from the compliance plan review fee is not unfairly discriminatory because these plans are generally simpler and require fewer resources and less time to review.

NASDAQ also believes that the proposed fees are consistent with the investor protection objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that they are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to a free and open market and national market systems, and in general to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the fees are designed to ensure that there are adequate resources for NASDAQ’s listing compliance program, which helps to assure that listing standards are properly enforced and investors are protected.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

NASDAQ does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. The market for listing services is extremely competitive and listed companies may freely choose alternative venues based on the aggregate fees assessed, and the value provided by each listing. This rule proposal does not burden competition with other listing venues, which are similarly free to align their fees on the costs incurred by the process they offer. For these reasons, NASDAQ does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition for listings.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–NASDAQ–2013–130 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2013–130. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NASDAQ–2013–130 and should be submitted on or before November 12, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Kevin M. O’Neill, Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–24636 Filed 10–21–13; 8:45 am]
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October 2, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on September 24, 2013, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. (“CHX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

CHX proposes to amend Exchange Rules relating to the registration and qualification and continuing education of individuals associated with CHX Participant Firms, and the supervision of registered persons and firm activity. The text of this proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at http://www.chx.com/rules/proposed_rules.htm, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change...
and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the rules in Article 6 regarding the qualification, registration, supervision and Continuing Education (“CE”) of CHX Participant Firms and their associated persons 4 to be virtually consistent with the requirements of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”).5 The Exchange believes that the proposed amendments are also consistent with Exchange Act Rule 15b7–1, which provides: “[n]o registered broker or dealer shall effect any transaction in . . . any security unless any natural person associated with such broker or dealer who effects or is involved in effecting such transaction is registered or approved in accordance with the standards of training, experience, competence, and other qualification standards . . . established by the rules of any national securities exchange.” 6

i. Exchange Membership Overview

Exchange Participants are considered “members” of the Exchange for purposes of the Exchange Act and are defined as Firms that hold a valid Trading Permit and any person associated with a Participant Firm who is registered with the Exchange under Articles 16 and 17 as a Market Maker Trader or Institutional Broker Representative, respectively.7 If a Participant is not a natural person, the Participant may also be referred to as a “Participant Firm,” but unless the context requires otherwise, the term Participant refers to an individual Participant and/or a Participant Firm.8 The Exchange’s Participant Firms have varying business models. For example, some conduct solely proprietary trading; others conduct solely agency transactions, while still others conduct a mixture of both.

Participants may also elect to register under a Participant subcategory such as the Institutional Broker category of Participants. “Institutional Broker” means a member of the Exchange who is registered as an Institutional Broker pursuant to the provisions of Article 17 and has satisfied all Exchange requirements to operate as an Institutional Broker on the Exchange. 9 Like those firms registered solely as an Exchange Participant, firms that also elect to register as Institutional Brokers also have varying business models ranging, for example, from proprietary to agency trading or a mixture of both. The rule changes proposed herein will apply to all Participant Firms. Individual associated persons registered at Participant Firms, including Participant Firms registered as Institutional Brokers will be required to pass the appropriate examinations as defined in the proposed rules. The appropriate examinations for associated persons at all categories of Participant Firms will depend on the Firm’s business, size, and other factors as described below. The only varying requirement regarding examinations between Participant Firms and Institutional Broker firms is that individuals registered as Institutional Broker Representatives at Institutional Broker firms are required to pass the Exchange’s internal Institutional Broker Examination.10 The proposed rules clarify that Institutional Broker Representatives will need to pass the internal Institutional Broker Examination as under current requirements, and, like all Participant Firms [sic], will be required to pass either the Series 7 or Series 56 examinations depending on the firm’s business model.11

ii. Current Rules

Exchange rules currently require that persons associated with CHX Participants meet the registration and qualification requirements in Article 6, Rules 2 and 3. According to Article 6, Rule 2, all Representatives of a Participant must be registered with the Exchange. A Representative is defined as a person, who is engaged or will be engaged in the securities business of a Participant.12 According to Article 6, Rule 3, the Exchange may require the successful completion of a training course or an examination, or both, in connection with the registration of Participants and persons associated with a Participant, and may charge fees for such registration and examination. CHX also requires that a Participant shall not make application for the registration of any person associated with the Participant where there is no intent to employ such person in the securities business of the Participant.13 This requirement thereby prohibits Participants from “parking” registrations. Notably, under the current rule structure, the registration requirements apply only to Participant Firms for which the Exchange is the Designated Examining Authority (“DEA”) 14 and to associated persons of other Participant Firms where the associated persons act as Institutional Broker Representatives or Market Maker Traders on the Exchange.15

