foreign investments in these two categories of Commission licensees. Therefore, we believe it is appropriate that our review of proposed broadcast investments remain on a case-by-case basis and be allowed to mature before we consider comprehensive rules and procedures similar to those applicable to foreign investment in common carrier licensees. 32

16. Some commenters have asserted that the underlying national security rationale for section 310(b)(4) in the broadcast area, protection from foreign propaganda in radio and television stations, no longer exists. Although many new potential threats and national security issues have arisen as technology has advanced,33 we do not believe that the historical statutory concern for foreign influence over broadcast stations has disappeared. Broadcast stations are licensed to serve the needs and interests of local U.S. communities. They uniquely offer a range of critical information services to the American public, including, for instance, the provision of local, state, national, and international news, national Emergency Alerts, local severe weather alerts, Amber Alerts for missing children, and homeland security information. Ensuring that the ownership of broadcast licensees serves the public interest is embodied in a statutory directive with which we must faithfully comply and we will evaluate applications proposing foreign broadcast ownership accordingly. In particular, we will address each specific situation in terms of its potential public interest benefits and any relevant public interest concerns, including national security concerns, consistent with the statute and this Declaratory Ruling.

IV. Ordering Clause

17. Accordingly, it is ordered that, pursuant to the authority contained in sections 4(i) and 310(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 310(b), 5 U.S.C. 554(e) and § 1.2 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.2, this Declaratory Ruling in MB Docket No. 13-50 is adopted.

32 Some commenters raise additional suggestions for Commission review of foreign investment in broadcast licensees. Although many of these recommendations proffer thoughtful contributions to the proceeding record, it is premature to adopt them at this time. Our consideration of the numerous overarching issues involved in this area is ongoing. As we continue to address applications on a case-by-case basis, we will ascertain whether it is appropriate to conduct a rulemaking proceeding.

Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these requests, call (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Send written comments to CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

**Proposed Project**

Chemical Emergencies Audience Analysis—New—Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR).

**Background and Brief Description**

The National Center for Environmental Health (NCEH) and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) play a vital role in mitigating chemical-related risks to public health. As part of that role, both agencies are responsible for assessing, minimizing, and monitoring risks to public health, and are tasked with providing trusted, accurate health information to the public. Given that both agencies are under the same leadership, information collected to inform health communications will be of value to both agencies.

The Office of Communications is seeking a one-year OMB-approval for an initiative to increase the effectiveness of the agencies’ communications related to both unintentional and intentional chemical releases. In order to inform the development of messages and materials, the Office of Communications would like to understand the knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors (KAB) of key professional audiences who are involved in the immediate aftermath of chemical emergencies. In consultation with Subject Matter Experts, the Office of Communications prioritized the following professional audiences for this research:

- First responders, including police, firefighters and emergency medical service workers
- Emergency department personnel, both clinical and non-clinical
- Environmental and public health professionals at the city, county and state levels
- Poison Control Center directors and staff

This information collection seeks to characterize what these key professionals know and believe about chemical emergency events, what related activities and behaviors they engage in or would engage in, what information these audiences want, and what their challenges and concerns are.

This information collection seeks approval to obtain data using two qualitative data collection methods. The first method includes focus groups to explore the KAB of members of these key professions in a group setting, allowing for dialogue between participants to provide the Office of Communications with in-depth information about this complex topic. Focus groups will take place remotely using Webinar technology, and participants will join the discussion by telephone. Although the Recruitment Screeners vary by respondent type, the same Moderator’s Guide will be used for all focus groups. The second part of this information collection will include individual interviews with state-level environmental health professionals and Poison Control Center directors. Individual interviews will allow the agencies to gather in-depth information about state-level response structures and Poison Control Centers. Interviews will take place by telephone. To help ensure that participants have some experience responding to chemical emergencies, participants will be recruited from five states with the highest number of chemical emergencies, and within those states, from the areas where the highest number of incidents have occurred.

There are no costs to respondents other than their time. The total estimated annual burden hours are 138 hours.

**ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of respondents</th>
<th>Form name</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Average burden per response (in hrs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First responders</td>
<td>Focus Group Recruitment Screener</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department personnel</td>
<td>Focus Group Moderator Guide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County or city environmental health professionals.</td>
<td>Focus Group Recruitment Screener</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison Control Center staff</td>
<td>Focus Group Moderator Guide</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State environmental health professionals</td>
<td>Focus Group Recruitment Screener</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poison Control Center directors</td>
<td>Focus Group Moderator Guide</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interview Recruitment Screener</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interview Guide</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and Families**

**Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request**

**Title:** Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (FACES).

**OMB No.:** 0970–0151.

**Description:** The Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation (OPRE), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is proposing to collect data for a new round of the Head Start Family and Child Experiences Survey (FACES). Featuring a new “Core Plus” Study design, FACES 2014–2018 will provide data on a set of key indicators, including information for performance measures,