will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, EPA will issue another Federal Register notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

Abstract: With this ICR renewal, EPA is seeking permission to continue recordkeeping and reporting requirements for refiners and importers as they relate to gasoline sulfur content of motor vehicles under Section 211(e)(1) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR part 80, subpart H, and to provide a compliance option whereby a refiner or importer may demonstrate compliance with the gasoline sulfur control requirement via test results. These provisions, which have been in effect since 2006, are designed to grant compliance flexibility.

Respondents/affected entities:
Gasoline Refiners, Importers, Gasoline Terminals, Pipelines, Truckers and Users of Research and Development Gasoline.

Respondent’s obligation to respond: Mandatory.

Estimated number of respondents: 1,380 (total).

Frequency of response: On occasion, monthly and annually.

Total estimated burden: 38,498 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: $3,158,065 (per year), which includes no annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in Estimates: This collection has 1,380 respondents. The total annual hourly burden and cost for this ICR is estimated to be 38,571 hours and $3,158,065, respectively. The total number of responses for this ICR is estimated to be 37,665. The cost of this ICR compared with the currently approved OMB collection increased by $584,111 due to better numbers used to calculate burden. The number of respondents, responses and hours has not changed in this renewal.

Dated: November 21, 2013.

Byron Bunker,
Director, Compliance Division.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Draft National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges From Industrial Activities; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: On September 27, 2013 the EPA Regions 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 published a request for comments on EPA’s draft 2013 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) general permit for stormwater discharges from industrial activity, also referred to as the Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP). As initially published in the Federal Register, written comments were to be submitted to the EPA on or before November 26, 2013 (a 60-day public comment period). Since publication, the EPA has received a request for additional time to submit comments. Therefore, the EPA is extending the public comment period for 30 days until December 26, 2013.

DATES: The public comment period for the review published September 27, 2013 (79 FR 59672) is being extended for 30 days to December 26, 2013 in order to provide the public additional time to submit comments and supporting information.

ADDRESSES: Comments: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2012–0803, by one of the following methods:
• http://www.regulations.gov: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
• Email: waterdocket@epa.gov, Attention Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2012–0803.
• Fax: (202) 566–9744

Instructions: Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OW–2012–0803. The EPA’s policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and could be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless there is another privacy issue involved. Do not submit information that you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through www.regulations.gov your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment.

Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about the EPA’s public docket visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at http://www.epa.gov/epahome/dockets.htm. For additional instructions on submitting comments, go to the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Water Docket, EPA/D, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the Water Docket is (202) 566–2426.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information contact, Bryan Rittenhouse, Office of Wastewater Management (4203M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–0577; fax number: (202) 564–6384; email address: rittenhouse.bryan@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

The draft permit, once finalized, will replace the previous permit covering stormwater discharges from industrial facilities in EPA’s Regions 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, and 10 that expired September 29, 2013, and will provide coverage for industrial facilities in areas where EPA is the NPDES permitting authority in EPA’s Regions 7 and 8. This draft permit is similar to the previous permit and will authorize the discharge of stormwater in accordance with the terms and conditions described therein. EPA proposes to issue this permit for five (5) years. EPA seeks comment on the draft permit and on the accompanying fact sheet.

II. Extension of Comment Period for the NPDES Draft Multi-Sector General Permit

The EPA is extending the deadline for submitting comments on the draft NPDES stormwater Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) to December 26, 2013. The original deadline for comments, based on a 60-day comment period, was November 26, 2013. The EPA’s decision responds to a request to extend the comment deadline. The EPA believes that this 30-day extension will assist in providing an adequate amount of additional time for the public to review the action and to provide written comments.

Dated: November 25, 2013.
H. Curtis Spalding,
Regional Administrator, EPA Region 1.

Dated: November 20, 2013.
Jose C. Font,
Director, Caribbean Environmental Protection Division, EPA Region 2.

Dated: November 20, 2013.
Jon M. Capacasa,
Director, Water Protection Division, EPA Region 3.

Dated: November 19, 2013.
Tinka G. Hyde,
Director, Water Division, EPA Region 5.

Dated: November 19, 2013.
William K. Honker,
Director, Water Quality Protection Division, EPA Region 6.

Dated: November 20, 2013.
Karen Flournoy,
Director, Water, Wetlands, and Pesticides Division, EPA Region 7.

Dated: November 19, 2013.
Howard M. Cantor,
Deputy Regional Administrator, EPA Region 8.

Dated: November 19, 2013.
Jane Diamond,
Director, Water Division, EPA Region 9.

Dated: November 19, 2013.
Daniel D. Opalski,
Director, Office of Water and Watersheds, EPA Region 10.

BILLY CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
[60-Day–14–14DF]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–7570 or send comments to LeRoy Richardson, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Sexually Transmitted Disease Services at US Colleges and Universities: Where are we now?—New—National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention (NCHHSTP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

Approximately 43% of the over 30 million 18–24 year olds in the United States are currently enrolled in college or graduate school. These institutions comprise a mix of 2-year and 4-year colleges, public and private institutions, technical schools, and community colleges. In the U.S., young adulthood is the peak age group for many risk behaviors including unprotected sex. College students, who are typically at the age of most risk for acquiring a sexually transmitted disease (STD), may face challenges when seeking sexual and reproductive health care on campus.

The last national study exploring the availability of STD services in US colleges and universities (2- and 4-year) was conducted in 2001 and found that only 60% (474/736) of schools had a health center. Health centers were more common among larger schools (greater than 4,000 students) that were privately funded and 4-year universities with housing. Of the health centers provided, 66% provided STD services, 55% provided obstetrical and gynecologic care, and 54% provided contraceptive services.

National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) data estimates that the percentage of 18- to 22-year-olds ever tested for HIV is 34.2%; and only 18% reported being tested in the past year. Although risk factors for HIV/STD transmission (e.g., sex with multiple partners, unprotected sex, and using drugs or alcohol during sexual activity) can be particularly evident among college students in general, students enrolled at colleges with significant...