TABLE 1—Population Abundance Estimates, Total Proposed Level B Take, and Percentage of Population That May Be Taken for the Potentially Affected Species During the Proposed Rocky Intertidal Monitoring Program—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Abundance *</th>
<th>Total proposed Level B take</th>
<th>Percentage of stock or population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Sea Lion</td>
<td>296,750</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Elephant Seal</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abundance estimates are taken from the 2012 U.S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments (Carretta et al., 2013).
1 California stock abundance estimate;
2 Oregon/Washington stock abundance estimate.

Impact on Availability of Affected Species or Stock for Taking for Subsistence Uses

There are no relevant subsistence uses of marine mammals implicated by this action. Therefore, NMFS has determined that the total taking of affected species or stocks would not have an unmitigable adverse impact on the availability of such species or stocks for taking for subsistence purposes.

Endangered Species Act (ESA)

None of the marine mammals for which incidental take is proposed are listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA. NMFS’ Permits and Conservation Division worked with the NMFS Southwest Regional Office to ensure that Steller sea lions would be avoided and incidental take would not occur. Therefore, NMFS has determined that issuance of the proposed IHA to PISCO under section 101(a)(5)(D) of the MMPA will have no effect on species listed as threatened or endangered under the ESA.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

In 2012, we prepared an EA analyzing the potential effects to the human environment from conducting rocky intertidal surveys along the California and Oregon coasts and issued a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) on the issuance of an IHA for PISCO’s rocky intertidal surveys in accordance with section 6.01 of the NOAA Administrative Order 216–6 (Environmental Review Procedures for Implementing the National Environmental Policy Act, May 20, 1999). PISCO’s proposed activities and impacts for 2013–2014 are within the scope of our 2012 EA and FONSI.

We have reviewed the 2012 EA and determined that there are no new direct, indirect, or cumulative impacts to the human and natural environment associated with the IHA requiring evaluation in a supplemental EA and we, therefore, intend to reaffirm the 2012 FONSI.

Proposed Authorization

As a result of these preliminary determinations, NMFS proposes to authorize the take of marine mammals incidental to PISCO’s rocky intertidal monitoring research activities, provided the previously mentioned mitigation, monitoring, and reporting requirements are incorporated.

Dated: October 25, 2013.

Donna S. Vietsing,
Director, Office of Protected Resources,
National Marine Fisheries Service.
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BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Request for Comments on Proposed Elimination of Patents Search Templates


ACTION: Request for comments.

SUMMARY: The USPTO is proposing to eliminate the Patents Search Templates from the USPTO Web site. In 2006, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) implemented Patents Search Templates, which are United States Patent Classification (USPC) indexed search templates that were created to better identify the field of search, search tools, and search methodologies which should be considered each time an invention related to a particular USPC is searched. There are over 1200 search templates covering more than 600 USPC classes and subclasses. Historically, usage of the search templates by the public has been extremely low. Additionally, various aspects of the search templates, such as references to commercial database vendor information, are in need of updating. Further, the USPTO launched a new classification system, the Cooperative Patent Classification (CPC) system, in January 2013 that is based on the International Patent Classification (IPC) system. The CPC, a joint patent classification system developed by the USPTO and the European Patent Office (EPO), incorporates the best classification practices of both the U.S. and European systems. Since CPC is a detailed, collaborative, and dynamic system that will enable patent examiners and the public to efficiently conduct thorough patent searches, the search templates will become obsolete. Before eliminating the search templates from the USPTO Web site, the Office is requesting comments from the public.

DATES: Comment Deadline Date: Written comments must be received on or before November 29, 2013 to ensure consideration. No public hearing will be held.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent by electronic mail message over the Internet addressed to: SearchTemplates RFC@uspto.gov. Comments may also be submitted by postal mail addressed to: United States Patent and Trademark Office, Mail Stop Comments—Patents, Office of Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450, marked to the attention of Pinchus M. Lauffer. Although comments may be submitted by postal mail, the Office prefers to receive comments by electronic mail message over the Internet in order to facilitate posting on the Office’s Internet Web site.

