing services and supplies to the Hematite facility. Additional vehicular traffic could also impact public and occupational health with the potential for vehicle accidents.

Another alternative to the proposed action is to dispose of the LLRW in a facility licensed by an NRC Agreement State for the storage and/or disposal of LLRW. For this EA, the NRC evaluated the EnergySolutions, LLC (EnergySolutions) Clive Utah facility as the alternative disposal site for the radioactive and chemically hazardous waste.

The EnergySolutions LLC disposal facility at Clive, Utah is located 128 kilometers (80 miles) west of Salt Lake City, Utah and 70 kilometers (45 miles) east of Wendover, Nevada. The site is arid with an annual precipitation of approximately 20 centimeters (8 inches). The facility is licensed by the State of Utah to dispose of Class A radioactive waste only (Utah License 2300249) and 11e.(2) byproduct material (UT2300478) and holds a Part B Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) solid waste permit (Environmental Protection Agency ID No. UT9062598898). The selection of this alternative would allow WEC to meet the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. In addition, this site is environmentally similar to USEI. However, this alternative was not selected by the licensee.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the EA (ADAMS Accession No. ML13269A308), the NRC has concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed actions and the issuance of a license amendment and the associated exemptions does not warrant the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement. Accordingly, it has been determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 29th day of November, 2013.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Andrew Persinko,
Deputy Director, Decommissioning and Uranium Recovery Licensing Directorate, Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Federal and State Materials and Environmental Management Programs.
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FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following sections include the text of the exemption in its entirety as issued to PPL.

1.0 Background

On October 10, 2008, PPL Bell Bend, LLC (PPL) submitted to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) a Combined License (COL) application for a single unit of AREVA NP’s U.S. EPR to be constructed and operated as Bell Bend Nuclear Power Plant (BBNPP), in Luzerne County, Pennsylvania (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML082890663). The NRC docketed the BBNPP COL application on December 19, 2008 (Docket Number 52–039). Additionally, the BBNPP COL application is based upon the U.S. EPR reference COL (RCOL) application for UniStar’s Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 3 (CCNPP3). The NRC is currently performing the detailed reviews of the CCNPP3 RCOL application, and AREVA NP’s application for design certification of the U.S. EPR. On April 12, 2013, PPL submitted Revision 4 to the COL application (ADAMS Accession No. ML13120A374), including updates to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR), per Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations Subsection 50.71(e)(3)(iii), consistent with the NRC approved exemption for late filing of the calendar year 2012 FSAR update. On October 18, 2013, PPL requested an exemption from the 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) requirements to submit the BBNPP COL application FSAR update in calendar year 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13304A574).

2.0 Request/Action

10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) requires that an applicant for a COL, under Subpart C of 10 CFR Part 52, must update their FSAR annually during the period from docketing the application to the Commission making its 52.103(g) finding.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii), the next annual update of the FSAR included in the BBNPP COL application would be due by December 31, 2013. On November 2, 2012, PPL previously requested an exemption, pursuant to 10 CFR 50.71(e)(3)(iii) to allow for late filing of their required COL revision for calendar year 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML1321A037). The NRC