that POR. When the sale of the subject merchandise occurs within the POR specified by the Department’s regulations, but the entry occurs after the POR, the specified POR may be extended unless it would be likely to prevent the completion of the review within the time limits set by the Department’s regulations.\textsuperscript{10} Additionally, the preamble to the Department’s regulations states that both the entry and the sale should occur during the POR, and that under “appropriate” circumstances the Department has the flexibility to extend the POR.\textsuperscript{11} The Department finds that extending the POR to capture this entry would not prevent the completion of the review within the time limits set by the Department’s regulations. Therefore, the Department has extended the POR for the new shipper review of Success by fourteen days.

\textbf{Initiation of New Shipper Review}

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(2), we find that the request submitted by Success meets the threshold requirements for initiation of a new shipper review for shipments of drawn sinks from the PRC produced by Xinhe and exported by Success. If the information supplied by Success is later found to be incorrect\textsuperscript{12} or insufficient during the course of this proceeding, the Department may rescind the review or apply adverse facts available, depending upon the facts on record. The Department will conduct this review according to the deadlines set forth in section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

It is the Department’s usual practice, in cases involving non-market economies, to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide evidence of \textit{de jure} and \textit{de facto} absence of government control over the company’s export activities. Accordingly, included in our questionnaire will be specific questions for ascertaining Success’s eligibility for a separate rate. The review will proceed if the responses provide sufficient indication that Success is not subject to either \textit{de jure} or \textit{de facto} government control with respect to its exports.

We will instruct CBP to allow, at the option of the importer until the completion of the review, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise exported by Success and produced by Xinhe in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). To assist in its analysis of the \textit{bona fides} of this company’s sales, upon initiation of this new shipper review, the Department will require Success to submit on an ongoing basis complete transaction information concerning any sales of subject merchandise to the United States that were made subsequent to the POR. Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 19 CFR 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: November 27, 2013.

\textbf{Christian Marsh,}
\textit{Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.}

\[\text{[FR Doc. 2013–29022 Filed 12–3–13; 8:45 am]}\]

\textbf{BILLING CODE 3510–05–P}

\section*{DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE}

\section*{International Trade Administration}

\textbf{[Application No. 92–12A001]}

\textbf{Export Trade Certificate of Review}

\textbf{ACTION:} Notice of application to amend the Export Trade Certificate of Review issued to Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc., Application no. 92–12A001.

\textbf{SUMMARY:} The Office of Trade and Economic Analysis (“OSTE”) of the International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application to amend an Export Trade Certificate of Review (“Certificate”). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

\textbf{FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:}
Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, (202) 482–5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etc@trade.gov.

\textbf{SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:} Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001–21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the \textbf{Federal Register} identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

\textbf{Request for Public Comments}

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked as privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential.

An original and five (5) copies, plus two (2) copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 7025–X, Washington, DC 20230.

Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as “Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 92–12A001.”

The Aerospace Industries Association of America Inc. (“AIA”) original Certificate was issued on September 8, 1992 (57 FR 41920, September 14, 1992). A summary of the current application for an amendment follows.

\textbf{Summary of the Application}

\textbf{Applicant:} Aerospace Industries Association of America, Inc. (“AIA”), 1000 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 1700, Arlington, VA 22209.

\textbf{Contact:} Matthew F. Hall, Attorney, Telephone: (206) 862–9700.

\textbf{Application No.:} 92–12A001.

\textbf{Date Deemed Submitted:} November 21, 2013.

\textbf{Proposed Amendment:} AIA seeks to amend its Certificate to:

1. Add the following companies as new Members of the Certificate within...
the meaning of section 325.2(i) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(i)): Aero Mechanical Industries (Rio Rancho, NM); Avascent (Washington, DC); Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp., (Boulder, CO); Castle Metals (Oak Brook, IL); Crane Aerospace & Electronics (Lynnwood, WA); EPS Corporation (Tinton Falls, NJ); Oxford Performance Materials (South Windsor, CT), and The Padina Group, Inc. (Lancaster, PA).


