

a Federal-to-Federal transfer. VA will be responsible for the subsequent construction and operation of an outpatient clinic (OPC), offices, and National Cemetery on approximately 112 acres of land (referred to as the "VA Development Area").

Based on information gathered during preparation of the Final EA and based upon the findings in the Final EA, DoN finds that implementation of the Proposed Action, with the VA's implementation and monitoring of the mitigation measures identified in the FONSI, would not have a significant impact on the human environment and an Environmental Impact Statement is not required for the transfer of excess property and VA's development of an OPC, offices, cemetery, and associated infrastructure at the former NAS Alameda.

The FONSI is available for public review for 30 days before becoming final at which time the proposed action may be implemented. The FONSI public review period ends 30 days after issuance of the Notice of Availability.

Dated: November 8, 2013.

**N.A. Hagerty-Ford,**

*Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27428 Filed 11-15-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP14-13-000]

#### Houston Pipe Line Company LP; Notice of Application

Take notice that on October 28, 2013, Houston Pipe Line Company LP (HPL), 1300 Main Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed an application in Docket No. CP14-13-000 under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), and Subpart B of Part 153 of the Commission's regulations requesting authorization to site, construct, operate, and maintain certain natural gas pipeline facilities to export and/or import natural gas between the United States and the Republic of Mexico (Border Crossing Project) at a point on the International Boundary between the United States in Hidalgo County, Texas and the Republic of Mexico in the vicinity of the City of Reynosa, State of Tamaulipas. Furthermore, HPL requests that the Commission issue a Presidential Permit authorizing HPL to site, construct, operate, and maintain the Border

Crossing Project pursuant to Subpart C of Part 153 of the Commission's regulations to export and/or import natural gas between the United States and Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Mr. Jim Wright, Deputy General Counsel, Houston Pipe Line Company LP, 1300 Main Street, Houston, TX 77002, or by calling (713) 989-7010 (telephone) or (713) 989-1212 (fax).

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of

all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 7 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 7 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC

Online service, please email [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

*Comment Date:* 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on November 29, 2013.

Dated: November 8, 2013.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013-27524 Filed 11-15-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER13-2124-000]

#### Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc.; Supplemental Notice of Technical Conference

As announced in the Notice of Technical Conference issued on October 25, 2013, and as required in the Commission's October 16, 2013, order in this docket, there will be a technical conference in this proceeding on November 19, 2013, at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC, Hearing Room 6.<sup>1</sup> The technical conference will be led by staff, and will be open for the public to attend. Attendees may register in advance at the following Web page: <https://www.ferc.gov/whats-new/registration/miso-11-19-13-form.asp>. Advance registration is not required, but is encouraged. Parties attending in person should still allow time to pass through building security procedures before the 9:00 a.m. (Eastern Time) start time of the conference.

The conference will not be webcast, but will be accessible via telephone. Parties wishing to participate by phone should fill out the registration form and check the box indicating that they wish to participate by conference call, and do so no later than 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on Friday, November 15, 2013. Parties selecting this option will receive a confirmation email containing a dial-in number and a password before the conference. To the extent possible, individuals calling from the same location should share a single telephone line.

FERC conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations please send an email to [accessibility@ferc.gov](mailto:accessibility@ferc.gov) or call toll free 866-208-3372 (voice) or 202-208-1659

(TTY), or send a FAX to 202-208-2106 with the required accommodations.

For further information regarding this conference, contact Cristie DeVoss at [cristie.devoss@ferc.gov](mailto:cristie.devoss@ferc.gov) or 202-502-8441, or Melissa Nimit at [melissa.nimit@ferc.gov](mailto:melissa.nimit@ferc.gov) or 202-502-6638.

The conference will consist of three sessions, as detailed below. For each session, a representative of Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) and a representative of MISO's Independent Market Monitor should be prepared to make opening statements that address the questions below. After statements by the MISO and Independent Market Monitor representatives, Commission staff will ask questions; as time permits, other attendees (including telephone participants) may also ask questions. The times given below are approximate and may change, as needed.

*Session 1: Schedule 46 (9:00 a.m.–10:15 a.m.)*

1. Explain in detail each step of the Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor determination process under proposed Schedule 46.

a. For step one, define the terms "Hourly Real-Time RSG MWP" and "Resource CMC Real-time RSG MWG" and explain why the terms are equal for each hour and active transmission constraint, as stated in Schedule 46. Also, explain the determination of the Constraint Management Charge capacity committed (CMC\_CAP\_COM).

b. For step two, define the terms "RES\_LP\_VOL," "TP\_Next\_Hour," "RT\_BLL\_MTR\_GEN," and "TP\_Current\_Hour." Explain the determination of the hourly Headroom Available (HR\_AVAIL), the Operations Headroom Need (HR\_NEED), and the Capacity MW Needed (CAP\_MW\_NEED).

c. For step three, explain the criteria for determining whether a resource was available for commitment for a capacity resource commitment analysis period. Also, explain how MISO will select the Constraint Management Charge Replacement Resource (CMC\_RR) and determine the associated Capacity Commitment Make-Whole Payment (CAP\_COM\_MWP).

d. For step four, explain the determination of the Capacity Contribution (CAP\_CON), Constraint Management Charge Contribution (CMC\_CON), and Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor.

2. Explain in detail how the calculation of the Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor under proposed Schedule 46 accounts for real-time Revenue Sufficiency

Guarantee (RSG) costs allocated to Voltage and Local Reliability, the RSG Second Pass Distribution, and Day-Ahead Schedule Deviation and Headroom Charges. For example, explain why the product of the aggregate applicable real-time RSG credits and the difference between one and the Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor equals the RSG costs funded through Day-Ahead Schedule Deviation and Headroom Charges, pursuant to the proposed revisions to section 40.3.3.a.v.

*Break: (10:15 a.m.–10:30 a.m.)*

*Session 2: Constraint Management Charges (10:30 a.m.–12:00 p.m.)*

3. The description of the Constraint Management Charge in proposed Schedule 46 states that the Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor Study determines the share of real-time RSG costs attributable to the "commitment of Resources for Active Transmission Constraints." Should this instead be "Resources committed in any R[eliability] A[ssessment] C[on]mitment process or the L[ook] A[head] C[on]mitment process for an Active Transmission constraint and not otherwise attributable to Topology Adjustment and Transmission Derates," consistent with the definition of the Constraint Management Charge in section 1.537a of the existing MISO tariff?

4. Provide numerical examples demonstrating (a) MISO's existing Constraint Management Charge formula under sections 40.3.3.a.iv and v, and (b) how MISO's proposed revisions to its tariff will change this formula. Provide examples illustrating these formulas in the event that the Constraint Management Charge rate cap does and does not apply.

5. MISO states that the Constraint Management Charge Allocation Factor should be a better indicator than the Constraint Contribution Factor of the real-time RSG costs attributable to an active transmission constraint and that the Constraint Management Charge should "no longer be limited by the C[on]straint C[on]tribution F[actor] of the Resource committed to address the relevant constraint."<sup>2</sup>

a. Explain in detail why MISO should continue using the Constraint Contribution Factor in section 40.3.3.a.iv to calculate the "adjusted deviations" used to determine the real-time RSG Constraint Management Charges to be paid by market participants in sections 40.3.3.a.iv(a) and 40.3.a.iv(b).

<sup>1</sup> Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc., 145 FERC ¶ 61,044 (2013).

<sup>2</sup> MISO August 7, 2013 Filing at 4, 7-8.