The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated August 26, 2013.

David Mussatt,
Acting Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 2013–21121 Filed 8–28–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Submission for OMB Review;
Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title: Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument Permit Applications and Reports for Permits. 
OMB Control Number: 0648–0548.
Form Number(s): NA.
Type of Request: Regular submission (extension of a current information collection).
Number of Respondents: 192.
Average Hours per Response: Permits applications range from 5 to 10 hours, depending on purpose; entry and exit notices and vessel monitoring system certification, 5 minutes each.
Burden Hours: 1,343.
Needs and Uses: On June 15, 2006, President Bush established the Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument by issuing Presidential Proclamation 8031 (71 FR 36443, June 26, 2006) under the authority of the Antiquities Act (16 U.S.C. 431). The proclamation includes restrictions and prohibitions regarding activities in the monument consistent with the authority provided by the act. Specifically, the proclamation prohibits access to the monument except when passing through without interruption or as allowed under a permit issued by NOAA and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS/Dept. of the Interior).
Vessels passing through the monument without interruption are required to notify NOAA and FWS upon entering into and leaving the monument. Individuals wishing to access the monument to conduct certain regulated activities must first apply for and be granted a permit issued by NOAA and FWS to certify compliance with vessel monitoring system requirements, monument regulations and best management practices. On August 29, 2006, NOAA and FWS published a final rule codifying the provisions of the proclamation (71 FR 51134).
Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations.
Frequency: Annually and on occasion.
Respondent’s Obligation: Mandatory.
OMB Desk Officer: OIRA Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0336, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at j Jessup@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA Submission@omb.eop.gov.


Gwelnranks, Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–21034 Filed 8–28–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–NK–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Indirect Cost Rates for the Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program for Fiscal Year 2012

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Indirect Cost Rates for the Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program for Fiscal Year 2012.

SUMMARY: The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s (NOAA’s) Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program (DARRP) is announcing new indirect cost rates on the recovery of indirect costs for its component organizations involved in natural resource damage assessment and restoration activities for fiscal year (FY) 2012. The indirect cost rates for this fiscal year and date of implementation are provided in this notice. More information on these rates and the DARRP policy can be found at the DARRP Web site at www.darrp.noaa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LaTonya Burgess at 301–713–4248, ext. 211, by fax at 301–713–4389, or email at LaTonya.Burgess@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The mission of the DARRP is to restore natural resource injuries caused by releases of hazardous substances or oil under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA) (33 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.), and to support restoration of physical injuries to National Marine Sanctuaries under the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA) (16 U.S.C. 1431 et seq.). The DARRP consists of three component organizations: the Office of Response and Restoration (ORR) within the National Ocean Service; the Restoration Center within the National Marine Fisheries Service; and the Office of the General Counsel Natural Resources Organizations: the Office of Response and Restoration Program (ORR) within the National Ocean Service; the Restoration Center within the National Marine Fisheries Service; and the Office of the General Counsel Natural Resources

Socio-Economic, and Environmental (NRM) within the National Marine Fisheries Service.

The DARRP’s Indirect Cost Effort

In December 1998, the DARRP hired the public accounting firm Rubinio & McGeehin, Chartered (R&M) to: evaluate the DARRP indirect cost accounting system and allocation practices; recommend the appropriate indirect cost allocation methodology; and determine the indirect cost rates for the three organizations that comprise the DARRP. A Federal Register notice on R&M’s effort, their assessment of the DARRP’s cost accounting system and practice, and their determination regarding the most appropriate indirect cost methodology and rates for FYs 1993 through 1999 was published on December 7, 2000 (65 FR 76611). The notice and report by R&M can also be found on the DARRP Web site at www.darrp.noaa.gov.

R&M continued its assessment of DARRP’s indirect cost rate system and structure for FYs 2000 and...
2001 was published on December 2, 2002 (67 FR 71537).