3. Change in name or address for the following Members: Acutec Precision Machining, Inc. (Saegertown, PA) is Acutec Precision Machining, Inc.; Cubic Defense Applications, Inc. (San Diego, CA) has been replaced by Cubic Corporation, Inc. (San Diego, CA); Galactic Ventures, LLC (Las Cruces, NM) has changed its name to Virgin Galactic, LLC.; Green Brothers Aviation, Inc. (Salt Lake City, UT) has changed its name to Green Brothers Aviation Global, Inc.; ITT Exelis, McLean, VA has changed its name to Exelis, Inc.; NYLOCK Corporation (Macomb, MI) has changed its name to NYLOCK, LLC; PARTsolutions, LLC (Milford, OH) has changed its name to CADENAS PARTsolutions, LLC (Cincinnati, OH); and SAP Public Services, Inc. (Washington, DC) has changed to SAP America, Inc. (Newtown Square, PA).

AIA’s proposed amendment of its Export Trade Certificate of Review would result in the following membership list:

3M Company, St. Paul, MN
AAR Manufacturing, Inc., Wood Dale, IL
Accenture, Chicago, IL
Acutec Precision Machining, Inc., Saegertown, PA
Aero-Mark, LLC, Ontario CA
Aero Mechanical Industries, Rio Rancho, NM
Aerojet, Rancho Cordova, CA
AGC Aerospace Defense, Oklahoma City, OK
Aireon LLC, McLean, VA
Alcoa Defense, Crystal City, VA
Align Aerospace, LCC, Chatsworth, CA
Alliant Fastening Systems, City of Industry, CA
Alliant Techsystems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN
Allied Barton Security Services, LLC, Conshohocken, PA
Allied Telesis, Inc., Bothell, WA
American Pacific Corporation, Las Vegas, NV
AMT II Corporation, New York, NY
Analytical Graphics, Inc., Exton, PA
AIRCARE Aerospace, Annapolis, MD
Aurora Flight Sciences Corporation, Manassas, VA
AUSCO, Inc., Port Washington, NY
Avascent; Washington, DC
B&G Group, LLC, Southwick, MA
B/E Aerospace, Inc., Wellington, FL
BAE Systems, Inc., Rockville, MD
Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp., Boulder, CO
Barnes Group Inc., Bristol, CT
Belcan Corporation, Cincinnati, OH
Benchmark Electronics, Inc., Angleton, TX
The Boeing Company, Chicago, IL
Bombardier, Montreal, Canada
BRS Aerospace, St. Paul, MN
CAGE USA Inc., Tampa, FL
Camcode Division of Horizons, Inc., Cleveland, OH
Castle Metals, Oak Brook, IL
Celestica Corporation, Toronto, Canada
CERTON Software, Inc., Melbourne, FL
Chromalloy, San Antonio, TX
Click Bond, Inc., Carson City, NV
Cobham, Arlington, VA
Colt Defense, LLC, West Hartford, CT
Computer Sciences Corporation, Falls Church, VA
CPI Aerostuctures, Inc., Edgewood, NY
Cranes Aerospace & Electronics; Lynnwood, WA
Cubic Corporation, Inc., San Diego, CA
Curtiss-Wright Corporation, Parsippany, NJ
Deloitte Consulting LLP, New York, NY
Doltek, Inc., Herndon, VA
Denison Industries, Inc., Denison, TX
DitigalGlobe, Inc., Longmont, CO
Ducommun Incorporated, Carson, CA
Dupont Company, New Castle, DE
Eaton Corporation, Cleveland, OH
Elbit Systems of America, LLC, Fort Worth, TX
Embraer Aircraft Holding, Inc., Fort Lauderdale, FL
ENSCO, Inc., Falls Church, VA
EPS Corporation; Tinton Falls, NJ
Ericsson Air-Crane, Inc., Portland, OR
Ernst Young LLP, New York, NY
ESI North America, Bloomfield Hills, MI
ESIS, Inc., San Diego, CA
Esterline Technologies, Bellevue, WA
Exostar, LLC, Herndon, VA
Flextronics International USA, Inc., San Jose, CA
Flight Safety International, Inc., Chula Vista, CA
Flushing, NY
Fluor Corporation, Irvine, TX
FTG Circuits, Inc., Chatsworth, CA
Galaxy Technologies, Winfield, KS
General Dynamics Corporation, Falls Church, VA
