2013. The following Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits were filed under Subpart B (formerly Subpart Q) of the Department of Transportation’s Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 301.201 et. seq.). The due date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope are set forth below for each application. Following the Answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.


Date Filed: December 2, 2013.

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: December 23, 2013.

Description: Application of Norwegian Air International Limited (“Norwegian International”) requesting exemption authority and a foreign air carrier permit to enable it to conduct foreign scheduled and charter air transportation of persons, property and mail to the full extent permitted under the open skies U.S.-E.U.-Iceland-Norway Air Transport Agreement; Norwegian International requests authority to engage in: a. Foreign scheduled and charter air transportation of persons, property and mail from any point or points behind any Member State(s) of the European Union, via any point or points in any Member State and via intermediate points, to any point(s) in the United States and beyond; b. foreign scheduled and charter air transportation of persons, property and mail between any point or points in the United States and any point or points in any member of the European Common Aviation Area; c. foreign scheduled and charter air transportation of persons property and mail between any point or points in Norway, via intermediate points, and any point or points in the United States; d. foreign scheduled and charter cargo air transportation between any point or points in the United States and any other point or points; e. other charters pursuant to the prior approval requirements; and f. scheduled and charter transportation consistent with any future, additional rights that may be granted to European Union carriers under the U.S.-E.U. Open Skies Agreement.

Barbara J. Hairston,
Supervisory Dockets Officer, Docket Operations, Federal Register Liaison.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To rule on Change in Use of Aeronautical Property at Warren Field Airport, Washington, NC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration is requesting public comment on request by the City of Washington to change a portion of airport property from aeronautical to non-aeronautical use at the Warren Field Airport, Washington, North Carolina. The request consists of approximately 32 acres. This action is taken under the provisions of Section 125 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment Reform Act for the 21st Century (AIR 21).

DATES: Comments must be received on or before January 17, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Documents are available for review at the North Carolina Department of Transportation, Division of Aviation, 1050 Meridian Drive, RDU Airport, NC 27623; and the FAA Memphis Airports District Office, 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 2250, Memphis, TN 38118–2482. Written comments on the Sponsor’s request must be delivered or mailed to: Mr. Phillip J. Braden, Manager, Memphis Airports District Office, 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 2250, Memphis, TN 38118–2482. Written comments on the Sponsor’s request must be delivered or mailed to: Mr. Phillip Lanier, Airport Project Manager, NCDOT, 1560 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699–1560.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Tim Hester, Community Planner, Federal Aviation Administration, Memphis Airports District Office, 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard, Suite 2250, Memphis, TN 38118–2482.

The application may be reviewed in person at this same location, by appointment.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the request to lease property for non-aeronautical purposes at Warren Field Airport, Washington, NC 27889. Under the provisions of AIR 21 (49 U.S.C. 47107(h)(2)).

On December 9, 2013, the FAA determined that the request to lease property for non-aeronautical purposes at Warren Field Airport meets the procedural requirements of the Federal Aviation Administration. The FAA may approve the request, in whole or in part, no later than January 17, 2014.

The following is a brief overview of the request:

The City of Washington is proposing the lease of approximately 32 acres at Warren Field Airport. The non-aeronautical lease is for a period of 15 (fifteen) years, with an option to extend the lease for a period of 5 (five) years, followed by up to two additional period(s) of 5 (five) years. The non-aeronautical lease is for the purpose of leasing said property to Duke Energy Renewables, to be used as a solar photovoltaic array.

Any person may inspect, by appointment, the request in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Memphis, TN, on December 9, 2013.

Paul L. Friedman,
Assistant Manager, Memphis Airports District Office, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–29942 Filed 12–17–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. FMCSA–2013–0386]

Parts and Accessories Necessary for Safe Operation; Application for an Exemption From Volvo/Prevost LLC

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of application for exemption; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) requests public comment on an application for exemption from Volvo/Prevost LLC (Volvo/Prevost) to allow the placement of a lane departure warning (LDW) system at the bottom of the windshield on its motorcoaches within the swept area of the windshield wipers. The Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) currently require antennas, transponders, and similar devices to be located not more than 6 inches below the upper edge of the windshield, outside the area swept by the windshield wipers, and outside the driver’s sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals. Volvo/Prevost intends to install these devices as original equipment on its motorcoaches. Volvo/Prevost believes this mounting position will maintain a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety achieved without the exemption.
### Public Participation

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19476) or you may visit http://www.regulations.gov.

