provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b), and other appropriate Federal regulations.

Such subcommittees shall not work independently of the chartered Advisory Board, and shall report all their recommendations and advice to the Advisory Board for full deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees have no authority to make decisions on behalf of the chartered Advisory Board; nor can they report directly to the Department of Defense or any Federal officers or employees who are not Advisory Board members.

Subcommittee members, who are not Advisory Board members, shall be appointed in the same manner as the Advisory Board members. Such individuals, if not full-time or part-time government employees, shall be appointed to serve as experts and consultants under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109, and serve as special government employee members, whose appointments must be renewed on an annual basis. With the exception of per diem for official travel, subcommittee members shall serve without compensation.

The Advisory Board shall meet at the call of the Advisory Board’s Designated Federal Officer, in consultation with the Chairperson. Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2904(d), the minimum number of Advisory Board meetings is four per year.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to DoD policy, shall be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and shall be appointed in accordance with governing DoD policies and procedures. In addition, the Designated Federal Officer is required to be in attendance at all Advisory Board and subcommittee meetings for the entire duration of each and every meeting; however, in the absence of the Designated Federal Officer, the Alternate Designated Federal Officer shall attend the entire duration of the Advisory Board or subcommittee meeting.

Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program Scientific Advisory Board’s membership about the Advisory Board’s mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program Scientific Advisory Board.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program Scientific Advisory Board, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program Scientific Advisory Board Designated Federal Officer can be obtained from the GSA’s FACRA Database—http://www.facadatabase.gov/.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program Scientific Advisory Board. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.


Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 2013–21917 Filed 9–9–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Department of the Navy
Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for Medical Facilities Development and University Expansion at Naval Support Activity Bethesda, Bethesda, MD
AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of the Navy (DoN), after carefully weighing the environmental consequences of the proposed actions, announces its decision to construct and operate the Medical Facilities Development (MFD) at Naval Support Activity (NSA) Bethesda, Bethesda, MD. DoN also announces its decision to construct and operate the expansion of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USU or the University Expansion) at NSA Bethesda. The DoN has decided to implement the preferred alternatives for the MFD and University Expansion, as described in the MFD and University Expansion Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) dated July 2013. The preferred alternatives are also the Environmentally Preferable Alternatives.

The preferred alternative for the MFD will fully meet the Congressional mandate in the Fiscal Year 2010 National Defense Authorization Act to achieve the new statutory world-class standards for military medicine at the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center (WRNMMC) by providing enduring medical facilities commensurate in quality, capability and condition as those provided by the 2005 Base Realignment and Closure investment. The preferred alternative for the University Expansion will provide adequate education and research space to meet Military Health System commitments to deliver training and post-graduate level education to the military medical community and enable USU to serve as the core academic health research center at WRNMMC. The proposed actions will enhance and support but not add to the missions of the installation, medical center, or the USU.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The complete text of the Record of Decision (ROD) is available on the project Web site at http://www.wrnmmc.capmed.mil/PatientVisitors/SitePages/EIS.aspx, along with the Final EIS and supporting documents. Single copies of the ROD are available upon request by contacting: NSA Bethesda Public Affairs Office, Attn: Joseph Macri, 8901 Wisconsin Avenue, Building 11, Room 216, Bethesda, MD 20889, Telephone: 301–295–1803, and Email: NNMC.NASABethesdaEIS@health.mil.

N.A. Hagerty-Ford, Commander, Office of the Judge Advocate General, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 2013–22000 Filed 9–9–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
Senior Executive Service Performance Review Board; Membership
AGENCY: Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the membership of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB).

DATES: Effective September 10, 2013.
input on the process by which DOE will utilize and make available to states to evaluate compliance with building energy codes and general approaches towards compliance, made available for public comment on August 6, 2013. (78 FR 47677) This is being done in response to multiple stakeholder requests.

DATES: The public comment period ended on September 5, 2013. The comment period is being re-opened and will close on September 30, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are encouraged to submit comments electronically. However, comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

• Email to the following address: STCodeCompliance2013BC0036@ee.doe.gov. Include docket number EERE–2013–BT–BC–0036 in the subject line of the message.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.


Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number.

Docket: The docket is available for review at www.Regulations.gov. All documents in the docket are listed in the index. A link to the docket Web page can be found at http://www.regulations.gov/ #docketDetail;D=EERE–2013–BT–BC–0036. The Regulations.gov Web site contains instructions on how to access all documents, including public comments, in the docket.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

On August 6, 2013, DOE published a Request for Information in the Federal Register (78 FR 47677) seeking stakeholder input on the process by which DOE will utilize and make available to states to evaluate compliance with building energy codes and general approaches towards compliance. The comment period ended on September 5, 2013. Multiple stakeholders including the Responsible Energy Codes Alliance, National Association of State Energy Officials, Building Codes Assistance Project, and NYSERDA requested, DOE to extend the comment period to allow additional time to review the RFI and submit comments. DOE has determined that re-opening the public comment period in response to the requests is appropriate and hereby re-opens the comment period and extends it to September 30, 2013. Please refer to the original Federal Register notice for the content of the RFI at: https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2013/08/06/2013-18952/doe-activities-and-methodology-for-assessing-compliance-with-building-energy-codes.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 4, 2013.

Roland Risser,
Director, Building Technologies Office, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy. [FR Doc. 2013–22018 Filed 9–9–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Combined Notice of Filings #1

Take notice that the Commission received the following electric rate filings:


Applicants: Virginia Electric and Power Company.

Description: Notice of Non-Material Changes in Fuel Procurement