(b) the accuracy of the Commission’s estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Please direct your written comments to: Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov.

Dated: November 22, 2013.
Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

Extension:
Form BD–N/Rule 15b11–1, SEC File No. 270–498, OMB Control No. 3235–0556.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 15b11–1 (17 CFR 240.15b11–1) requires that futures commission merchants and introducing brokers registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission that conduct a business in security futures products must notice-register as broker-dealers pursuant to Section 15(b)(11)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.). Form BD–N (17 CFR 249.501b) is the Form by which these entities must notice register with the Commission.

The total annual burden imposed by Rule 15b11–1 and Form BD–N is approximately 16 hours, based on approximately 60 responses (2 initial filings + 58 amendments). Each initial filing requires approximately 30 minutes to complete and each amendment requires approximately 15 minutes to complete. There is no annual cost burden.

The Commission will use the information collected pursuant to Rule 15b11–1 to understand the market for securities futures product and fulfill its regulatory obligations.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Comments should be directed to Thomas Bayer, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, or send an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted within 60 days of this notice.

Dated: November 22, 2013.
Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NSCC is proposing to modify its Rules & Procedures (“Rules”) to add a new service to NSCC’s Obligation Warehouse (“OW”) which would pair off and close certain open obligations, reducing the number of open obligations in OW, as more fully described below.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, NSCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. NSCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.


(A) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is for NSCC to modify its Rules to add a new service to NSCC’s Obligation Warehouse (“OW”) which would pair off and close certain open obligations, reducing the number of open obligations in OW, NSCC’s Obligation Warehouse, or “OW”, implemented in 2011, is a non-guaranteed, automated service that tracks, stores, and maintains unsettled ex-clearing and failed obligations, as well as obligations exited from NSCC’s Continuous Net Settlement (“CNS”) system, non-CNS Automated Customer Account Transfer Service (“ACATS”) Receive and Deliver Instructions, Balance Orders, and Special Trades, as defined in NSCC’s Rules (collectively “OW Obligations”). The service provides transparency, serves as a central storage of open (i.e. failed or unsettled) broker-to-broker obligations, and allows users to manage and resolve exceptions in an efficient and timely manner.

Simultaneously, OW provides ongoing maintenance and servicing of matched obligations that have not been marked by a Member as subject to upcoming delivery, closure, or cancellation. Examples of this on-going maintenance and servicing include adjustments for certain corporate actions, daily review for CNS eligibility, and regular processing of the Reconfirmation and Pricing Service (“RECAPS”) in the OW on days announced by Important Notices. During the daily review for CNS eligibility, OW Obligations that are eligible for CNS are exited from the OW and forwarded to CNS. On days when RECAPS is run in the OW, OW Obligations that are eligible for RECAPS are re-netted and, if appropriate, are marked to the current market price, and are provided with an updated settlement date of the next business day. NSCC is proposing to add a new service to OW, the Pair Off function, which would pair off and close certain open obligations, reducing

- the number of open obligations in OW. The Pair Off function would run once a day, immediately following the completion of the review for CNS eligibility.
- OW stores and maintains OW Obligations until they are settled, closed, or cancelled. Today, in order to reduce the number of obligations that remain on their books and records, Members may take actions away from NSCC to close out these open obligations. Those Members would then close the obligations in OW. The proposed Pair Off function would facilitate the close out of any OW Obligations that Members designate as eligible for the service. By facilitating the close out of these obligations in an automated manner within the OW, the Pair Off function would add transparency to the life cycle of these obligations that may otherwise be closed out away from NSCC. With respect to obligations that are removed from the OW as a result of a pair off, the function would also help Members to remove these obligations from their books and records, and would reduce those Members’ administrative costs associated with maintaining these obligations in OW.

Under the proposed rule change, NSCC Members would have the opportunity to designate certain OW Obligations that are in “Open” status in the OW to which they are a party to be eligible to participate in the OW Obligations in an “Open” status in the OW.

Under the proposal, eligible OW Obligations would be paired off where the quantity of underlying securities, the final money amount, or the settlement dates of the obligations may not be identical, and, in certain cases, one OW Obligation would be paired off against multiple OW Obligations. However, a pair off would never occur if it would result in: (1) a negative quantity of underlying securities in either of the original obligations, (2) it [sic] a negative final money amount, or (3) at least one of the obligations subject to the pair off to remain open, with a reduced quantity of underlying securities and have a final money amount of zero or less than zero. Additionally, OW Obligations in municipal bonds would only be eligible for pair off where the quantity of the underlying securities in the obligations subject to the pair off is identical and no underlying securities remain.

Where the pair off criteria are met, the OW Obligations would either be closed or, where the quantities of underlying securities are not exactly matched between obligations being paired off, the pair off would result in one or more of the obligations being reduced by the quantity of securities that were paired off. Those obligations would remain in “Open” status in OW and would be adjusted to reflect the reduced number

3 Obligations that are matched and have a settlement date of at least two days prior to the date on which the RECAPS process commences will be considered for inclusion in the RECAPS process, and therefore, fall items not already in the OW and eligible for RECAPS processing must be submitted by the Member prior to RECAPS processing.

4 In the event that the current market price for a security is not available, the obligation’s price details will be unchanged from when it was previously matched.

5 NSCC will announce by Important Notice days on which Pair Off function will not run, which may include days on which the RECAPS process is run in the OW.

6 Members may either participate in the Pair Off function on an account level, designating all OW Obligations in an “Open” status in the OW to which they are a party as eligible for the Pair Off function, and then opt out of the function with respect to certain OW Obligations; or they may designate only certain OW Obligations as eligible for pair off.

7 A transaction in a “when issued” security is made conditionally because the underlying security has been authorized but not yet issued, and will only settle after the security has been issued.
of underlying securities. Where the underlying final money amounts are not exactly matched between obligations being paired off, the pair off would result in a cash adjustment, which would be reflected in the Members’ money settlement with NSCC on the following business day.

Implementation Timeframe

Subject to approval of this filing, NSCC proposes to implement the Pair Off function during the first quarter of 2014. Pending Commission approval, Members will be advised of the implementation date through issuance of an NSCC Important Notice.

Proposed Rule Changes

NSCC is proposing to amend Rule 51 (Obligation Warehouse) and add a new Section E to the existing Procedure IIA (Obligation Warehouse) describing the Pair Off function.

2. Statutory Basis

NSCC believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to NSCC, in particular Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, which requires that NSCC’s Rules be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. By providing for greater efficiency and transparency with respect to obligations processed through the OW, the proposed rule change promotes the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

NSCC does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not yet been solicited or received. NSCC will notify the Commission of any written comments received by NSCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) by order approve or disapprove such a proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–NSCC–2013–11 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NSCC–2013–11. This file number will appear in any written communications related to the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

• Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR–NSCC–2013–11 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NSCC–2013–11. This file number will appear in any written communications related to the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

Currently, CBOE Rule 6.42(4) provides that bids and offers on complex orders may be expressed in any increment regardless of the minimum increments otherwise appropriate to the individual legs of the order. CBOE
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Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Order Approving a Proposed Rule Change to Amend CBOE Rule 6.42

November 22, 2013.

I. Introduction

On September 27, 2013, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”), and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, a proposed rule change to amend CBOE Rule 6.42, “Minimum Increments for Bids and Offers,” to establish a minimum quoting increment for complex orders. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on October 22, 2013. The Commission received no comment letters regarding the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposal

Currently, CBOE Rule 6.42(4) provides that bids and offers on complex orders may be expressed in any increment regardless of the minimum increments otherwise appropriate to the individual legs of the order.