Public participation: The http://www.regulations.gov Web site is generally available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. You can get electronic submission and retrieval help and guidelines under the “help” section of the http://www.regulations.gov Web site and also at the DOT’s http://docketsinfo.dot.gov Web site. If you want to be notified that we received your comments, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope or postcard or print the acknowledgement page that appears after submitting comments online.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

Section 4007 of the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA–21) [Pub. L. 105–178, June 9, 1998, 112 Stat. 107, 401] amended 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e) to provide authority to grant exemptions from the FMCSR. On August 20, 2004, FMCSA published a final rule implementing section 4007 (69 FR 51589). Under this rule, FMCSA must publish a notice of each exemption request in the Federal Register (49 CFR 381.315(a)). The Agency must provide the public with an opportunity to inspect the information relevant to the application, including any safety analyses that have been conducted. The Agency must also provide an opportunity for public comment on the request.

The Agency reviews the safety analyses and the public comments and determines whether granting the exemption would likely achieve a level of safety equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved by the current regulation (49 CFR 381.305). The decision of the Agency must be published in the Federal Register (49 CFR 381.315(b)). If the Agency denies the request, it must state the reason for doing so. If the decision is to grant the exemption, the notice must specify the person or class of persons receiving the exemption and the regulatory provision or provisions from which an exemption is granted. The notice must also specify the effective period of the exemption (up to 2 years) and explain the terms and conditions of the exemption. The exemption may be renewed (49 CFR 381.315(c)) and 49 CFR 381.300(b)]

### Volvo/Prevost’s Application for Exemption

Volvo/Prevost applied for an exemption from 49 CFR 393.60(e)(1) to allow the installation of a LDW system on motorcoaches purchased by its customers. A copy of the application is included in the docket referenced at the beginning of this notice. Section 393.60(e)(1) of the FMCSR prohibits the obstruction of the driver’s field of view by devices mounted at the top of the windshield. Antennas, transponders and similar devices must not be mounted more than 152 mm (6 inches) below the upper edge of the windshield. These devices must be located outside the area swept by the windshield wipers and outside the driver’s sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals.

In its application, Volvo/Prevost stated:

Volvo/Prevost is making this request so it is possible to introduce a Lane Departure Warning system in line with [the] NHTSA Bus Safety plan as it already did for several other safety features. The camera must be installed in the wiper swept area of [the] windshield for the system to perform correctly because it must have a clear forward facing view of the road. On a today’s typical coach the lower part of the windshield is outside the driver’s sight lines to the road and highway signs and signals which is different from a truck. Therefore, we request the installation of the camera on the lower part of the windshield within the bottom 7 inches of the wiper swept area.

In addition, Volvo/Prevost noted that without the proposed temporary exemption, it will not be able to deploy the lane departure warning system in motorcoaches because (1) its customers will be fined for violating the current regulation, (2) the lane departure warning system will not perform adequately and will not bring the safety benefits expected, and (3) the camera would be more in the field of view of the driver. Volvo/Prevost states that if the exemption is granted, it “will be able to install the LDW camera system in a location which will offer the best opportunity to optimize the data and evaluate the benefits of such a system.”

### Request for Comments

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e), FMCSA requests public comment from all interested persons on Volvo/Prevost’s application for an exemption from 49 CFR 393.60(e)(1). All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated at the beginning of this notice will be considered and will be available for examination in the docket at the location listed under the ADDRESSES section of this notice. Comments received after the comment closing date will be filed in the public docket and will be considered to the extent practicable. In addition to late comments, FMCSA will also continue to file, in the public docket, relevant information that becomes available after the comment closing date. Interested persons should continue to examine the public docket for new material.
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

Qualification of Drivers; Exemption Applications; Vision

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of renewal of exemptions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces its decision to renew the exemptions from the vision requirement in the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations for 16 individuals. FMCSA has statutory authority to exempt individuals from the vision requirement if the exemptions granted will not compromise safety. The Agency has concluded that granting these exemption renewals will provide a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level of safety maintained without the exemptions for these commercial motor vehicle (CMV) drivers.