General Electric Aviation, Cincinnati, OH
GKN Aerospace North America, Irving, TX
Green-Pond Aviation Global, Inc., Salt Lake City, UT
Guardsmark, LLC, New York, NY
Harris Corporation, Melbourne, FL
HCL America Inc., Sunnyvale, CA
HEICO Corporation, Hollywood, FL
Hexon, Corporation, Sturbridge, CT
Hi-Shine Technology Corporation, Torrance, CA
HITCO Carbon Composites, Inc., Gardena, CA
Honeywell Aerospace, Phoenix, AZ
HP Enterprise Services—Aerospace, Palo Alto, CA
Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc., Newport News, VA
Hydra Electric Company, Burbank, CA
IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY
IEC Electronics Corporation, Newark, NJ
Infotech Enterprises America Inc., East Hartford, CT
Exelis, Inc., McLean, VA
Jabil Defense & Aerospace Services LLC, St. Petersburg, FL
Kaman Aerospace Corporation, Bloomfield, CT
Kemet Electronics Corporations, Simpsonville, SC
KPMG LLP, New York, NY
L-3 Communications Corporation, New York, NY
LAI International, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ
LMI Aerospace, Inc., St. Charles, MO
Lockheed Martin Corporation, Bethesda, MD
Lord Corporation, Cary, NC
Marotta Controls, Inc., Montville, NJ
Meggitt-USA, Inc., Simi, CA
Micro-Coax, Inc., Pittstown, PA
Microsemi Corporation, Aliso Viejo, CA
MOOG Inc., East Aurora, NY
Natifel Engineering Company, Inc., Chatsworth, CA
National Technical Systems, Inc., Calabasas, CA
NobleTek, Wooster, OH
The NORDAM Group, Inc., Tulsa, OK
Northrop Grumman Corporation, Los Angeles, CA
NYLOCK, LLC, Macomb, MI
O’Neil Associates Inc., Miami, OH
Orange Engineering and Manufacturing, Inc., Chatsworth, CA
Oracle USA Inc., Redwood Shores, CA
Oxford Performance Materials; South Windsor, CT
Pacific Engineering, Inc., Mukilteo, WA
Pall Aerospace Corporation, New Port Richey, FL
Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA
Parker Aerospace, Irvine, CA
CADENAS PARTsolutions, LLC, Cincinnati, OH
Pinkerton Government Services, Inc., Springfield, VA
Plexus Corporation, Neenah, WI
PPG Aerospace-Sierracin Corporation, Sylmar, CA
PWC Aerospace & Defense Advisory Services, McLean, VA
RAF Talbotron LLC, Deland, FL
Raytheon Company, Waltham, MA
Realization Technologies Inc., San Jose, CA
Rhinstahl Corporation, Mason, OH
Rix Industries, Benicia, CA
Rockwell Collins, Inc., Cedar Rapids, IA
Rolls-Royce North America, Inc., Reston, VA
RTI International Metals, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA
Satair USA Inc., Atlanta, GA
SAP America, Inc., Newtown Square, PA
SCB Training Inc., Santa Fe Springs, CA
Science Applications International Corporation, McLean, VA
Seal Science, Inc., Irvine, CA
Siemens PLM Software, Plano, TX
Sierra Nevada Corporation, Space Systems, Littleton, CO
SiFICO Industries, Inc., Cleveland, OH
Sila Solutions Group, Tukwila, WA
SITA, Atlanta, GA
Space Exploration Technologies Corporation, Hawthorne, CA
Sparton Corporation, Schaumburg, IL
Spirit AeroSystems, Inc., Wichita, KS
SRA International, Inc., Fairfax, VA
TASC, Inc., Chantilly, VA
Tech Manufacturing, LLC, Wright City, MO
Texton Inc., Providence, RI
The Padina Group, Inc.; Lancaster, PA
Therm, Incorporated, Ithaca, NY
Timken Aerospace Transmissions, LLC., Manchester, CT
Triumph Group Inc., Wayne, PA
United Technologies Corporation, Hartford, CT
Virgin Galactic, LLC, Las Cruces, NM
Wesco Aircraft Hardware Corporation, Valencia, CA
Woodward, Inc., Fort Collins, CO

Dated: November 27, 2013.