Current Exchange rules recognize four qualification examinations for registration with the Exchange.16 Those examinations are (1) the Series 7 qualification examination administered by FINRA to conduct a public business, (2) the Series 7A examination historically administered by NYSE to conduct a public business limited to accepting orders from professional customers for execution on the Exchange, (3) the Institutional Broker Exam administered by CHX to conduct business on behalf of an Institutional Broker, and (4) the Market Maker Trader Exam administered by CHX to qualify as a Market Maker Trader.17 Current Exchange rules also require that members that are Joint Back Office

4 Under Article 1, Rule 1(d) of the Exchange’s rules, the term “Associated Person” has the meaning set forth in Section 3(a)(21) of the Exchange Act. That section provides that “[t]he term ‘persons associated with a member’ or ‘associated person of a member’ when used with respect to a member of a national securities exchange or registered securities association means any partner, officer, director, or branch manager of such member (or any person occupying a similar status or performing similar functions), any person directly or indirectly controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such member, or any employee of such member.” For purposes of these provisions, a CHX Participant Firm is considered a member of the Exchange.


6 17 CFR 240.15b7–1.

7 Article 1, Rule 1(s).

8 Id.

9 Article 1, Rule 1(n).

10 Proposed Article 6, Rule 3(d).

11 Id.

12 Article 6, Rule 2(b).

13 Article 6, Rule 2(d).

14 NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca”) historically limited its Options registrations requirements to traders of member organizations for which NYSE Arca was the DEA but removed the limitation in a 2012 rule change. See SR–NYSEARCA–2012–15, NYSE Arca Options Rule 2.

15 Article 6, Rule 2, Interpretations and Policies .03.

16 Article 6, Rule 3, Interpretations and Policies .01.

17 Id.
Proprietary traders and their supervision and compliance at the firm. Through this filing, the Exchange proposes to require that all persons that function as Representatives of a Participant under Article 6, Rule 2(b) register, pass appropriate examinations and participate in CE requirements. In this regard, the Exchange proposes to make a number of amendments to its registration and qualification standards including (1) expanding its registration requirements to all Participant Firms rather than solely Firms for which CHX is the DEA, (2) recognizing the Proprietary Traders Qualification Examination (Series 56) as one of the applicable qualification examinations, and (3) requiring each Participant Firm to register at least two officers or partners as principals with respect to each aspect of the Participant’s securities business. According to the proposed rules, Principals are persons associated with a Participant who are actively engaged in the management of the Participants’ securities business, including supervision, solicitation, conduct of business or the training of persons associated with a member for any of these functions are designated as Principals.

Registration of Representatives

First, CHX proposes to amend Article 6, Rule 2 to require that all persons associated with a Participant who are engaged or will be engaged in the securities business of a Participant register as a Representative. This will ensure that all associated persons who conduct business at the Exchange must be registered, including proprietary traders and their supervising partners, and will ensure that all are appropriately qualified and supervised.

Registration of Principals

To strengthen the supervisory systems of Participant Firms and thereby enhance investor protection, the Exchange proposes to require each Participant Firm to have at least two officers or partners who are registered as Principals with respect to each aspect of the Participant’s securities business. In addition to the two registered Principals, Participants shall also have at least one person registered as a Limited Principal FINOP. Thus, each individual supervising the securities businesses and associated persons of Participant Firms must qualify for registration as a Principal by passing the relevant Principal examination as listed in Article 6, Rule 6.