DATES: This decision is effective January 8, 2014. Comments must be received on or before January 17, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments bearing the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) numbers: Docket No. [Docket No. FMCSA–2005–22194; FMCSA–2007–0017], using any of the following methods:

- Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

Instructions: Each submission must include the Agency name and the docket number for this notice. Note that DOT posts all comments received without change to http://

www.regulations.gov, including any personal information included in a comment. Please see the Privacy Act heading below.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments, go to http://www.regulations.gov or before January 12, 2014. Comments must be received on or before January 17, 2014. Comments must be received on

http://www.regulations.gov. You may also mail comments in writing or through Federal.gov to the attention of Docket No. FMCSA–2005–22194 or Docket No. FMCSA–2007–0017. Comments received will be included in the docket record and posted on the Internet. You may submit comments, go to

http://www.regulations.gov. This decision is effective January 8, 2014.

The exemptions are extended subject to the following conditions: (1) That each individual has a physical examination every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the requirements in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provides a copy of the ophthalmologist’s or optometrist’s report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver’s qualification file and retains a copy of the certification on his/her person while driving for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official. Each exemption will be valid for two years unless rescinded earlier by FMCSA.

Basis for Renewing Exemptions

Under 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, FMCSA may renew an exemption from the vision requirements in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), which applies to drivers of CMVs in interstate commerce, for a two-year period if it finds “such exemption would likely achieve a level of safety that is equivalent to or greater than the level that would be achieved absent such exemption.” The procedures for requesting an exemption (including renewals) are set out in 49 CFR part 381.

Exemption Decision

This notice addresses 16 individuals who have requested renewal of their exemptions in accordance with FMCSA procedures. FMCSA has evaluated these 16 applications for renewal on their merits and decided to extend each exemption for a renewable two-year period. They are:

- Garry A. Baker (OH)
- Richard D. Becotte (NH)
- Wayne A. Burnett (NC)
- Alex G. Dlugolenski (CT)
- Clinton D. Edwards (NE)
- Jimmy D. Gregory (AR)
- Boleslaw Makowski (WI)
- Joseph W. Meacham (LA)
- Charles M. Moore (TX)
- Gary T. Murray (GA)
- Anthony D. Ovitt (VT)
- John R. Parsons, III (VA)
- Martin Postina (IL)
- Steven S. Reinsvold (WI)
- George E. Todd (WV)
- Bradley A. Weiser (OH)

The exemptions are extended subject to the following conditions: (1) That each individual has a physical examination every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the requirements in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provides a copy of the ophthalmologist’s or optometrist’s report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver’s qualification file and retains a copy of the certification on his/her person while driving for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official. Each exemption will be valid for two years unless rescinded earlier by FMCSA.

The exemptions are extended subject to the following conditions: (1) That each individual has a physical examination every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that the vision in the better eye continues to meet the requirements in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical examiner who attests that the individual is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that each individual provides a copy of the ophthalmologist’s or optometrist’s report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that each individual provide a copy of the annual medical certification to the employer for retention in the driver’s qualification file and retains a copy of the certification on his/her person while driving for presentation to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official. Each exemption will be valid for two years unless rescinded earlier by FMCSA.

Basis for Renewing Exemptions

Under 49 U.S.C. 31135(b)(1), an exemption may be granted for no longer than two years from its approval date and may be renewed upon application for additional two year periods. In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31136(e) and 31315, each of the 16 applicants has satisfied the entry conditions for obtaining an exemption from the vision requirements (70 FR 57353; 70 FR 72689; 72 FR 62497; 72 FR 67340; 73 FR 1395; 74 FR 60021; 74 FR 65845; 76 FR 70210; 76 FR 78728). Each of these 16 applicants has requested renewal of the