The estimated amounts needed to cover administrative expenses. Do not include costs which are related to the normal functions of government. Allowable legal costs are generally only those associated with the purchases of land which is allowable for Federal participation and certain services in support of construction of the project. This may include:
- Hours/Rate and total cost of local government staff
- Hours/Rate and total cost of outside counsel fees
- Hours/Rate and total cost of consultants
- Land, structures, rights-of-way, appraisals, and related items: List the estimate site and right(s)-of-way acquisition costs (this includes purchase, lease, and/or easements). If possible, include details of number of acres, acre cost, square-footage, and square footage cost.
- Relocation expenses and payments: List the estimated costs relation to relocation advisory assistance, replacement of housing, relocation payments to displaces persons and businesses, etc. This may include:
  - The gross salaries and wages of employees for the grantee who will be directly engaged in performing demolition or removal of structures from developed land
  - Architectural and engineering fees: List the estimated basic engineering fees related to construction (this includes start-up services and preparation of project performance work plan).
  - Other architectural and engineering fees: List the estimated engineering costs, such as surveys, tests, soil borings, etc.
  - Project inspection fees: List the estimated engineering inspection costs. This may include:
    - Rate of project inspector
    - Construction monitoring
    - Audit or construction programs
  - Site Work: List the estimated costs of site preparation and restoration which are not included in the basic construction contract. This may include:
    - Clearing
    - Erosion control
    - Reseeding
  - Demolition and removal: List the estimated costs related to demolition activities.
  - Construction: List the estimated cost of the construction contract. This may include costs for:
    - Labor costs, e.g., associated with site preparation and installation of grade crossings, highway warning signs, etc.
    - Equipment rental/purchase, e.g., an excavator or bulldozer
    - Materials, e.g., Rail anchors, retaining walls, etc.
- Equipment: List the estimated cost of office, shop, laboratory, safety equipment, etc. to be used at the facility, if such costs are not included in the construction contract.
- Miscellaneous: List the estimated miscellaneous costs.
- Contingencies: List the estimated contingency costs.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 29, 2013.

Corey Hill,
Director, Office of Passenger and Freight Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013–25681 Filed 10–30–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC
SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. NHTSA–2013–0115]

Technical Report: Evaluation of the
Certified-Advanced Air Bags

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic
Safety Administration (NHTSA),
Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Request for comments on
technical report.

SUMMARY: This notice announces
NHTSA’s publication of a Technical
Report reviewing and evaluating
certified-advanced air bags. The report’s
title is: Evaluation of the Certified-
Advanced Air Bags.

DATES: Comments must be received no
later than February 28, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Report:
The technical report is
available on the Internet for viewing in
gov/Pubs/811874.pdf. You may obtain a
copy of the report free of charge by
sending a self-addressed mailing label to
Nathan K. Greenwell (NVS–431),
National Highway Traffic Safety
Administration, Room W53–438,
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE.,
Washington, DC 20590.

Comments: You may submit comments [identified by Docket Number
NHTSA–2013–0115] by any of the
following methods:
- Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to
http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the
online instructions for submitting comments.
- Mail: Docket Management Facility,
M–30, U.S. Department of
Transportation, West Building, Ground
Floor, Rm. W12–140, 1200 New Jersey
Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

The fatality rate, in frontal crashes per
billion vehicle registration years showed
a 4 percent reduction overall, 5
percent reduction for drivers, and 5
percent reduction for child right-front
passengers. It was 2 percent higher; at
neither position is the difference
between certified-advanced and sled-
certified air bags statistically significant.

For further information contact:
Nathan K. Greenwell, Mathematical
Statistician, Evaluation Division, NVS–
431, National Center for Statistics and
Analysis, National Highway Traffic
Safety Administration, Room W53–438,
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE.,
Washington, DC 20590. Telephone:
202–366–3860. Email: nathan.greenwell@nhtsa.dot.gov.

For information about NHTSA’s
evaluations of the effectiveness of
existing regulations and programs:
You may see a list of published evaluation
reports at http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/
cats/listpublications.aspx?Id=226&
ShowBy=Category and if you click on
any report you will be able to view it in
PDF format.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
purpose of this report is to analyze the
type of realignment of existing air bags
and their effect on occupant protection
frontal crashes. Frontal air bags have
gone through a series of changes in
response to amendments to Federal
Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 208,
“Occupant crash protection.” In 1998–
1999, vehicle manufacturers were
permitted to sled test in lieu of a barrier
impact to certify that the air bags would
protect an unbelted occupant (“sled
certification”), which allowed air bags
to be redesigned by depowering and/or
reducing the volume or rearward extent
of air bags. Then in 2003–2006, air bags
were required to not deploy at all for
children or deploy only at a low level of
force (“certified-advanced air bags”). Most
manufacturers chose to not deploy air bags at all for children, using
occupant detection sensors to suppress
the air bags. Statistical analyses of crash
data compare fatality risk with certified-
advanced and sled-certified air bags.