The proposed rules allow the Exchange to waive the two-principal requirement and only require Participant Firms to have one Principal under certain enumerated circumstances. Such circumstances include when a Participant demonstrates conclusively, upon written application, that only one individual should be required to register. Also, a Participant that conducts a proprietary trading business only and has 25 or fewer Representatives shall only be required to have one officer or partner who is registered as a Principal. According to proposed Article 6 Rule 2(c), a Participant shall be considered to conduct only proprietary trading if the Participant has the following characteristics: (1) The Participant is not required by Section 15(b)(8) of the Exchange Act to become a FINRA member; (2) All funds used or proposed to be used by the Participant are the Participant’s own capital, traded through the Participant’s own accounts; (3) The Participant does not, and will not, have customers; and (4) All persons registered on behalf of the Participant acting or to be acting in the capacity of a trader must be owners of, employees of, or contractors to the Participant. According to proposed Article 6, Rule 3(a)(ii), a proprietary trader is a person who only enters or executes orders on behalf of the Participant and does not handle or execute transactions for customers. The Exchange notes that these provisions are similar to the registration requirements of other exchanges and believes that they are appropriate given the limited size and scope of activities of such firms.

a. Financial and Operations Principals

The Exchange proposes that all CHX Participant Firms designate at least one individual as a FINOP who must maintain the appropriate registration status. The designated FINOP must pass the Series 27. The Exchange currently requires FINOPs associated with Participant Firms that maintain a JBO to maintain a Series 27 license. However, as the Exchange sees no compelling reason to limit the registration of FINOPs to JBO firms, the Exchange is proposing to broaden the registration requirement for FINOPs to all Participant Firms. The Exchange also proposes to add a basic description of the responsibilities of a person registered as a FINOP, as well as the related notices which the Participant Firm must make to the Exchange.
b. Chief Compliance Officers

In accordance with other SROs, the Exchange proposes to require Participant Firms to designate a Chief Compliance Officer ("CCO").30 The CCO must pass the Series 24 examination unless the Participant Firm with which the CCO is associated meets the requirements to take, in the alternative, the Compliance Officer Exam (Series 14).31

A Compliance Officer at such Participant Firm would qualify for the alternate exam if the firm, (1) engages solely in proprietary trading, (2) otherwise meets the registration requirements for Principals as defined in Article 6, Rule 2(c), and (3) meets the supervisory requirements in Rule 3(b).

The Compliance Officer Exam is intended to ensure that the individuals who have compliance responsibilities for their respective firms or who supervise ten or more people engaged in compliance activities have the knowledge necessary to carry out their job responsibilities. Therefore, the Series 14 measures the knowledge and skills related to the position of a compliance official. Accordingly, compliance officials at Participant Firms that meet the above requirements would be permitted to take the compliance-focused Series 14 examination rather than the broader Series 24 examination. Notably, if the CCO passed the Series 24 examination, they (sic) would qualify as one of the two registered Principals as outlined in Article 6, Rule 2(v). A CCO that does not also pass the Series 24 would not qualify as a Principal for purposes of the two principal requirement in Article 6, Rule 2(c)(v).

The Exchange believes that it is important that CCOs demonstrate heightened knowledge with respect to compliance responsibilities for their respective firms.

v. Exemption From Registration

The Exchange proposes to enumerate in its rules the list of persons exempt from any registration requirements to include such persons not actively engaged in the securities business or, in some circumstances, individuals who are already registered at other exchanges.32 The proposed list includes those individuals whose functions are related solely and exclusively to the Participant’s need for nominal corporate officers or for capital participation, or whose functions are related solely and exclusively to transactions in commodities, security futures; and/or who effect transactions on the floor of another national securities exchange. In the latter case, such individuals would already be registered as members with the other national securities exchange. The Exchange believes the registration requirement in Article 6, Rule 2(c)(v). The Exchange also believes that incorporating these exemptions into the rule provides additional clarity for individual Participants and associated persons as to who will be required to register under the proposed rule.33

vi. Other Registration Requirements

The Exchange also proposes to clarify the circumstances under which a Participant is prohibited from seeking registration for an individual person. In addition to the existing limitations precluding a Participant from applying for registration for an associated person where there is no intent to employ the individual in the Participant’s securities business, the amendments would preclude a Participant Firm from maintaining a registration with the Exchange or a participant from seeking the registration of a person who is no longer active in the Participant’s securities business; (2) who is no longer functioning in the registered capacity; or (3) where the sole purpose is to avoid an examination requirement. The Exchange believes that these provisions appropriately prohibit Participant Firms from “warehousing” registrations for persons who are not actively engaged in the securities business.

