

**LeRoy Richardson,**

Chief, Information Collection Review Office,  
Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the  
Associate Director for Science, Office of the  
Director, Centers for Disease Control and  
Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2013-27402 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[CDC-2013-0023; Docket Number NIOSH  
240-A]

#### Draft Current Intelligence Bulletin “Update of NIOSH Carcinogen Classification and Target Risk Level Policy for Chemical Hazards in the Workplace”

**AGENCY:** National Institute for  
Occupational Safety and Health  
(NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease  
Control and Prevention (CDC),  
Department of Health and Human  
Services (HHS).

**ACTION:** Notice of draft document  
available for public comment and public  
meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute for  
Occupational Safety and Health  
(NIOSH) of the Centers for Disease  
Control and Prevention (CDC)  
announces the availability of the  
following draft document for public  
comment entitled “Current Intelligence  
Bulletin: Update of NIOSH Carcinogen  
Classification and Target Risk Level  
Policy for Chemical Hazards in the  
Workplace.” To view the notice,  
document and related materials, visit  
<http://www.regulations.gov> and enter  
CDC-2013-0023 in the search field and  
click “Search.” Additional information  
is also located at the following Web site:  
[http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/  
cancer/policy.html](http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/cancer/policy.html). Comments may be  
provided to the NIOSH docket, as well  
as given orally at the following meeting.

**Public Comment Period:** Comments  
must be received by February 13, 2014.

**Public Meeting Time and Date:**  
December 16, 2013, 9 a.m.–4 p.m.,  
Eastern Time. Please note that public  
comments may end before the time  
indicated, following the last call for  
comments. Members of the public who  
wish to provide public comments  
should plan to attend the meeting at the  
start time listed.

**Place:** Surface Transportation Board  
Hearing Room, Patriots Plaza One, 395  
E Street SW., 1st Floor, Room 120,  
Washington, DC 20201.

**Status:** The meeting is open to the  
public, limited only by the space  
available. The meeting space  
accommodates approximately 150  
people. In addition, there will be an  
audio conference for those who cannot  
attend in person. There is no  
registration fee to attend this public  
meeting. However, those wishing to  
attend are encouraged to register by  
December 3, 2013 with the NIOSH  
Docket Office at 513/533-8611 or email  
[nioshdocket@cdc.gov](mailto:nioshdocket@cdc.gov).

**Security Considerations:** Due to  
mandatory security clearance  
procedures at the Patriots Plaza  
Building, in-person attendees must  
present valid government-issued picture  
identification to security personnel  
upon entering the building and go  
through an airport-type security check.

**Non-U.S. citizens:** Because of CDC  
Security Regulations, any non-U.S.  
citizen wishing to attend this meeting  
must provide the following information  
in writing to the NIOSH Docket Officer  
at the address below no later than  
November 22, 2013 to allow time for  
mandatory CDC facility security  
clearance procedures to be completed.

1. Name:
2. Gender:
3. Date of Birth:
4. Place of birth (city, province, state,  
country):
5. Citizenship:
6. Passport Number:
7. Date of Passport Issue:
8. Date of Passport Expiration:
9. Type of Visa:
10. U.S. Naturalization Number (if a  
naturalized citizen):
11. U.S. Naturalization Date (if a  
naturalized citizen):
12. Visitor's Organization:
13. Organization Address:
14. Organization Telephone Number:
15. Visitor's Position/Title within the  
Organization:

This information will be transmitted  
to the CDC Security Office for approval.  
Visitors will be notified as soon as  
approval has been obtained. Non-U.S.  
citizens are encouraged to participate in  
the audio conferencing due to the extra  
clearance involved with in-person  
attendance.

**Attendee and Speaker Registration:**  
Attendees are encouraged to sign up by  
December 3, 2013 with the NIOSH  
Docket Office. Individuals wishing to  
speak during the meeting may sign up  
when registering with the NIOSH  
Docket Office no later than December 3,  
at 513/533-8611 or by email at  
[nioshdocket@cdc.gov](mailto:nioshdocket@cdc.gov). Those who have  
not signed up to present in advance may  
be allowed to present at the meeting if  
time allows.

Persons wanting to provide oral  
comments will be permitted up to 20  
minutes. If additional time becomes  
available, presenters will be notified.  
Oral comments given at the meeting  
must also be submitted to the docket in  
writing in order to be considered by the  
Agency.

Priority for attendance will be given  
to those providing oral comments. Other  
requests to attend the meeting will then  
be accommodated on a first-come basis.  
Unreserved walk-in attendees will not  
be admitted due to security clearance  
requirements.

**Purpose of Meeting:** To discuss and  
obtain comments on the draft document,  
“Current Intelligence Bulletin: Update  
of NIOSH Carcinogen Classification and  
Target Risk Level Policy for Chemical  
Hazards in the Workplace.” Special  
emphasis will be placed on discussion  
of the following:

#### Overall Questions

(1) Are the proposed carcinogen  
policies consistent with the current  
scientific knowledge of toxicology, risk  
assessment, industrial hygiene, and  
occupational cancer? If not, provide  
specific information and references that  
should be considered.

(2) Is there additional scientific  
information related to the issues of the  
proposed NIOSH carcinogen policies  
that should be considered for inclusion?  
If so, provide information and specify  
references for consideration. Is there any  
discussion in the document that should  
be omitted?

(3) Is the proposed carcinogen  
classification policy explained in a clear  
and transparent manner? Is the basis for  
the proposed policy adequately  
explained? If not, specify (section, page,  
and line number) where clarification is  
needed.

(4) Are there issues relevant to the  
classification of occupational  
carcinogens that have not been  
adequately addressed in this proposed  
policy? If so, provide information and  
specify references for consideration.

