alternatives and any other action alternatives identified that may be reasonable and practicable: (1) Creation of a multi-purpose reservoir; (2) a combination of independent purpose alternatives to meet the overall project purposes and needs; and (3) the no-action alternative. The SEIS will identify the National Economic Development (NED) alternative, which is the alternative with the greatest net economic benefit consistent with protecting the Nation’s environment and document the estimated direct, indirect and cumulative impacts of the proposed action and alternatives on the environment.

4. Scoping: In developing the LOCWP, numerous scoping meetings were held to gather public input and keep the community informed on the status of project planning activities. Several community surveys and interviews were conducted to gather information, and periodic news articles were published to update local citizens. The Caldwell County Lake Project Steering Committee was formed to further insure public input into the planning process. NEPA procedures do not require additional public scoping meetings for the development of a SEIS and none are planned at this time. Comments received from Federal, State or local agencies, Native American Tribes, non-governmental organizations, and interested citizens will be used to assist in the development of the Draft and Final SEIS (See addresses: above to submit comments).

5. Public Involvement: The NRCS invites full public participation to promote open communication and better decision-making. All persons and organizations with an interest in the LOCWP are urged to comment. Public comments are welcomed and opportunities for public participation include submitting comments to the NRCS: (1) During the development of the Draft SEIS, (2) during the review and comment period upon publishing the Draft SEIS; and (3) for 30 days after publication of the Final SEIS. Distribution of the comments received will be included in the Administrative Record without change and may include any personal information provided, unless the commenter indicates that the comment includes information claimed to be confidential business information.

6. Other Environmental Review and Coordination Requirements: The Corps will be a cooperating agency in the preparation of the SEIS. The NRCS as the lead federal agency will continue to coordinate with other agencies and entities throughout the NEPA process including: Caldwell County

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS:
Contact Lisa Noty, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 511 W. 7th Street, Atlantic, IA 50022, email: lisa.noty@wdc.usda.gov, phone (712) 243–2107 x116, fax (855) 251–2238.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Title: Advanced Biofuel Payment Program.
OMB Number: OMB No. 0570–0063.
Expiration Date of Approval: March 13, 2014.
Type of Request: Extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The Advanced Biofuel Payment Program was authorized under section 9005 of Title IX of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill). It authorizes the Agency to enter into contracts to make payments to eligible entities to support and ensure an expanding production of advanced biofuels. Entities eligible to receive payments under the Program are producers of advanced biofuels that meet all of the requirements of the Program.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.14 hours per response.

Respondents: The respondents are the advanced biofuel producers and Agency staff who process applications and quarterly payment requests.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 275 advanced biofuel producers.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 13.

Estimated Number of Responses: 1178.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,349 hours.

Comments are invited on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Jeanne Jacobs, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Stop 0742, 1400 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20250. All comments
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Certain Activated Carbon From the People’s Republic of China; 2012–2013; Partial Rescission of the Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 3, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) based on multiple timely requests for an administrative review. The review covers 185 companies. Based on a withdrawal of the requests for review of certain companies from Calgon Carbon Corporation and Cabot Norit Americas Inc. (Petitioners), we are now rescinding this administrative review with respect to four companies.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In April 2013, the Department received multiple timely requests to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the PRC (the Order). Based upon these requests, on June 3, 2013, the Department published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the Order covering the period April 1, 2012, to March 31, 2013. The Department initiated the administrative review of the PRC with respect to 185 companies. On August 28, 2013, Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review on Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd. (“Shanxi ITT”), Shaxi Xuanzhong Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (“Xuanzhong”), Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin”) and Xi’an Shuntong International Trade & Industrial Co., Ltd. (“Xi’an”). Petitioners were the only party to request a review of these companies. Petitioners also withdrew their review requests for an additional 165 companies, and no other party requested a review of those companies. However, those companies do not have a separate rate from a prior segment of this proceeding and are part of the PRC-wide entity which could come under review in this segment of the proceeding. We intend to address the disposition of these companies in the preliminary results of this review.

Partial Rescission

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. Petitioners’ requests for review of Shanxi ITT, Xuanzhong, Tianjin and Xi’an were withdrawn within the 90-day period. Because Petitioners’ requests for review were timely withdrawn and because no other party requested a review of Shanxi ITT, Xuanzhong, Tianjin and Xi’an, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are partially rescinding this review with respect to Shanxi ITT, Xuanzhong, Tianjin and Xi’an.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded, as of the publication date of this notice, of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 17, 2013.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013–30555 Filed 12–20–13; 8:45 am]
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3 See id.
6 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).