Emily Kilcrease, Acting Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis.

[FR Doc. 2013–28966 Filed 12–3–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–BD77

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; Snapper-Grouper Fishery Off the South Atlantic States; Regulatory Amendment 17

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS); request for comments; notice of scoping meetings.

SUMMARY: NMFS, Southeast Region, in collaboration with the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council), intends to prepare a DEIS to describe and analyze a range of alternatives for management actions to be included in Regulatory Amendment 17 to the Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region (Regulatory Amendment 17). Regulatory Amendment 17 will consider alternatives to modify existing marine protected areas (MPAs) and establish new MPAs. The purpose of this NOI is to solicit public comments on the scope of issues to be addressed in the DEIS and to announce scoping meetings.

DATES: Written comments on the scope of issues to be addressed in the DEIS will be accepted until January 3, 2014.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments on the amendment identified by “NOAA–NMFS–2013–0164” by any of the following methods:

• Electronic submissions: Submit electronic comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Go to www.regulations.gov/ #docketDetail;D=NOAA-NMFS-2013-0164, click the “Comment Now!” icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

• Mail: Submit written comments to Rick DeVictor, Southeast Regional Office, NMFS, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701.

Instructions: Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public viewing on www.regulations.gov without change. All personal identifying information (e.g., name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter “N/A” in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous). Attachments to electronic comments will be accepted in Microsoft Word, Excel, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick DeVictor, Southeast Regional Office, telephone: 727–824–5305, or email: rick.devictor@noaa.gov. Kim Iverson, Public Information Officer, South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council, 4055 Faber Place Drive, Suite 201, North Charleston, SC 29405; telephone: 843–571–4366, or email: kim.iverson@safmc.net.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Council and NMFS have implemented annual catch limits, accountability measures, harvest prohibitions, and management measures for deep-water snapper-grouper species managed by the Council. Deep-water snapper-grouper species include speckled hind, warsaw grouper, snowy grouper, blue line tilefish, yellowedge grouper, misty grouper, queen snapper, and silk snapper beyond a depth of 240 ft (73 m) (75 FR 82280, December 30, 2010). Following the implementation of the deep-water fishing prohibition, the Council and NMFS were presented with a new analysis of catch data (June 1, 2011, SERO–LAPP–2011–06 Report) and the results of a study conducted by the state of North Carolina through an exempted fishing permit study. Based on that new information, the Council and NMFS, through Regulatory Amendment 11 to the FMP, removed the 240-ft (73-m) harvest prohibition on six deep-water snapper-grouper species (77 FR 27374, May 10, 2012) and concluded that other management measures would be more effective in reducing discard mortality of speckled hind and warsaw grouper and minimizing the socio-economic effects to deep-water snapper-grouper fishers.

The DEIS for Regulatory Amendment 17 would consider alternatives to modify existing MPAs and establish new MPAs. In 2009, through Amendment 14 to the FMP, the Council and NMFS implemented eight MPAs in the South Atlantic, where possession, retention, and fishing for all snapper-grouper species in the FMP is prohibited (74 FR 1621, January 13, 2009). The intent of the eight MPAs is to protect long-lived, deep-water snapper-grouper species, including speckled hind and warsaw grouper.

Through Regulatory Amendment 17, the Council intends to further reduce bycatch mortality of speckled hind and warsaw grouper and increase protection to their deep-water habitat.

An NOI to prepare a DEIS for the Comprehensive Ecosystem-Based Amendment 3 (CE–BA 3) was published on May 23, 2012 (77 FR 30506). One proposed action in CE–BA 3 was to modify existing MPAs or to establish new ones; however, that action has since been moved to Regulatory Amendment 17. Since the publication of the CE–BA 3 NOI, the Council has held five public workshops in the spring and summer of 2012 to allow the public an opportunity to provide locations of catch and habitat for speckled hind and warsaw grouper. In addition, the Council convened meetings of an MPA Expert Workgroup in May 2012 and February 2013. The workgroup, comprised of fishermen and scientists, developed potential sites for MPA designation to further protect speckled hind and warsaw grouper based on available data. The workgroup presented their recommendations to the Council at the June 2012 and March 2013 Council meetings.