The comments will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Commissioner for Patents, located at Madison Building East, Tenth Floor, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. Comments also will be available for viewing via the Office’s Internet Web site (http://www.uspto.gov). Because comments will be made available for public inspection, information that is not desired to be made public, such as an address or phone number, should not be included in the comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pinchus M. Lauffer, Senior Legal
Advisor, Office of Patent Legal Administration, Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, by telephone at 571–272–7726; or by mail addressed to: Mail Stop Comments—Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313–1450.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The USPTO published a notice for a request for comments on the search templates on May 16, 2006. See Request for Comments on Patents Search Templates, 94 FR 28309 (May 16, 2006). The search templates were created to better identify the field of search, search tools, and search methodologies which should be considered each time an invention related to a particular USPC is searched. The USPTO is proposing to remove the search templates from its Web site. The search templates are currently out-of-date since they include, for example, outdated commercial database vendor information that could be misleading for external stakeholders. Also, the search templates are indexed under USPC, which will no longer be used. Updating the search templates, which would require the editing of over 1200 pages, would not be an efficient use of USPTO resources since the templates are rarely used by the public. Additionally, CPC, the new internationally compatible classification system, was launched in January 2013. CPC is a detailed, dynamic classification system that is based on the IPC and enables patent examiners and the public to efficiently conduct thorough patent searches. As a result of the implementation of the CPC, the search templates will become obsolete. CPC has been jointly developed with the EPO and incorporates the best classification practices of both the U.S. and European systems. The USPTO and the EPO also believe that CPC will enhance efficiency and support work sharing initiatives with a view to reducing unnecessary duplication of work, thereby leading to enhanced patent quality and timelier examination of pending applications. Initial feedback from stakeholders confirms that the transition to CPC is a positive development. More information about CPC can be found at http://www.cooperativepatentclassification.org.

Due to the factors discussed above, the Office is proposing the removal of the search templates from the USPTO Web site. Notice and opportunity for public comment are not required prior to removal of the search templates. The Office, however, is publishing this notice for comment as it seeks the benefit of the public's views on the Office's proposed removal of the search templates. If, after consideration of the comments, the Office goes forward with the elimination of the search templates, a notice to that effect will be published, and any references to the search templates in USPTO documentation (for example, in the Accelerated Examination FAQs) will be updated.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

Teresa Stanek Rea,
Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Deputy Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
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BILLING CODE 3510–16–P

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
Notice of Meeting

The next meeting of the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts is scheduled for 21 November 2013, at 9:00 a.m. in the Commission offices at the National Building Museum, Suite 312, Judiciary Square, 401 F Street NW., Washington DC, 20001–2728. Items of discussion may include buildings, parks, and memorials. Draft agendas and additional information regarding the Commission are available on our Web site: www.cfa.gov. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or oral statements should be addressed to Thomas Luebbe, Secretary, U.S. Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address; by emailing CFASTaff@cfa.gov; or by calling 202–504–2000. Individuals requiring sign language interpretation for the hearing impaired should contact the Secretary at least 10 days before the meeting date.

Dated: October 22, 2013, in Washington DC.

Thomas Luebbe,
AIA, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–25509 Filed 10–29–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
[Docket No. ED–2013–ICCD–0133]
Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request: Survey of Principals of Rural Schools Receiving School Improvement Grants and Using the Transformation

AGENCY: Institute of Education Sciences (IES), Department of Education (ED).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 3501 et seq.), ED is proposing a new information collection.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 30, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov by selecting Docket ID number ED–2013–ICCD–0133 or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted. Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Acting Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., LBJ, Room 2E105, Washington, DC 20202–4537.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions related to collection activities or burden, please call Katrina Ingalls at 703–620–3655 or electronically mail ICDocketMgr@ed.gov. Please do not send comments here.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public’s reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department’s information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.