In October 2002, DARRP hired the accounting firm of Cotton and Company LLP (Cotton) to review and certify DARRP costs incurred on cases for purposes of cost recovery and to develop indirect rates for FY 2002 and subsequent years. As in the prior years, Cotton concluded that the cost accounting system and allocation practices of the DARRP component organizations are consistent with federal accounting requirements. Consistent with R&M’s previous analyses, Cotton also determined that the most appropriate indirect allocation method continues to be the Direct Labor Cost Base for all three DARRP component organizations. The Direct Labor Cost Base is computed by allocating total indirect cost over the sum of direct labor dollars, plus the application of NOAA’s leave surcharge and benefits rates to direct labor. Direct labor costs for contractors from I.M. Systems Group (IMSG) were included in the direct labor base because Cotton determined that these costs have the same relationship to the indirect cost pool as NOAA direct labor costs. IMSG provided on-site support to the DARRP in the areas of injury assessment, natural resource economics, restoration planning and implementation, and policy analysis. IMSG continues to provide on-site support to the DARRP. Starting in FY 2010, contractors from Genwest provide on-site support for cost documentation. Subsequent federal notices have been published in the Federal Register as follows:

- FY 2002, published on October 6, 2003 (68 FR 57672)
- FY 2003, published on May 20, 2005 (70 FR 29280)
- FY 2004, published on March 16, 2006 (71 FR 13356)
- FY 2005, published on February 9, 2007 (72 FR 6221)
- FY 2006, published on June 3, 2008 (73 FR 31679)
- FY 2007 and FY 2008, published on November 16, 2009 (74 FR 58948)
- FY 2009 and FY 2010, published on October 20, 2011 (76 FR 65182)
- FY 2011, published on September 17, 2012 (77 FR 57074)

Cotton’s reports on these indirect rates can also be found on the DARRP Web site at www.darrp.noaa.gov. Cotton reaffirmed that the Direct Labor Cost Base is the most appropriate indirect allocation method for the development of the FY 2012 indirect cost rates.

The DARRP’s Indirect Cost Rates and Policies

The DARRP will apply the indirect cost rates for FY 2012 as recommended by Cotton for each of the DARRP component organizations as provided in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DARRP component organization</th>
<th>FY 2012 indirect rate (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office of Response and Restoration (ORR)</td>
<td>117.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration Center (RC)</td>
<td>59.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Counsel Natural Resources Section (GCNRS)</td>
<td>21.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These rates are based on the Direct Labor Cost Base allocation methodology.

The FY 2012 rates will be applied to all damage assessment and restoration case costs incurred between October 1, 2011 and September 30, 2012. DARRP will use the FY 2012 indirect cost rates for future fiscal years, beginning with FY 2013, until subsequent year-specific rates can be developed.

For cases that have settled and for cost claims paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in question, the DARRP will not re-open any resolved matters for the purpose of applying the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. For cases not settled and cost claims not paid prior to the effective date of the fiscal year in question, costs will be recalculated using the revised rates in this policy for these fiscal years. Where a responsible party has agreed to pay costs using previous year’s indirect rates, but has not yet made the payment because the settlement documents are not finalized, the costs will not be recalculated.


David Westerholm,
Director, Office of Response and Restoration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Foreign-Trade Zones Board
[Order No. 1912]
Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 84; Houston, Texas

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Port of Houston Authority, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 84, submitted an application to the Board for authority to expand FTZ 84 to include a site in Brazos County, Texas, adjacent to the Houston Customs and Border Protection port of entry (B–10–2013, docketed 1/31/2013);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the Federal Register (78 FR 8492–8493, 2/6/2013) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations would be satisfied if subject to specific conditions; and,

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 84 is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.13, and to the Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit, and further subject to sunset provisions that would terminate authority on August 31, 2018, for Sites 2, 3, 5, 12, 14, 23 and 26 where no activity has occurred under FTZ procedures before that date.

Signed at Washington, DC, this August 23, 2013.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

ATTEST:
Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board Charter Renewal

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P