A Participant may, however, maintain or make application for registration for an individual who performs legal, compliance, internal audit, back-office operations, or similar responsibilities for the Participant. The rule will also allow application for registration for a person who performs administrative support functions for registered personnel, as well as a person engaged in the securities business of a foreign securities affiliate or subsidiary of the Participant. The Exchange believes that in allowing persons who perform legal, compliance, audit or similar functions to apply for registration, such persons will receive additional training and expertise to better perform their functions.

vii. Lapse and Waivers of Registration

After an individual’s registration lapses, the amended rules will require the individual to pass an appropriate qualification examination. A lapse in registration occurs when the registration has been revoked by the Exchange or when an individual’s registration has been terminated for a period of two or more years. The Exchange believes that these provisions reasonably permit an individual to transfer his or her registrations when changing firms or looking for employment if the individual is also within the two-year time period. Such individual will still meet the requirement of active involvement in the securities industry.

The proposed amendments provide that the Exchange may, in exceptional cases and where good cause is shown, waive the applicable qualification examination and accept other standards as evidence of an applicant’s qualification for registration. Advanced age or physical infirmity will not individually of themselves constitute sufficient grounds to waive a qualification examination. Experience in fields ancillary to the securities business may constitute sufficient grounds to waive a qualification examination.34 The Exchange notes that other exchanges and SROs have the same waiver provisions in their registration rules.35 The Exchange will keep documentation related to all waiver requests whether granted or not.

viii. Training and Examination of Registrants

a. General Securities Representatives and Proprietary Traders

Persons associated with a CHX Participant who meet the definition of a Representative must pass the Series 7 General Securities Representative Qualification Examination unless such individuals meet the definition of Proprietary Trader.36 A Representative will qualify for the Proprietary Trader category if the Representative’s activities are confined to making trading decisions regarding, or otherwise engaging in, proprietary trading for the broker-dealer or, the Representative, or any of the Representative’s associates who are the same waiver provisions in their registration rules.35 The Exchange will keep documentation related to all waiver requests whether granted or not.

30 See FINRA Rule 3130 and ISE Rule 313(c).
31 Proposed Article 6, Rules 2(c)(iv) and 3(b).
32 Participant Firms that conduct solely proprietary trading and otherwise meet the two-principal requirement may allow their Compliance Officer to take the less broad Series 14 examination rather than the comprehensive Series 24 examination for General Securities Principals.
33 Proposed Article 6, Rule 2(d).
34 This rule is substantially similar to NASD Rule 1070 and CBOE Rule 3.6A(e) Interpretation and Policies .05.
35 Proprietary Traders Qualification Examination.
36 Proposed Article 6, Rule 3(a).
37 The Exchange notes that its proposed changes are similar to the rules of FINRA, NASD Rule 1060.
Examination. The proposed rule change is not intended to replace the Series 7 requirement for all traders, but simply to offer an alternative to that requirement for those qualified individuals who solely conduct a business in proprietary trading and have shown their proficiency by passing the Series 56.

The Exchange also proposes to delete the references to the Series 7A examination in the new exam requirements of Rule 3. The Series 7A examination is obsolete with the retirement of the CHX trading “floor” and is therefore no longer appropriate for Participants.

b. Supervisory Requirements

As part of this proposal, the Exchange will require all supervisors at all Participant Firms to pass the Series 24 examination for General Securities Principals. The Exchange currently requires only Participant Firms for which the Exchange is the DEA to designate a person or persons responsible for supervision and compliance at the firm. This current limitation would be eliminated and the requirement would be extended to all Participant Firms. Extending the requirement will provide for uniformity among supervisors at Participant Firms and, as all supervisors will be subject to a heightened standard under the new rules (sic), the Exchange believes that the new standard will benefit the industry as a whole as well as the public.

c. Compliance Date

Proposed Article 6, Rule 3(e) provides that all Participants shall be in compliance with the examination qualification language by no later than four months after the Effective Date of these provisions for associated persons who only need to take one exam. For associated persons who need to take more than one exam, the Rule would allow a period of six months to come into compliance with the registration requirements. The Exchange believes that these periods should afford Participants adequate time to ensure that their designated Principals pass the appropriate qualifications exams.

ix. Supervision of Representatives

The Exchange further proposes to amend its existing supervision rule (Article 6, Rule 5) to include a basic declaration that CHX Participants are responsible for adherence with the federal securities laws and Exchange rules, and that they must reasonably supervise their operations and associated persons to prevent violations thereof. These obligations already exist under Section 15 of the Exchange Act and Article 8, Rule 1 of the CHX rules, but the Exchange believes that the inclusion of the proposed additions will provide direction to Participant Firms designing their supervisory systems and reinforce the importance of having adequate supervisory programs. Such reinforcement will be beneficial to Participants and the marketplace in general.

