7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property

This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves a safety zone of limited size and duration. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR Part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

§ 165.71–606 Safety Zone; Vessel Removal from the Oakland Estuary, Alameda, CA.

(a) Location. This temporary safety zone is established for the navigable waters of the Oakland Estuary just north of the Park Street Bridge in Alameda, California as depicted in National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Chart 18650. The safety zone will be enclosed within the following points: 37°46′27″ N, 122°14′23″ W; 37°46′23″ N, 122°14′18″ W; 37°46′20″ N, 122°14′21″ W; and 37°46′24″ N, 122°14′28″ W (NAD83).

(b) Enforcement Period. The zone described in paragraph (a) of this section will be enforced on November 4, 2013 from 6 a.m. until 6 p.m. on November 5, 2013 and during another 48-hour period within the effective period of this rule that will be announced via broadcast. The Captain of the Port San Francisco (COTP) will notify the maritime community of periods during which this zone will be enforced via Broadcast Notice to Mariners in accordance with 33 CFR 165.7.

(c) Definitions. As used in this section, “designated representative” means a Coast Guard Patrol Commander, including a Coast Guard coxswain, petty officer, or other officer on a Coast Guard vessel or a Federal, State, or local officer designated by or assisting the COTP in the enforcement of the safety zone.

(d) Regulations. (1) Under the general regulations in 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart C, entry into, transiting or anchoring within this safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP or a designated representative.

(2) The safety zone is closed to all vessel traffic, except as may be permitted by the COTP or a designated representative.

(3) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone must contact the COTP or a designated representative to obtain permission to do so. Vessel operators given permission to enter or operate in the safety zone must comply with all directions given to them by the COTP or a designated representative. Persons and vessels may request permission to enter the safety zone on VHF-23A or through the 24-hour Command Center at telephone (415) 399–3547.


Gregory G. Stump,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port San Francisco.

[FR Doc. 2013–27580 Filed 11–15–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Florida; Approval of Revision to the State Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is taking final action to approve a change to the Florida State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the State of Florida. The change removes from the Florida SIP a provision entitled...
“Synthetic Organic Fiber Production.” EPA has determined that this provision was erroneously incorporated into the SIP. Therefore, EPA is taking final action to remove this rule from the federally-approved Florida SIP because the rule is not related to the attainment and maintenance of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS).

DATES: This rule will be effective on December 18, 2013.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R04–OAR–2012–0385. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Regulatory Development Section, Air Planning Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303–8960. The telephone number is (404) 562–9352. Ms. Bradley can also be reached via electronic mail at bradley.twunjala@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. This Action

EPA determined that rule 62–296.413, F.A.C., from the federally-approved Florida SIP pursuant to section 110(k)(6) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) and to codify this deletion by revising the appropriate paragraph under 40 CFR part 52, subpart K, section 52.520(c). EPA proposed approval of this correction on June 13, 2013. See 78 FR 35599. Comments on the proposed rulemaking were due on or before July 15, 2013. No comments, adverse or otherwise, were received on EPA’s June 13, 2013, proposed rulemaking. A summary of the background for today’s final action is provided below. For additional information concerning the rationale for today’s final action refer to EPA’s June 13, 2013, proposed rulemaking. See 78 FR 35599.

II. Background

On December 21, 1994, and April 15, 1996, the State of Florida through the Florida Department of Environmental Protection provided to EPA SIP submissions which included miscellaneous revisions and the recodification of F.A.C. Rule 62–296.413, F.A.C., was part of Florida’s recodification and was included in these State submittals among other changes; however, it was never officially submitted for incorporation into the SIP.2 When EPA took action on June 16, 1999 (64 FR 32346) to approve the recodification and miscellaneous changes and also to revise the format of 40 CFR part 52 for materials submitted by Florida that are incorporated by reference into the SIP, EPA inadvertently incorporated rule 62–296.413, F.A.C., into the regulatory text at 40 CFR part 52, subpart K, section 52.520. EPA has determined that approval of rule 62–296.413, F.A.C., into the Florida SIP was an error, and is, therefore, taking final action to remove this rule from the federally-approved Florida SIP (pursuant to section 110(k)(6) of the CAA) because the rule is not related to the attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS.

III. Final Action

For the reasons stated above, EPA is taking final action to remove rule 62–296.413, F.A.C., from the federally-approved Florida SIP pursuant to section 110(k)(6) of the CAA and to codify this deletion by revising the appropriate paragraph under 40 CFR part 52, subpart K, section 52.520(c).

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

• is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
• does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
• is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
• does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
• does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
• is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
• is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 26335, May 22, 2001);
• is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because

---

3 Section 110(k)(6) of the Act provides that, whenever the Administrator determines that the Administrator’s action approving, disapproving, or promulgating any plan or plan revision was in error, the Administrator may in the same manner as the approval, disapproval or promulgation revise such action as appropriate without requiring further submission from the State. Such determination and the basis thereof must be provided to the state and public.

4This state rule was originally numbered subsection 17–2.600(13), and was adopted with a state effective date of July 9, 1989, for the sole purpose of controlling acrylonitrile emissions from synthetic organic fiber production facilities in northwest Florida. The rule was only concerned with emissions of toxic air pollutants and not attainment or maintenance of any NAAQS. The rule was in an April 15, 1996, SIP submission along with all other rules that had been simultaneously amended. However, it was not submitted for EPA’s approval and incorporation into the SIP.

5EPA’s records indicate that a November 23, 1992, SIP revision from Florida was approved on October 20, 1994 (59 FR 52916). However, the November 23, 1992, SIP did not include a revision to incorporate the rule entitled “Synthetic Organic Fiber Production,” 62–296.413, F.A.C., into the SIP.
application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the state, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States under Chapter 62–296 “Stationary Sources—Emission Standards.”

Dated: November 5, 2013.

Beverly H. Banister,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Subpart K—Florida

2. Section 52.520(c) is amended by removing the entry for “62–296.413” under Chapter 62–296 “Stationary Sources—Emission Standards.”

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Federal Emergency Management Agency

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket ID FEMA—2013–0002; Internal Agency Docket No. FEMA–8307]

Suspension of Community Eligibility

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule identifies communities where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) that are scheduled for suspension on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will not occur and a notice of this will be provided by publication in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: November 5, 2013.

Beverly H. Banister,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Supplemental Information: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase Federal flood insurance that is not otherwise generally available from private insurers. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management measures aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits the sale of NFIP flood insurance unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this document no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations, 44 CFR Part 59. Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the third column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. We recognize that some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue to be eligible for the sale of NFIP flood insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of such communities will be published in the Federal Register.

In addition, FEMA publishes a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) that identifies the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs) in these communities. The date of the FIRM, if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act not in connection with a flood) may be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in identified SFHAs for communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year on FEMA’s initial FIRM for the community as having flood-prone areas (section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4106(a), as amended). This prohibition against certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column. The Administrator finds that notice and public comment procedures under 5 U.S.C. 553(b), are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification letters addressed to the Chief Executive Officer stating that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. Since these notifications were made, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

National Environmental Policy Act.

This rule is categorically excluded from