(5) NIOSH adapted the OSHA Hazard  
Communication Table Relating  
Approximate Equivalences among  
IARC, NTP RoC, and GHS  
Carcinogenicity Classifications  
(Appendix F, Part D, OSHA Globally  
Harmonized System for Hazard  
Communication) to provide a simple,  
systematic method of determining GHS  
cancer hazard categories. However,  
NIOSH has further considered the GHS  
carcinogen categories 1B and 2 because  
NTP classification *reasonably  
anticipated to be a human carcinogen*  
and IARC classification 2B have criteria  
that overlap the two GHS categories.

NIOSH has reviewed the criteria for GHS classification and has determined that chemicals classified by NTP as *reasonably anticipated* and chemicals classified as IARC 2B “that have sufficient evidence from animal data” meet the criteria for GHS Carcinogen Category 1B. Chemicals classified by NTP as *reasonably anticipated* and chemicals classified by IARC as 2B “that have limited evidence from animal data” meet the criteria for GHS Carcinogen Category 2. NIOSH is requesting comments on the validity of the NIOSH Correspondence table (Table 2) and its usefulness as a guide to determine GHS hazard categories.

(6) Is the proposed target risk level policy explained in a clear and transparent manner? Is the basis for the proposed policy adequately explained? If not, specify (section, page, and line number) where clarification is needed.

(7) An analytical feasibility (AF) notation will be used to identify those RELs that are established to reflect the limitations of the sampling and analytical method (i.e., AF) and not the target risk level of 1 in 1,000. Is this notation adequately explained?

(8) Is the proposed analytical feasibility and technical achievability policy explained in a clear and transparent manner? Is the basis for the proposed policy adequately explained? If not, specify (section, page, and line number) where clarification is needed.

Written comments will be accepted at the meeting. Written comments may also be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail*: NIOSH Docket Office, Robert A. Taft Laboratories, 4676 Columbia Parkway, MS C-34, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226.

All material submitted to the Agency should reference the agency name and docket number [CDC-2013-0023; NIOSH 240-A]. All electronic comments should be formatted as Microsoft Word. Please make reference to CDC-2013-0023 and Docket Number NIOSH 240-A.

*Transcript*: A transcript will be prepared and posted to NIOSH Docket within 30 days after the meeting. Each person making a comment will be asked to give his or her name and affiliation, and all comments (including their name and affiliation) are considered to be in the public domain, and the transcript will be archived in the NIOSH Docket and posted on a public Web site.

All information received in response to this notice will be available for public examination and copying at the NIOSH

Docket Office, Room 111, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226.

*Background*: This draft NIOSH document provides an update of the NIOSH Carcinogen Classification and relevant Recommended Exposure Limit (REL) policies. The proposed update of policies is prompted by comments from the public and stakeholders and recent developments in how the carcinogenic risk to substances is assessed. NIOSH stakeholders have recently expressed concerns about limitations in the NIOSH approach to classifying and controlling carcinogens. A major limitation identified is use of the term “Potential Occupational Carcinogen” which dates to the OSHA hazard classification for carcinogens outlined in 29 CFR 1990.103 (see below). The adjective “potential” conveys uncertainty that is not warranted with many carcinogens such as asbestos, benzene, and others.

Further, the existing NIOSH carcinogen policy does not allow for classification on the basis of the magnitude and sufficiency of the scientific evidence. In contrast, other organizations such as the National Toxicology Program (NTP), the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have differential classification systems with categories that reflect the weight of scientific evidence.

Coincident with NIOSH recognition of this language limitation was international recognition of the need for more efficient and faster classification of substances and the consideration of alternative substances that are less toxic and more environmentally sustainable.

In August 2011, NIOSH published in the **Federal Register** its intent to review and request for information regarding its approach to classifying carcinogens and establishing recommended exposure limits for occupational exposures to hazards associated with cancer. The initial comment period of September 22, 2011 was subsequently extended until December 30, 2011. On December 12, 2011, a public meeting was held at the Hubert H. Humphrey Building in Washington, DC to engage stakeholders and members of the public in discussions of the relevant issues pertaining to the NIOSH assessment. Input received from the public and stakeholders during this process was considered and is reflected in the draft document now available for public review. To view this docket’s previous information go to: <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/docket/archive/docket240.html>.

The purpose of the public review of the draft document is to obtain comments on whether NIOSH has adequately explained the basis for its revised policies on classifying chemicals as carcinogens and deriving RELs that are transparent, consistent, and that contribute to the effective risk management of chemical carcinogens in the workplace.

*Contact Persons for Technical Information*: T.J. Lentz, telephone (513) 533-8260, or Faye Rice, telephone (513) 533-8335, NIOSH, MS-C32, Robert A. Taft Laboratories, 4676 Columbia Parkway, Cincinnati, Ohio 45226.

Dated: November 8, 2013.

**John Howard**,

*Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27375 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-19-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60-Day-14-0210]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations; List of Ingredients Added to Tobacco in the Manufacture of Cigarette Products; Withdrawn

**AGENCY**: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

**ACTION**: Notice Withdrawal. In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 FR Doc. 2013-26469 Filed 11-4-13; 8:45am.

**SUMMARY**: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention requests withdrawal from publication the 60-Day **Federal Register** Notice (FRN) 14 0210 concerning the *List of Ingredients Added to Tobacco in the Manufacture of Cigarette Products* (FR Doc. 2013-26469), which was submitted on October 30, 2013 for public inspection in the **Federal Register**.

The purpose behind this notice withdrawal request is that an original 60-day FRN was previously published on October 31, 2013 (Document Number—2013-25799). A duplicate 60-day FRN was inadvertently published on November 5, 2013. Please disregard the duplicate FRN.