

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-991 (Review)]

Silicon Metal From Russia; Notice of Commission Determination To Conduct a Full Five-year Review

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 6, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Haines (202-205-3200), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 6, 2013, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that both the domestic and respondent interested party group responses to its notice of institution (78 FR 33064, June 3, 2013) were adequate. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the

Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 30, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-24231 Filed 10-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

On September 25, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Charles Chrin, et al.*, Civil Action No. 5:13-cv-05625-LS.

In this action under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), the United States sought reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Industrial Lane Superfund Site ("Site"), located on Industrial Drive in Williams Township, Northampton County, Pennsylvania. The proposed Decree requires Settling Defendants Charles Chrin, Chrin Bros, Inc., Chrin of Delaware, Inc., Binney & Smith, LLC, Cooper Industries, LLC, CNA Holdings, LLC, STWB, Inc. and Victaulic Co. to pay \$400,000 to the United States in reimbursement of Past Response Costs. The proposed Decree further requires Performing Settling Defendants Chrin Bros., Inc. and Chrin of Delaware, Inc. to pay all Future Response Costs to be incurred.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Charles Chrin, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-908/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov
By mail	Assistant Attorney General; U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/ConsentDecrees.html>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$15.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-24117 Filed 10-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Meeting of the Compact Council for the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, DOJ.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce a meeting of the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Council (Council) created by the National Crime Prevention and Privacy Compact Act of 1998 (Compact). Thus far, the Federal Government and 30 states are parties to the Compact which governs the exchange of criminal history records for licensing, employment, and similar purposes. The Compact also provides a legal framework for the establishment of a cooperative federal-state system to exchange such records.

The United States Attorney General appointed 15 persons from state and federal agencies to serve on the Council. The Council will prescribe system rules and procedures for the effective and proper operation of the Interstate Identification Index system for noncriminal justice purposes.

Matters for discussion are expected to include:

(1) Advanced Authentication (AA) requirement exemption for indirect access to Criminal Justice Information.

(2) Encryption Standards for Criminal Justice Information at Rest.

(3) The Rap Back Focus Group update.

The meeting will be open to the public on a first-come, first-seated basis. Any member of the public wishing to file a written statement with the Council or wishing to address this session of the Council should notify the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) Compact Officer, Mr. Gary S. Barron at (304) 625-2803, at least 24 hours prior to the start of the session. The notification should contain the individual's name and corporate designation, consumer affiliation, or government designation, along with a short statement describing the topic to be addressed and the time needed for the presentation. Individuals will ordinarily be allowed up to 15 minutes to present a topic.

DATES: The Council will meet in open session from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., on November 6-7, 2013.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will take place at the Wyndham Tampa Westshore, 700 North Westshore Boulevard, Tampa, Florida, telephone (813) 289-8200.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquiries may be addressed to Mr. Gary S. Barron, FBI Compact Officer, Module D3, 1000 Custer Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306, telephone (304) 625-2803, facsimile (304) 625-2868.

Dated: September 25, 2013.

Gary S. Barron,

FBI Compact Officer, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

[FR Doc. 2013-24229 Filed 10-2-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-82,388]

Aleris Recycling Bens Run, LLC, a Subsidiary of Aleris Corporation, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Winans Extras Support Staffing and CDI Corporation, Friendly, West Virginia; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On May 8, 2013, the Department of Labor (Department) issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Aleris

Recycling Bens Run, LLC, Friendly, West Virginia (subject firm). The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2013 (78 FR 31593). The workers were engaged in employment related to the production of pyramid- and cone-shaped deoxidizers, aluminum ingot in multiple alloys, and recycled secondary ingot and sows. Workers were not separately identifiable by article produced. The worker group included on-site leased workers from Winans Extras Support Staffing and CDI Corporation. The subject firm shut down in March 2013.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the Department's findings that worker separations were not attributable to increased imports of pyramid- and cone-shaped deoxidizers, aluminum ingot in multiple alloys, and recycled secondary ingot and sows (or articles like or directly competitive), by the subject firm or its declining customers, or a shift/acquisition of the production of pyramid- and cone-shaped deoxidizers, aluminum ingot in multiple alloys, and recycled secondary ingot and sows (or articles like or directly competitive) to/ from a foreign country by the workers' firm during the time period under investigation (2011 and 2012).

In the request for reconsideration, the petitioner alleged that workers at the subject firm were impacted by foreign competition and that the initial negative determination was erroneous because the Department did not understand the articles produced by the subject firm and their use by the subject firm's customers.

Further, during the course of the reconsideration investigation, the petitioner provided additional information in which he alleged that the subject firm was a supplier to customers whose workers were eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). Therefore, the petitioner alleged that workers of the subject firm are eligible to apply for TAA as secondarily-affected workers.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department reviewed and confirmed information obtained during the initial investigation, sought clarification of previously-submitted information, and collected additional information from the subject firm and one of its major customers.

The reconsideration investigation findings confirmed that the subject firm did not import articles like or directly competitive with pyramid- and cone-shaped deoxidizers, aluminum ingot in multiple alloys, and recycled secondary ingot and sows in the period under investigation. Additionally, the findings confirmed that the subject firm did not shift the production of pyramid- and cone-shaped deoxidizers, aluminum ingot in multiple alloys, and recycled secondary ingot and sows (or like or directly competitive articles) to a foreign country or acquire the production of these article, or any like or directly competitive articles, from a foreign country during the period under investigation.

During the initial investigation, the Department conducted a customer survey of the major customers of the subject firm, which captured the majority of the subject firm's sales during the relevant time period. The surveyed customers reported no imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers at the subject firm. Because the survey captured the majority of the subject firm's customer volume, no additional customer survey was conducted during the reconsideration investigation. During the reconsideration investigation, however, the Department contacted one of the surveyed customers to confirm information provided by this customer during the initial investigation.

The group eligibility requirements for workers of a firm under Section 222(b) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(b), can be satisfied if the following criteria are met:

(1) A significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the workers' firm is a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. § 2272(a), and such supply or production is related to the article or service that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied to the firm described in paragraph (2) accounted for at