feedback, the SROs filed with the Commission an NMS plan to govern the SROs’ process for the selection of a CAT plan processor, and for mitigating conflicts of interest that might arise in the process (the “Selection NMS Plan”).

In the Current Request Letter, the SROs state that a temporary exemption is necessary and appropriate regardless of whether the Commission approves the Selection NMS Plan. Specifically, the SROs note that if the Selection NMS Plan is approved, they believe it will take approximately seven months from the receipt of the bids to review and evaluate the bids, perform the in-depth and thorough analysis . . . required by Rule 613, and draft the CAT NMS plan for submission to the SEC.”

The SROs further state that “[b]ecause the content of the bids is critical to the analysis needed to draft the CAT NMS Plan, the SROs estimate that seven months following the receipt of bids is necessary to ensure that they can fully address the considerations enumerated in Rule 613, including a discussion of the costs and benefits of not only the proposed solution(s) but also of the alternative solutions considered but not proposed as the solution in the CAT NMS Plan, so that the Commission and the public have sufficiently detailed information to carefully consider all aspects of the CAT NMS Plan ultimately submitted by the SROs.”

If the Selection NMS Plan is not approved, the SROs explain that they will need the temporary exemption to allow bidders additional time to finalize their bids and allow the SROs additional time to develop an alternative process for evaluating the bids, developing the CAT NMS Plan, and selecting the CAT plan processor.

Section 36 of the Exchange Act authorizes the Commission, by rule, regulation, or order, to exempt, either conditionally or unconditionally, any person, security, or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities, or transactions, from any provision or provisions of the Exchange Act or any rule or regulation thereunder, to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, and is consistent with the protection of investors.

The Commission finds that it is appropriate in the public interest, and is consistent with the protection of investors, to grant the SROs a temporary exemption from the deadline for filing the CAT NMS Plan contained in Rule 613(a)(1) until September 30, 2014. The Commission believes that granting the exemption is appropriate in light of the need for the SROs to establish a deadline for finalizing and submitting bids in response to the RFP; to evaluate the bids submitted and select the CAT Plan Processor under the Selection NMS Plan; if the Selection NMS Plan is approved by the Commission, or an alternative process if the Selection NMS Plan is not approved by the Commission; and to draft the CAT NMS Plan.

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered, pursuant to Section 36 of the Exchange Act, that the SROs are temporarily exempted from the deadline for submitting the NMS plan to govern the creation, implementation, and maintenance of a consolidated audit trail and central repository contained in Rule 613(a)(1) until September 30, 2014.

By the Commission.

Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration
Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of Renewed Approval of Information Collection: Advanced Qualification Program (AQP)
AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.
ACTION: Notice and request for comments.
SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to renew an information collection. The Advanced Qualification Program uses data driven quality control processes for validating and maintaining the effectiveness of air carrier training program curriculum content.
DATES: Written comments should be submitted by February 10, 2014.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy DePaepe at (405) 954–9362, or by email at: Kathy.DePaepe@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
OMB Control Number: 2120–0701.
Title: Advanced Qualification Program (AQP).
Type of Numbers: There are no FAA forms associated with this collection.
Type of Review: Renewal of an information collection.
Background: Under Special Federal Aviation Regulation No. 58, Advanced Qualification Program (AQP), the FAA provides certificated air carriers, as well as training centers they employ, with a regulatory alternative for training, checking, qualifying, and certifying aircrew personnel subject to the requirements of 14 CFR parts 121 and 135. The main goal of the AQP is to improve flight crew performance by providing alternative means of complying with certain rules that may inhibit innovative use of modern technology for flight crewmember training. AQP is continuously validated through the collection and analysis of trainee performance. Data collection and analysis processes ensure that the certificate holder provides performance information on its crewmembers, flight instructors, and evaluators that will enable the certificate holder and the FAA to determine whether the form and content of training and evaluation activities are satisfactorily accomplishing the overall objectives of the curriculum.

Respondents: 18 respondents with approved Advanced Qualification Programs.
Frequency: Data is collected monthly.
Estimated Average Burden per Response: 2 hours.
Estimated Total Annual Burden: 432 hours.
ADDRESSES: Send comments to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Kathy DePaepe, Room 126B, Federal Aviation Administration, AES–200, 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169.
Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA’s performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB’s clearance of this information collection.


15 See Current Request Letter, supra note 9.
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of Renewed Approval of Information Collection: General Aviation and Air Taxi Activity and Avionics Survey

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval to renew an information collection. Respondents to this survey are owners of general aviation aircraft. This information is used by FAA, NTSB, and other government agencies, the aviation industry, and others for safety assessment, planning, forecasting, cost/benefit analysis, and to target areas for research. Respondents: Approximately 83,500 owners of general aviation aircraft. Frequency: Information is collected annually.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: 20 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden: 13,000 hours.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the FAA at the following address: Ms. Kathy DePaepe, Room 126B, Federal Aviation Administration, AES–200, 6500 S. MacArthur Blvd., Oklahoma City, OK 73169.

Public Comments Invited: You are asked to comment on any aspect of this information collection, including (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for FAA’s performance; (b) the accuracy of the estimated burden; (c) ways for FAA to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (d) ways that the burden could be minimized without reducing the quality of the collected information. The agency will summarize and/or include your comments in the request for OMB’s clearance of this information collection.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 6, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathy DePaepe at (405) 954–9362, or by email at: Kathy.DePaepe@faa.gov.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Requests for Comments; Clearance of a New Approval of Information Collection: Information Regarding Ferry Flights in On-Demand Operations

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for a new information collection. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on August 30, 2013, vol. 78, no. 169, pages 53817–53818. The collection involves an assessment of the number of ferry flights typically conducted by on-demand air carriers and the costs associated with those flights. The information to be collected will be used to conduct a benefit cost analysis in connection with rulemaking as required by Congress.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted by January 13, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin Zhu at (202) 267–4110 or by email at: martin.zhu@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB Control Number: 2120–XXXX. Title: Information Regarding Ferry Flights in On-Demand Operations. Form Numbers: There are no FAA forms associated with this specific collection of information.

Type of Review: Clearance of a new information collection.

Background: In response to the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (Public Law 112–95), the FAA will initiate a rulemaking to change part 91 tail-end ferry flight limitations and rest requirements. The rule would apply part 135 flight limitations and rest requirements to today’s part 91 tail-end ferry flights (a part 91 flight following the last part 135 flight in a duty period). The FAA will use the results of this collection of information as the basis for the cost and benefit estimate of the proposed rule. The FAA requests comments on the proposed questions below in order to help assess costs.

Survey Questions

1. How many total part 135 operations do you have annually?

2. For comparative purposes, how many aircraft are flown in your part 135 services?

3. How many tail-end ferry flights flown under part 91 would be curtailed if pilots need to fly under part 135 of rest and duty requirements?

4. What percentage of these tail-end ferry flights would be accounted as single-pilot flights?

5. Would another crewmember fly the aircraft to its destination?

6. What would be the average cost of tail-end ferries flown under part 91 rules?

7. What would be the average cost of tail-end ferries flown under part 135 rest and duty rules?

8. Please itemize key cost-drivers to comply with the proposed rule.

Respondents: Part 135 operators conducting part 91 tail-end ferry flight. We estimate 2,153 of part 135 operators have such operations.

Frequency: One time.