The Exchange believes that the imposition of the additional registration, examination, training and CE requirements implicit in Series 24 and 27 registrations will strengthen existing supervisory and compliance structures and help to assure that its Participants are conducting their businesses in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations.

x. Continuing Education

The Exchange is also proposing to amend Article 6, Rule 11 (“Rule 11”) to specify the different CE requirements for registered persons based upon their registration with the Exchange. This change will authorize the Exchange to administer different CE programs to differently registered individuals while bringing clarity to Exchange Participants about what CE requirement they must fulfill. More specifically, the Exchange is proposing to: (1) enumerate the required Regulatory Element programs, (2) add language to Rule 11 that would outline which program Exchange registered persons engaging in proprietary trading must take, and (3) add language to Rule 11(b) specifying that registered persons with a Series 56 registration must complete the Firm Element of the CE requirement.

Background

Currently, Exchange Rule 11 states that “[n]o member or member organization shall permit any registered person to continue to, and no registered person shall continue to, perform duties as a registered person, unless such person has complied with the continuing education requirements of Section (a) of this Rule.” Exchange Rule 11(a) specifies the CE requirements for registered persons subsequent to their initial qualification and registration with the Exchange. The requirements consist of a Regulatory Element and a Firm Element. The Regulatory Element is a computer-based education program administered by FINRA to help ensure that registered persons are kept up to date on regulatory, compliance and sales practice matters in the industry.

Currently, there are two Regulatory Element programs: the S201 Supervisor Program for registered principals and supervisors and the S101 General Program for Series 7 and all other registered persons. The Exchange is proposing to enumerate these programs in the Exchange Rulebook along with adding the S501 Series 56 Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program for Series 56 registered persons.

***

37 The Exchange’s current rules require that associated persons of Participant Firms for which CHX acts as the DEA and who enter orders or make trading decisions, whether or not such orders are in a principal or proprietary capacity, must maintain a Series 7 registration. See, Interpretations and Policies .02 of Article 6, Rule 3. This requirement is being moved to Rule 3(a). Pursuant to this proposal, a proprietary trader could satisfy the testing and examination requirements by successfully completing either the Series 7 or Series 56 exam to align with the requirements of other exchanges. The limitation of this requirement to Participant Firms for which the Exchange acts as the DEA is being eliminated as part of this filing. Given these changes, the Exchange is also deleting as unnecessary the references to a “public business examination” in Article 6, Rule 3(c), Interpretation and Policy .01(c).

38 Currently, some associated persons of CHX-registered Institutional Broker firms may not have to pass the Series 7 examination if they do not engage in a customer business and would instead pass the Series 56. The Exchange is proposing to add a definition of the term “customer” to its rules. Customer shall mean any person or entity other than a broker or dealer registered with the Commission. Proposed Article 1, Rule 1(hh).

39 Proposed Article 6, Rule 3(b).

40 Article 6, Rule 5.

41 These provisions are the requirements for Representatives to pass and maintain the Series 7 or Series 56, or for Supervisors to pass the Series 24 examination or Series 14 and, finally, for FINOPs to pass and maintain the Series 27 examination. Some CHX Institutional Broker firms that handle no public customer business have no Representatives with a Series 7 Qualification. To designate a Principal under proposed Article 6, Rule 2(h), a Representative at those firms will have to pass both the Series 7 and Series 24 examinations. The Exchange believes that six months is necessary to afford those persons a reasonable opportunity to pass both those examinations.

42 Rule 11(a).

43 Currently, the Firm Element of the CE Program applies to any person registered with a Participant who has direct contact with customers in the conduct of the Participant’s securities sales, trading and investment banking activities, and to the immediate supervisors of such persons (collectively called “covered registered persons”). The requirement stipulates that each Participant must maintain a continuing education program for its covered registered persons to enhance their securities knowledge, skill and professionalism. Each Participant has the requirement to annually conduct a training needs analysis, develop a written training plan, and implement the plan.

