

(See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with the any confidential filing. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of sections 201.10 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: November 14, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–27666 Filed 11–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On November 13, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana in the lawsuit entitled *The United States and The State of Louisiana v. The City of Shreveport, Louisiana*, Case No: 5:13–cv–03065. The Consent Decree resolves the claims of Plaintiffs in the complaint against The City of Shreveport, for Shreveport's sanitary sewer overflows in violation of Sections 301 and 309 of the Clean Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 1311 and 1319, and the terms and conditions of Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination permits issued to the City under Section 402 of the Clean Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 1342. Under the proposed Consent Decree, Shreveport has agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$650,000 and perform remediation of its wastewater collection treatment system, including the Lucas

and North Regional treatment plants, estimated to cost approximately \$141 million.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *The United States and the State of Louisiana v. The City of Shreveport, Louisiana*, DJ#: 90–5–1–1–2767/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov .
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent DECREES.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$36.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Thomas P. Carroll,

Assistant Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–27674 Filed 11–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Wheatland Pharmacy; Decision and Order

On July 17, 2012, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Wheatland Pharmacy (Applicant), of Dallas, Texas. The Show Cause Order proposed the denial of Applicant's pending application for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a retail pharmacy on the ground that its registration "would be inconsistent with

the public interest," as defined in 21 U.S.C. 823(f). GX 7, at 1.

The Show Cause Order alleged that on September 29, 2010, the Administrator issued an Order to Show Cause and Immediate Suspension of Registration to Applicant, and that, on January 18, 2011, Applicant voluntarily surrendered its previous registration. *Id.* at 1–2. Specifically, the Show Cause Order alleged that Lynn Michelle Clark, Applicant's owner/pharmacist, "unlawfully filled numerous fraudulent controlled substance prescriptions for individuals known to divert these drugs," and that she "knew or should have known that these prescriptions were fraudulent." *Id.* at 1. The Show Cause Order further alleged that "Ms. Clark failed to fulfill her responsibility to dispense controlled substances only pursuant to a prescription issued for a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice" and that she "also violated federal law by delivering prescriptions for controlled substances to persons who were not the ultimate users of the controlled substances." *Id.* at 1–2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 829, 841(a)(1), 842(a) and 802(10) & (27)). Finally, the Order alleged that on July 7, 2011, Ms. Clark submitted an application for a new registration on Applicant's behalf.¹ *Id.* at 1.

Thereafter, Applicant apparently requested a hearing on the allegations and the matter was placed on the docket of the Office of Administrative Law Judges. However, on October 4, 2012, Applicant moved for a stay of the proceeding pending action on its request to withdraw its application, and on October 5, 2012, the ALJ granted the motion. GX 14, at 1.

On November 7, 2012, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, denied Applicant's request to withdraw. GX 13, at 1. Thereafter, on November 26, 2012, Applicant filed with the ALJ a letter waiving its right to a hearing, citing 21 CFR 1301.43(e). GX 13, at 3. The next day, the ALJ found that Applicant had waived its right to a hearing; the ALJ thus lifted the stay of the proceeding and ordered that the proceeding be terminated. GX 14.

On June 12, 2013, the Government filed a Request for Final Agency Action and the Investigative Record with this Office. Req. for Final Agency Action, at 14. Therein, the Government requests that I deny Applicant's pending

¹ The Show Cause Order also notified Applicant of its right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequences for failing to do so. See 21 CFR 1301.43.