DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Request for Comments

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request renewed approval for the collection of information for the State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations. This collection request has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The information collection request describes the nature of the information collection and the expected burden and cost.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collections but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by November 29, 2013, in order to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Department of the Interior Desk Officer, via email at OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov, or by facsimile to (202) 395–5806. Also, please send a copy of your comments to John Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave. NW., Room 203—SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov. Please reference 1029–0030 in your correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To receive a copy of the information collection request contact John Trelease at (202) 208–2783, or electronically at jtrelease@osmre.gov. You may also review the information collection request online at http://www.reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to review Department of the Interior collections under review by OMB.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted a request to OMB to renew its approval of the collection of information contained in: 30 CFR Part 764—State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for each information collection activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection is 1029–0030, and is displayed in 30 CFR 764.10. As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a Federal Register notice soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on July 10, 2013 (78 FR 41421). No comments were received. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

Title: 30 CFR Part 764—State Processes for Designating Areas Unsuitable for Surface Coal Mining Operations Areas designated by Act of Congress.

OMB Control Number: 1029–0030.

Summary: This part implements the requirement of section 522 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), Public Law 95–87, which provides authority for citizens to petition States to designate lands unsuitable for surface coal mining operations, or to terminate such designation. The regulatory authority uses the information to identify, locate, compare and evaluate the area requested to be designated as unsuitable, or terminate the designation, for surface coal mining operations.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once.

Description of Respondents: Individuals or groups that petition the States, and the State regulatory authorities that must process the petitions.

Total Annual Respondents: 4 petitions and 4 regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,000 hours for individuals or groups, and 4,000 for State regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Non-wage Costs: $400.

Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency’s burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and ways to minimize the information collection burdens on respondents, such as use of automated means of collections of the information, to the addresses listed under ADDRESSES. Please refer to OMB control number 1029–0030 in all correspondence.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: October 22, 2013.

Andrew F. DeVito,
Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1205 (Final)]

Silica Bricks and Shapes From China; Revised Schedule for the Subject Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

DATES: Effective Date: October 23, 2013.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2013, the Commission established a
schedule for the conduct of the subject investigation (78 FR 45068, July 30, 2013). The Commission did not operate between October 1, 2013 and October 16, 2013 because of a lack of appropriations. On October 21, 2013 the Commission issued a notice stating that statutory deadlines would be tolled by this disruption in its operations. The Commission, therefore, is revising its schedule to conform to the revised statutory deadlines.

The Commission’s new schedule for the review is as follows: Requests to appear at the hearing must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission not later than November 13, 2013; the prehearing conference will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on November 15, 2013; the prehearing staff report will be placed in the nonpublic record on November 7, 2013; the deadline for filing prehearing briefs is November 14, 2013; the hearing will be held at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building at 9:30 a.m. on November 21, 2013; the deadline for filing posthearing briefs is November 27, 2013; the Commission will make its final release of information on December 5, 2013; and final party comments are due on December 9, 2013.

For further information concerning this investigation see the Commission’s notice cited above and the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: October 24, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–25576 Filed 10–28–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–832]

Certain Ink Application Devices and Components Thereof and Methods of Using the Same Commission Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order Against Infringing Products of Respondents Found in Default; Termination of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a limited exclusion order against infringing products of respondents T-Tech Tattoo Device Inc. of Ontario, Canada (“T-Tech”), Yiwu Beyond Tattoo Equipment Co., Ltd. of Yiwu City, China (“Yiwu”), and Guangzhou Pengcheng Cosmetology Firm of Guangzhou, China (“Guangzhou Pengcheng”). The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://edis.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 6, 2012, based on a complaint filed by MT.Derm GmbH of Berlin, Germany and Nouveau Cosmetique USA Inc. of Orlando, Florida (collectively “Complainants”) alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337), as amended (“section 337”), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain ink application devices and components thereof and methods of using the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,345,553 (“the ’553 patent”) and 6,505,530 (“the ’530 patent”). 77 FR 13351, Mar. 6, 2012. The Commission’s Notice of Investigation (“NOI”) named T-Tech, Yiwu, and Guangzhou Pengcheng as respondents. The complaint was served on all named respondents on March 1, 2012. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party to the investigation.

On April 16, 2012, Complainants filed a motion seeking a determination that respondents T-Tech, Yiwu, and Guangzhou be found in default based on their failure to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. On May 1, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 5, ordering the respondents to show cause by close of business on May 16, 2012, why they should not be found in default. No response was filed, and on May 31, 2012, the ALJ issued an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 7), granting the motion for default pursuant to section 210.16(a)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16(a)(1)). On June 6, 2012, T-Tech submitted correspondence to the Commission stating that it had not received any prior communication from the Commission and arguing that the ID finding it in default should be reviewed. On June 13, 2012, the IA filed a petition for review of Order No. 7 as to the finding of default against T-Tech. On June 29, 2012, the Commission determined not to review the portion of Order No. 7 finding Yiwu and Guangzhou Pengcheng in default pursuant to section 210.16 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16), but reversed the finding of default against T-Tech. Notice (June 29, 2012).

On March 20, 2013, Complainants filed a motion for summary determination of violation of section 337 against T-Tech. On April 17, 2013, Complainants also filed a motion for an ID finding T-Tech in default pursuant to Commission Rule 210.17(e). On April 19, 2013, the ALJ issued Order No. 32, ordering T-Tech to show cause as to why it should not be found in default for failing to comply with deadlines set forth in the procedural schedule. On April 25, 2013, T-Tech filed a response to Order No. 32. On April 29, 2013, the IA filed a response in support of Complainants’ motion requesting that T-Tech be found in default. On July 17, 2013, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 35), granting-in-part Complainants’ motion for summary determination of violation against T-Tech or, in the alternative, granting Complainants’ motion for an ID finding T-Tech in default pursuant to section 210.17 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.17). On August 16, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the portion of the ID finding T-Tech in default. 78 FR 52212–13, Aug. 22, 2013. The Commission found the portion of Order No. 35 granting summary determination of violation moot. Id. The Commission also requested briefing from the parties and the public on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Id. Complainants and the Commission investigative attorney (‘‘IA’’) submitted