44 FINRA also offers the S106 Series 6 Program for Series 6 registered persons. However, as the Exchange does not currently enumerate the Series 6 exam in its rules, the S106 is inapplicable.
Introduction of the Proprietary Trading Continuing Education Program

The Exchange is proposing to introduce a new CE Program for proprietary traders registered with the Exchange who have passed the Series 56 and who have no other registrations. As discussed above, proposed Article 6, Rule 3 outlines the registration and qualification requirements (including prerequisite examinations) for Participants conducting proprietary trading, market-making and/or effecting transactions on behalf of other broker dealers. According to proposed Article 6 Rule 3(a)(ii), if the activities of the registered person are confined to making trading decisions regarding, or otherwise engaging in, proprietary trading for the broker-dealer with which he or she is associated, however, he or she may register with the Exchange as a Proprietary Trader and shall pass the Series 56 Proprietary Trader exam before such registration may become effective. The Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program (S501) is a computer-based education program developed by many of the SROs and administered by FINRA to ensure that registered persons are kept current on regulatory, compliance and trading practice matters in the industry. Unlike the other offered CE Programs, the Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program is not part of the Uniform Continuing Education Program, which is developed and maintained by the Securities Industry Regulatory Council on Continuing Education.

The Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program will logistically operate as the currently offered CE Programs do. Specifically, registered persons will be required, through CRD, to complete the Regulatory Element of the CE on the second anniversary of the base date and then every three years thereafter. While creating the S501, the Participating SROs believe that the current procedures of the other CE programs work well. The Securities Industry Regulatory Council on Continuing Education has tailored the process of the other CE Programs since its inception to a process that has been successful. Thus, as proposed, the S501 will work in the same manner. In addition, consistency between the different programs will avoid creating confusion amongst the registered persons and FINRA.

The Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program (S501) is required for those registrants who registered as Proprietary Traders and do not maintain any other registration through CRD. Individuals that are registered under any other registration are required to maintain the CE obligations associated with those registrations. For example, an individual that is registered as a Proprietary Trader with the Exchange and has a Series 7 registration will be required to continue taking the Series 7 Continuing Education Program (S101). Though such individual may be engaging in the same capacity as one registered as a Proprietary Trader, because the Series 7 examination is a more comprehensive exam, the Exchange believes that this individual continuing to maintain a Series 7 registration should complete a CE that covers all aspects of his or her registration.

As part of the new Proprietary Trader CE, registered persons will also be required to complete the Firm Element outlined in Exchange Rule 9.3A(c). Though proprietary traders with a Series 56 registration do not interact with the public, the Exchange believes this requirement is appropriate as it ensures these registered persons continue to enhance their securities knowledge, skill and professionalism. As stated in Exchange Rule 11(b)(2)(ii), the program should be tailored to fit the business of the Participant. Thus, the Exchange believes it is appropriate that these individuals also complete the Firm Element.

The introduction of the Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program allows the Exchange to tailor its CE requirements more closely to those registered individuals who are registered as Series 56. More specifically, the Exchange believes allowing individuals engaging in proprietary trading and registered under the Series 56 to complete a separate CE Program than those maintaining a Series 7 registration is appropriate as all individuals have the option of taking either test. In comparison to the Series 7, the Series 56 Examination is more closely tailored to the practice of proprietary trading while the Series 7 is more comprehensive. As such, the Exchange believes a Series 56 CE Program should be tailored as well. At the same time, if an individual would like to remain registered as a Series 7, the Exchange believes it is appropriate they continue to be required to complete the broader CE Program. As stated above, though an individual maintaining a Series 7 registration may be engaging in the same capacity as one registered as a Proprietary Trader, because the Series 7 examination is a more comprehensive exam, the Exchange believes that such individual that continues to maintain a Series 7 registration should complete a CE that covers all aspects of his or her registration.

xi. Fee Changes

The Exchange proposes to amend Section I.5 of the Fee Schedule to include the registration fees for the Series 14 and Series 56 exams. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to insert the following information:

- $335 registration fee for the Series 14 Examination;
- $195 registration fee for the Series 56 Examination.