Fatality risk in frontal crashes was
4 percent lower for drivers with
certified-advanced air bags than with
sled-certified air bags; for right-front
passengers, it was 2 percent higher; at
neither position is the difference
between certified-advanced and sled-
certified air bags statistically significant.

The fatality rate, in frontal crashes per
billion vehicle registration years showed
a 4 percent reduction overall, 5
percent reduction for drivers, and 5
percent reduction for child right-front
passengers. It was 2 percent higher; at
neither position is the difference
between certified-advanced and sled-
certified air bags statistically significant.

Overall, the analysis found no evidence
that certified-advanced air bags result in
higher fatality risk to front-seat
occupants in frontal crashes when compared to sled-certified air bags.

Procedural Matters

How can I influence NHTSA’s thinking on this subject?

NHTSA welcomes public review of the technical report. NHTSA will submit to the Docket a response to the comments and, if appropriate, will supplement or revise the report.

How do I prepare and submit comments?

Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are correctly filed in the Docket, please include the Docket number of this document (NHTSA–2013–0115) in your comments. Your primary comments must not be more than 15 pages long (49 CFR 553.21). However, you may attach additional documents to your primary comments. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477) or you may visit http://www.regulations.gov.

Please send two paper copies of your comments to Docket Management, fax them, or use the Federal eRulemaking Portal. The mailing address is U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management Facility, M–30, West Building, Ground Floor, Rm. W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. The fax number is 1–202–366–3189. To use the Federal eRulemaking Portal, go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

We also request, but do not require you to send a copy to Nathan K. Greenwell, Mathematical Statistician, Evaluation Division, NVS–431, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room W53–438, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590 (or email them to nathan.greenwell@dot.gov). He can check if your comments have been received at the Docket and he can expedite their review by NHTSA.

How can I be sure that my comments were received?

If you wish Docket Management to notify you upon its receipt of your comments, enclose a self-addressed, stamped postcard in the envelope containing your comments. Upon receiving your comments, Docket Management will return the postcard by mail.

How do I submit confidential business information?

If you wish to submit any information under a claim of confidentiality, send three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Chief Counsel, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. Include a cover letter supplying the information specified in our confidential business information regulation (49 CFR Part 512).

In addition, send two copies from which you have deleted the claimed confidential business information to U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Management Facility, M–30, West Building, Ground Floor, Rm. W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, or submit them via the Federal eRulemaking Portal.

Will the agency consider late comments?

In our response, we will consider all comments that Docket Management receives before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated above under DATES. To the extent possible, we will also consider comments that Docket Management receives after that date.

Please note that even after the comment closing date, we will continue to file relevant information in the Docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, we recommend that you periodically check the Docket for new material.

How can I read the comments submitted by other people?

You may read the materials placed in the docket for this document (e.g., the comments submitted in response to this document by other interested persons) at any time by going to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets. You may also read the materials at the Docket Management Facility by going to the street address given above under ADDRESSES. The Docket Management Facility is open between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

[Docket No. PHMSA–2013–0097]

Pipeline Safety: Reminder of Requirements for Liquefied Petroleum Gas and Utility Liquefied Petroleum Gas Pipeline Systems

AGENCY: Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA); DOT.

ACTION: Notice; Correction of Advisory Bulletin.

SUMMARY: PHMSA published an Advisory Bulletin in the Federal Register on July 18, 2013, (78 FR 42889) reminding owners and operators of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) and utility liquefied petroleum gas (Utility LP-Gas) plants of their obligation to follow certain sections of Part 192 in addition to the American National Standards Institute/National Fire Protection Association (ANSI/NFPA) standards 58 or 59. This document supersedes the July 18, 2013, Advisory Bulletin by correcting a typographical error in the sixth bullet and removing the exemption for small utility LP gas systems from Subpart N (Qualification of Pipeline Personnel) from the bulleted list.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd DelVecchio by phone at 727–213–1575 or by email at todd.delvecchio@dot.gov, or Mike Israni at 202–366–4571 or by email at mike.israni@dot.gov. Information about PHMSA may be found at http://phmsa.dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

49 CFR 192.11 requires that each plant that supplies petroleum gas by pipeline to a natural gas distribution system must meet the requirements of Part 192 and ANSI/NFPA 58 and 59 (2004) (192.11(a)). It also states that each pipeline system subject to Part 192 that transports only petroleum gas or petroleum gas/oil mixtures must meet the requirements of Part 192 and of ANSI/NFPA 58 and 59 (192.11(b)).