

Belkin International, Inc., 12045 E. Waterfront Drive, Playa Vista, CA 90094.

D-Link Corporation, No. 289, Sinhu 3rd Road, Neihu District, Taipei City 114, Taiwan.

D-Link System, Inc., 17595 Mount Herrmann Street, Fountain Valley, CA 92708.

Netgear Inc., 350 East Plumeria Drive, San Jose, CA 95134.

Nintendo Co., Ltd., 11-1 Kamitobohokotate-cho, Minami-ku, Kyoto, Japan.

Nintendo of America, Inc., 4600 150th Avenue NE., Richmond, WA 98052.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: September 4, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-21846 Filed 9-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1224-1225 (Preliminary)]

Ferrosilicon from Russia and Venezuela

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Russia and Venezuela of ferrosilicon, provided for in subheadings 7202.21.10, 7202.21.50, 7202.21.75, 7202.21.90, and 7202.29.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigation

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the **Federal Register** as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of an affirmative preliminary determination in the investigation under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determination is negative, upon notice of an affirmative final determination in that investigation under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

On July 19, 2013, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by

Globe Specialty Metals, Inc. ("GSM"), New York, New York; CC Metals and Alloys, LLC ("CCMA"), Calvert City, Kentucky; the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union ("USW"); and the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW"), alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured and threatened with material injury by reason of LTFV imports of ferrosilicon from Russia and Venezuela. Accordingly, effective July 19, 2013, the Commission instituted antidumping duty investigation nos. 731-TA-1224-1225 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of July 25, 2013 (78 FR 44969). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on August 9, 2013, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on September 3, 2013. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4426 (September 2013), entitled *Ferrosilicon from Russia and Venezuela: Investigation Nos. 731-TA-1224-1225 (Preliminary)*.

Issued: September 3, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-21842 Filed 9-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-892]

Certain Point-to-Point Network Communication Devices and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on August 1, 2013, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Straight Path IP Group, Inc. of Glen Allen, Virginia. A letter supplementing the Complaint was filed on August 21, 2013. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain point-to-point network communication devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,009,469 (“the ‘469 patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 6,108,704 (“the ‘704 patent’”); and U.S. Patent No. 6,131,121 (“the ‘121 patent’”); and that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complaint requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2013).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on September 3, 2013, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of

section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain point-to-point network communication devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–3, 9, 10, 17, and 18 of the ‘469 patent; claims 1, 11, 12, 19, 22, 23, and 30 of the ‘704 patent; and claims 6 and 13 of the ‘121 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), and (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is:

Straight Path IP Group, Inc., 5300 Hickory Park Drive, Suite 218, Glen Allen, VA 23059.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

AmTran Logistics, Inc., 9 Goddard, Irvine, CA 92618.

AmTran Technology Co., Ltd., 17f, 268, Lien Cheng Road, 23553 New Taipei City, Taiwan.

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Twin Towers, 20, Yeouido-dong, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul, 157–721, Republic of Korea.

LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., 1000 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632.

LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc., 10101 Old Grove Road, San Diego, CA 92131.

Panasonic Corporation, 1006, Oaza Kadoma, Kadoma-shi, Osaka, 571–8501, Japan.

Panasonic Corporation of North America, One Panasonic Way, Secaucus, NJ 07094.

Sharp Corporation, 22–22 Nagaike-cho, Abenku-Ku, Osaka 545–8522, Japan.

Sharp Electronics Corporation, 1 Sharp Plaza, Mahwah, NJ 07495.

Sony Computer Entertainment, Inc., 1–7–1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108–0075, Japan.

Sony Computer Entertainment America Inc., 919 East Hillsdale Boulevard, 2nd Floor, Foster City, CA 94404.

Sony Computer Entertainment America LLC, 919 East Hillsdale Boulevard, 2nd Floor, Foster City, CA 94404.

Sony Corporation, 1–7–1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108–0075, Japan.

Sony Corporation of America, 550 Madison Avenue, Floor 27, New York, NY 10022–3211.

Sony Electronics Inc., 16530 Via Esprillo, San Diego, CA 92127.

Sony Mobile Communications AB, Nya Vattentorget, Lund, 221 88, Sweden.

Sony Mobile Communications (USA) Inc., 7001 Development Drive, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

Sony Ericsson Mobile Communications, (USA) Inc., 333 Piedmont Road NE., Suite 600, Atlanta, GA 30305–1811.

Toshiba Corporation, 1–1, Shibaura 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105–8001, Japan.

Toshiba America Inc., 1251 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020.

Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., 9740 Irvine Boulevard, Irvine, CA 92618–1697.

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing

such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: September 4, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-21843 Filed 9-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-13-022]

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: September 12, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none
2. Minutes
3. Ratification List
4. Vote in Inv. No. 731-TA-919 (Second Review)(Welded Large Diameter Line Pipe from Japan). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on or before September 26, 2013.
5. Outstanding action jackets: none
In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 5, 2013.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-21994 Filed 9-5-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decrees Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on September 3, 2013, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Vermont Asbestos Group, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 2:13-cv-00238-wks, between the United States, State of Vermont, and

Vermont Asbestos Group, Inc. was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Vermont.

In the United States' action brought under Sections 106, 107, and 113(g)(2) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. 9606, 9607 and 9613(g)(2) ("CERCLA"), the United States seeks injunctive relief requiring the Vermont Asbestos Group ("Settling Defendant") to perform the operation and maintenance of the erosion control structures constructed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency at the Vermont Asbestos Group Mine Superfund Site in Lowell and Eden, Vermont. The United States also seeks to recover costs incurred and to be incurred by the United States in response to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at or from the Site.

The settlement, based on Settling Defendant's limited "ability to pay," requires Settling Defendant to undertake the operation and maintenance of the erosion control structures at the Site; pay the State of Vermont \$5,000 per year for ten years; and stipulate to a judgment in favor of the United States in the amount of \$3,360,082 for EPA's past cleanup costs and in favor of the State in the amount of \$174,620 for the State's past cleanup costs. The Settling Defendant also stipulates to the entry of a judgment in favor of the State for State Future Response Costs estimated to be at least \$28,458,399. These stipulated amounts are to be satisfied only through the recovery of insurance proceeds.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Vermont Asbestos Group, Inc.* (D. Vt.) D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-07425/3. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_

Decrees.html. We will provide paper copies of the consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$10.25 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

Robert E. Maher, Jr.,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2013-21856 Filed 9-6-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On August 29, 2013, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. The Dow Chemical Company*, 1:13-cv-2330.

The Consent Decree resolves the claims of the United States set forth in the complaint against The Dow Chemical Company for costs incurred and to be incurred in connection with the Twins Inn Superfund Site ("Site"), located in Arvada, Jefferson County, Colorado, pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607. Under the Consent Decree, the settling defendant agrees to finance and perform the work for the Site and to reimburse \$400,000 in past costs to the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. The Dow Chemical Company*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-2-08744/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</i>