CHX also proposes to adopt a fee applicable to Proprietary Trader Regulatory Element. Currently, the applicable fee for the Regulatory Element ($101 and $201) is $160. CHX proposes to adopt a $60 fee for the S501. FINRA administered these programs on behalf of the exchanges and therefore the fees are payable directly to FINRA.
The $60 fee will only be used for the administration of the S501 versus the S101 which utilizes the $100 fee for both development and administration. The costs associated with the development of the S501 are included in the examination fee. The Exchange notes that the proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues surrounding regulatory fees and that the Exchange is not aware of any problems that Participants would have in complying with the proposed changes.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange has proposed the above rule changes for the purpose of requiring certain persons associated with CHX Participants to maintain appropriate licenses and registrations. These changes will help to assure competency of Representatives and provide for more effective supervision and oversight of the Participant’s activities.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(5) of the Act in particular to aid in preventing “fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.”

The Exchange believes that the proposed amendment requiring that all persons associated with a Participant and who are engaged or will be engaged in the securities business register as a Representative will continue to promote the development and maintenance of adequate training and supervisory programs by CHX Participants. This change in Representative registration will ensure that such persons are appropriately qualified and supervised to aid in preventing fraudulent and manipulative practices, further just and equitable principles of trade and protect investors and the public interest in general.

The Exchange also believes that adding the Proprietary Trader (Series 56) examination to its list of qualifying exams will allow individuals who solely conduct a business in proprietary trading to demonstrate their proficiency in that area. In offering an alternative to the Series 7 examination, CHX will permit proprietary traders who pass the Series 56 to trade on a proprietary basis. As stated above, Representatives will qualify for the Proprietary Trader category at CHX if the Representative is a person who does not handle or execute transactions for customers and only enters or executes orders on behalf of the Participant. Further, as noted above, other SROs have similarly recognized the Proprietary Trader registration category and the Series 56 exam.

As the Exchange is continuing to strengthen the supervisory systems of Participant Firms and thereby contribute to greater investor protection through the proposed changes, the Exchange has proposed to require each Participant Firm to register as representatives with the Exchange at least two Principals in specified categories as described above. In addition to the requirement of two registered Principals, each Participant would also be required to register an additional associated person as a FINOP. Further, the Exchange proposes to require Participant Firms to designate a CCO. To qualify for registration, the CCO must pass the Series 24 examination unless the Participant Firm met the requirements to take, in the alternative, the Compliance Officer Exam (Series 14). A Compliance Officer at such Participant Firm would qualify for the alternative examination if the firm engaged solely in proprietary trading and it otherwise meets the applicable registration and supervisory requirements for Principals. Thus, all individuals supervising the securities businesses and associated persons of Participant Firms must qualify for registration as Principals by passing the relevant Principal examination as listed in Article 6, Rule 3. The Exchange believes that adding the proposed rules related to firm supervision helps prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and protect investors and the public interest in general, and notes that CHX’s proposed provisions are similar to those of other SROs.

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed amendments are consistent with Section 6(c) of the Exchange Act and furthers the objectives of Section 6(c)(3) of the Exchange Act, which allows the Exchange to stipulate qualification, training, experience and competence standards for persons associated with Exchange Participants. This filing proposes to amend and clarify the registration and qualification requirements to ensure that industry standards are met.

The Exchange also believes that the proposed changes are not unfairly discriminatory as CHX is not only conforming to the rules set forth by other SROs, but the proposed changes will be applied to all associated persons of all CHX Participants. Under current rules, only CHX Participants for which CHX is the DEA are subject to the rules regarding registration and qualification. By applying the registration and qualification rules to all CHX Participants, the rules will not unfairly discriminate against any Participant class.

The proposed rule also introduces a new CE program for the Series 56 registered persons as described above. The proposed amendments are reasonable and set forth the appropriate CE requirements for an individual Participant or individual associated person who is required to

Notably, persons engaged in the supervision of a Participant’s securities business will also be required to register as Principals under the provisions of Article 6, Rule 2(c).

See, for example, NASD Rule 1021(e) and BATS Rule 2.5 Interpretations and Policies .01(d).

To comply with the proposed Exchange rules, the number and types of examinations taken by individuals at Participant Firms will likely vary between Participant Firms depending on each Participant Firms’ business model.
The Exchange believes that the rule changes are consistent with the objectives of the Act and the purposes of the Act. The rule change is designed to amend its current registration and qualification rules to require that persons associated with CHX Participants maintain appropriate licenses and registrations. These rule changes will help to assure competency and provide for more effective supervision and oversight of a CHX Participant’s activities and will not impose any burden on competition.

