

California Desert District Office. Interested persons may also review the SSFP Final EIS and Proposed CDCA Plan Amendment on the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/ca/st/en/fo/cdd.html>. All protests must be in writing and mailed to one of the following addresses:

Regular Mail: BLM Director (210), Attention: Brenda Williams, P.O. Box 71383, Washington, DC 20024-1382.  
Overnight Delivery: BLM Director (210), Attention: Brenda Williams, 20 M Street SE., Room 2134LM, Washington, DC 20003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Jeffery Childers, Project Manager; telephone 951-697-5308; address BLM California Desert District Office, 22835 Calle San Juan de Los Lagos, Moreno Valley, California 92553-9046; email [jchilders@blm.gov](mailto:jchilders@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** First Solar Development, Inc. (First Solar) has requested a right-of-way (ROW) authorization to construct, operate, maintain and decommission the 300-megawatt (MW) photovoltaic SSFP from the BLM and a well permit from the County of San Bernardino. The BLM is responding to the ROW application as required by FLPMA. The proposed project located on BLM-administered lands would include access roads, photovoltaic arrays, electrical substation, meteorological station, monitoring and maintenance facility, water wells, and a 2.3 mile generation tie-line on up to 2,143 acres. The project location is in San Bernardino County approximately 2 miles south of the Nevada-California border and 0.5 miles west of Interstate 15.

The BLM's purpose and need for the SSFP is to respond to First Solar's application for a ROW grant to construct, operate, maintain, and decommission a photovoltaic solar energy facility on public lands in compliance with FLPMA, BLM ROW regulations, and other applicable Federal laws. The BLM will decide whether to grant, grant with modification, or deny a ROW to First Solar for the proposed SSFP. The CDCA Plan (1980, as amended), while recognizing the potential compatibility of solar energy generation facilities with other uses on public lands, requires that

all sites proposed for power generation or transmission not already identified in the plan be considered through the plan amendment process. The BLM is proposing to amend the CDCA Plan by designating the project area as either suitable or unsuitable for solar energy projects. In addition to the proposed action, which is analyzed as Alternative 1: 300 MWs on 2,143 acres, the BLM is analyzing three other project alternatives: Alternative 2: 300 MW on 2,385 acres; Revised Alternative 3: 300 MW on 1,685 acres; and, Alternative 4: 232 MW generated on 1,766 acres. All project alternatives also analyze an expansion of the Ivanpah Desert Wildlife Management Area (DWMA). The management prescriptions for the Ivanpah DWMA are defined in Appendix A, Section A.2, of the Northern and Eastern Mojave Desert Management Plan Amendment to the California Desert Conservation Area Plan (July 2002). If the DWMA is expanded, these management prescriptions will be applied to the expansion.

The Proposed Plan Amendment and Final EIS/EIR also analyzes three No Project alternatives: Alternative 5: No Action; Alternative 6: No Project, Amend the CDCA Plan to find the Project area unsuitable for solar development; and Alternative 7: No Project, Amend the CDCA Plan to find the Project area suitable for solar development. The Final EIS/EIR and CDCA Plan Amendment evaluates the potential impacts of the proposed SSFP on air quality and greenhouse gas emissions; biological resources; cultural resources; special status species; geology and soils; hazards and hazardous materials; hydrology and water quality; land use; noise; recreation; traffic; visual resources; lands with wilderness characteristics; cumulative effects and areas with high potential for renewable energy development.

Comments on the Draft EIS/EIR and CDCA Plan Amendment received from the public and internal BLM review were considered and incorporated as appropriate into the Final EIS/EIR and Proposed Plan Amendment. Public comments resulted in modification of Alternative 3, now evaluated in the Final EIS/EIR as Revised Alternative 3. However, the public comments did not significantly change proposed land use plan decisions. Instructions for filing a protest with the Director of the BLM regarding the Proposed Plan Amendment may be found in the "Dear Reader" letter of the SSFP Final EIS/EIR and Proposed Plan Amendment and at 43 CFR 1610.5-2. All protests must be

in writing and mailed to the appropriate address, as set forth in the **ADDRESSES** section above. Emailed protests will not be accepted as valid protests unless the protesting party also provides the original letter by either regular mail or overnight delivery postmarked by the close of the protest period. Under these conditions, the BLM will consider the email as an advance copy and it will receive full consideration. If you wish to provide the BLM with such advance notification, please direct emails to [bhudgets@blm.gov](mailto:bhudgets@blm.gov).

Before including your phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your protest, you should be aware that your entire protest—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your protest to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1506.6, 40 CFR 1506.10, 43 CFR 1610.2.

**Thomas Pogacnik,**

*Deputy State Director, Natural Resources.*

[FR Doc. 2013-27416 Filed 11-14-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-40-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-900]

### Certain Navigation Products, Including GPS Devices, Navigation and Display Systems, Radar Systems, Navigational Aids, Mapping Systems and Related Software; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 23, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Furuno Electric Co., Ltd. of Japan and Furuno U.S.A., Inc. of Camas, Washington. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain navigation products, including GPS devices, navigation and display systems, radar systems, navigational aids, mapping systems and related software by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,084,565 ("the '565 patent");

U.S. Patent No. 6,424,292 (“the ‘292 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,161,561 (“the ‘561 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,768,447 (“the ‘447 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2013).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 8, 2013, ordered that —

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain navigation products, including GPS devices, navigation and display systems, radar systems, navigational aids, mapping systems and related software by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, and 7–20 of the ‘565 patent; claims 1–6 of the ‘292 patent; claims 1–10, 12, and 14 of the ‘561 patent; and claims 1–

25 of the ‘447 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors, 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:  
Furuno Electric Co., Ltd., 9–52  
Ashihara-cho, Nishinomiya City,  
Hyogo, 662–8580 Japan  
Furuno U.S.A., Inc., 4400 NW. Pacific  
Rim Boulevard, Camas, WA 98607

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:  
Garmin Ltd., Mühlentalstrasse 2, 8200  
Schaffhausen, Switzerland  
Garmin International, Inc., 1200 East  
151st Street, Olathe, KS 66062  
Garmin North America, Inc., 1200 East  
151st Street, Olathe, KS 66062  
Garmin USA, Inc., 1200 East 151st  
Street, Olathe, KS 66062  
Navico Holding AS, Nyåskaiveien 2,  
4370 Egersund, Norway  
Navico UK Limited, Premier Way,  
Abbey Park, Romsey Hampshire, S051  
9DH, United Kingdom  
Navico Inc., 4500 S. 129th East Avenue,  
Suite 200, Tulsa, OK 74134  
Raymarine, Inc., 9 Townsend West,  
Nashua, NH 03063  
Raymarine UK Ltd., Marine House,  
Cartwright Drive, Fareham, PO15 5RJ,  
United Kingdom

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to

19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 8, 2013.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information  
Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013–27318 Filed 11–14–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–901]

### Certain Handheld Magnifiers and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to United States Code

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on September 26, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Freedom Scientific, Inc. of St. Petersburg, Florida. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain handheld magnifiers and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Design Patent No. D624,107 (“the ‘107 design patent”) and certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,264,598 (“the ‘598 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an