The Exchange also does not believe the administrative changes being made nor the introduction of the Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program (S501) will affect intramarket competition as the Exchange believes all Exchanges offering the same CE requirements will file similar rules addressing those CE Programs. In addition, the Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will affect intramarket competition because all similarly situated registered persons, e.g., registered persons maintaining the same registrations, are required to complete the same CE requirements. For example, all individuals maintaining a Series 7 registration will be required to complete the Series 7 CE while all individuals maintaining a Series 56 registration (and no other registrations) will be required to complete the new Series 56 CE.

The Exchange believes that the fee changes proposed herein will not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed fee is equitable, because it applies equally to all persons registered solely as Proprietary Traders. Finally, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its rules to remain competitive with other exchanges. The Exchange notes that the rule change is reasonable in comparison to similar rule changes by certain other SROs. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment.

**B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of Burden on Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The rule change is designed to amend its current registration and qualification rules to require that persons associated with CHX Participants maintain appropriate licenses and registrations. These rule changes will help to assure competency and provide for more effective supervision and oversight of a CHX Participant’s activities and will not impose any burden on competition.

The Exchange also does not believe the administrative changes being made nor the introduction of the Proprietary Trader Continuing Education Program (S501) will affect intramarket competition as the Exchange believes all Exchanges offering the same CE requirements will file similar rules addressing those CE Programs. In addition, the Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will affect intramarket competition because all similarly situated registered persons, e.g., registered persons maintaining the same registrations, are required to complete the same CE requirements. For example, all individuals maintaining a Series 7 registration will be required to complete the Series 7 CE while all individuals maintaining a Series 56 registration (and no other registrations) will be required to complete the new Series 56 CE.

The Exchange believes that the fee changes proposed herein will not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed fee is equitable, because it applies equally to all persons registered solely as Proprietary Traders. Finally, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its rules to remain competitive with other exchanges. The Exchange notes that the rule change is reasonable in comparison to similar rule changes by certain other SROs. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change reflects this competitive environment.
temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments
- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR–CHX–2013–14 on the subject line.

Paper Comments
- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CHX–2013–14. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the filing will also be available for Web site viewing and printing at the GHX’s principal office and on its Internet Web site at www.chx.com. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–CHX–2013–14 and should be submitted on or before November 12, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.***

Kevin M. O’Neill,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–24551 Filed 10–21–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SEcurities and exchange commissIoN


Self-Regulatory Organizations; Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Consisting of Amendments to MSRB Rule G–11, on Primary Offering Practices, Relating to Changes in a Bond Authorizing Document

October 3, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that, on September 19, 2013, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the “MSRB” or “Board”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the MSRB. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The MSRB is filing with the Commission a proposed rule change consisting of amendments to MSRB Rule G–11, on primary offering practices (the “proposed rule change”). The MSRB requests an effective date for the proposed rule change of 60 days following the date of SEC approval.


II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the MSRB included summaries concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The MSRB has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The proposed rule change would amend MSRB Rule G–11 to prohibit, with carefully defined exceptions, brokers, dealers and municipal securities dealers (“dealers”) from providing consents to changes in a bond authorizing document, such as trust indentures and bond resolutions (“authorizing document” or “bond authorizing document”). The proposed rule change would enhance protections for existing owners of bonds (“owners” or “bond owners”) from changes to authorizing documents consented to by a dealer in lieu of bond owners by prescriptively prohibiting such consents in certain circumstances.

Background

Amendments to authorizing documents are often requested by municipal entity issuers (“issuers”) or bond owners to modernize outdated provisions or to address operational or other concerns that have arisen after the initial issuance of bonds. Such amendments are typically achieved by the vote of owners of a specified percentage of the aggregate principal amount of bonds, as determined by the authorizing document. The principal amount necessary usually will vary, depending upon the type of amendments sought.

The process of obtaining consents from bond owners and related costs can be significant. Since many municipal securities are issued in book-entry form and registered as a single “global” certificate in the name of a depository, the identity of beneficial owners of the bonds is frequently unknown to issuers and trustees. Identifying such owners and obtaining consents requires an extensive process of inquiry through layers of nominee ownership and often
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