DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with July anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department’s regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Effective August 28, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with July anniversary dates. All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review ("POR"), it must notify the Department within 60 days of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. All submissions must be filed electronically at http://iaaccess.trade.gov in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011). Submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(ii), a copy must be served on every party on the Department’s service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the POR. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within seven days of publication of this initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of this Federal Register notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the applicable review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be "collapsed" (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review, or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy ("NME") countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department’s policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate. To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, the Department analyzes each entity exporting the subject

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–21030 Filed 8–27–13; 8:45 am]
merchandise under a test arising from the Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers from the People’s Republic of China, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991), as amended by Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide from the People’s Republic of China, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994). In accordance with the separate rates criteria, the Department assigns separate rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both de jure and de facto government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate rate eligibility, the Department requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on the Department’s Web site at http://www.trade.gov/ia on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice. In responding to the certification, please follow the “Instructions for Filing the Certification” in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to the Department no later than 60 calendar days after publication of this Federal Register notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States. Entities that currently do not have a separate rate from a completed segment of the proceeding should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. In addition, companies that received a separate rate in a completed segment of the proceeding that have subsequently made changes, including, but not limited to, changes to corporate structure, acquisitions of new companies or facilities, or changes to their official company name, should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. The Separate Rate Status Application will be available on the Department’s Web site at http://www.trade.gov/ia on the date of publication of this Federal Register notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Status Application, refer to the instructions contained in the application. Separate Rate Status Applications are due to the Department no later than 60 calendar days of publication of this Federal Register notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Separate Rate Status Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For exporters and producers who submit a separate-rate status application or certification and subsequently are selected as mandatory respondents, these exporters and producers will no longer be eligible for separate rate status unless they respond to all parts of the questionnaire as mandatory respondents.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than July 30, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Period to be reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finland: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose A–405–803</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP Kelco Oy</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film A–533–824</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ester Industries Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garware Polyester Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jindal Poly Films Limited of India</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTZ Polyesters Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polplex Corporation Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRF Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uflex Limited</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacmet</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy: Certain Pasta A–475–818</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alica srl</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalla Costa Alimentare srl</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delverde Industrie Alimentari S.p.A</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghigi Industria Agroalimentare in San Clemente srl</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molino e Pastificio Tomasello S.p.A</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta Lensi S.r.l</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasta Zara S.p.A</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastificio Toscano srl</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummo S.p.A, Molino e Pastificio</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdigian di Flavio Pagani S.r.L</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC EuroChem</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain: Chlorinated Isocyanurates A–469–814</td>
<td>6/1/12–5/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ercros, S.A</td>
<td>6/1/12–5/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film A–583–837</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nan Ya Plastics Corporation</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Such entities include entities that have not participated in the proceeding, entities that were preliminarily granted a separate rate in any currently incomplete segment of the proceeding (e.g., an ongoing administrative review, new shipper review, etc.) and entities that lost their separate rate in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding in which they participated.

2 Only changes to the official company name, rather than trade names, need to be addressed via a Separate Rate Application. Information regarding new trade names may be submitted via a Separate Rate Certification.
### Federal Register

**Vol. 78, No. 167 / Wednesday, August 28, 2013 / Notices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company Name</th>
<th>Period to be Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shinkong Materials Technology Corporation</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Netherlands: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose <strong>A–421–811</strong></td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals B.V</strong>&lt;br&gt;Dalian AW Gratings</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The People’s Republic of China: Certain Steel Grating</strong>&lt;br&gt;Anping Jinyuan Metal&lt;br&gt;Anping Jinyuan Metal Co., Ltd</td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The People’s Republic of China: Certain Steel Threaded Rod</strong>&lt;br&gt;Comtrust Metal &amp; Wire Mesh Products Co. Ltd</td>
<td>4/1/12–3/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The People’s Republic of China: Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe</strong>&lt;br&gt;Kingjoy Building Decorative Materials Co Ltd&lt;br&gt;Hebei Jinshi Industrial Metal&lt;br&gt;Hebei Jinshi Industrial Metal Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Jiashan Qilimei Grating&lt;br&gt;Jiashan Qilimei Grating Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Ningbo Haitian International Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Ningbo JiuJiang Machinery Manufacturing Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Ningbo Lihong Steel Grating Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Ningbo Zhenhai Jiujiang Electronic Equipment Factory&lt;br&gt;Shanghai Shenhao Steel Structure Designing&lt;br&gt;Shanghai Shenhao Steel Structure Designing Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Shanghai DAHE Grating Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;SinoSteel Yantai Steel Grating Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Tianchang Flying-Dragon Metallic Products&lt;br&gt;Tianchang Flying-Dragon Metallic Products Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Qing Auging Mechnicial&lt;br&gt;Xinxing Grating Factory&lt;br&gt;Yantai Hercules Metal Ltd&lt;br&gt;Yantai Xinke Steel Structure Co., Ltd&lt;br&gt;Zhejiang Hengzhou Steel Grating&lt;br&gt;Zhejiang Hengzhou Steel Grating Co., Ltd</td>
<td>4/1/12–3/31/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The People’s Republic of China: Certain Steel Threaded Rod</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>A–570–932</strong></td>
<td>7/1/12–6/30/13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Countervailing Duty Proceedings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th><strong>Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film</strong>&lt;br&gt;India: <strong>C–533–825</strong></th>
<th>1/1/12–12/31/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ester Industries Ltd&lt;br&gt;Garware Polyester Ltd&lt;br&gt;Jindal Poly Films Limited of India&lt;br&gt;MTZ Polysters Ltd&lt;br&gt;Polyplex Corporation Ltd&lt;br&gt;SRF Ltd&lt;br&gt;Uflex Limited&lt;br&gt;Vacmet</td>
<td>1/1/12–12/31/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy: <strong>C–475–819</strong></td>
<td>1/1/12–12/31/12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Suspending investigation (after sunset 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or determination under 19 CFR order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a publication of an antidumping duty between the first and second or third covering all or part of a period falling

Suspension Agreements

None

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset

3

The company name listed above was misspelled in the initiation notice that published on August 1, 2011 (78 FR 46506). The correct spelling of the company is listed in this notice.

4

If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Steel Grating from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of the named exporters are a part.

5

If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of the named exporters are a part.

6

In the initiation that published on June 3, 2013 (78 FR 33052) the Department incorrectly identified that administrative reviews were initiated on the antidumping duty order of Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the PRC for the following companies: (1) China Jiangsu International Economic Technical Cooperation Corporation; (2) Ningbo Baoli Machinery Manufacture Co., Ltd.; and (3) Shanghai P&J International Trading Co., Ltd. The Department is now correcting that notice: The Department is initiating administrative reviews on the antidumping duty order of Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the PRC for the following companies: (1) China Friendly Nation Hardware Technology Limited; and (2) Orient International Holding Shanghai Rongheng Int'l Trading Co. Ltd.

7

If one of the above-named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with FAG Italia v. United States, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that the meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Revised Factual Information Requirements

On April 10, 2013, the Department published Definition of Factual Information and Time Limits for Submission of Factual Information: Final Rule, 78 FR 21246 (April 10, 2013), which modified two regulations related to antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: The definition of factual information (19 CFR 351.102(b)(21)), and the time limits for the submission of factual information (19 CFR 351.301). The final rule identifies five categories of factual information in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21), which are summarized as follows: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.406(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on

Valdigrano di Flavio Pagani S.R.L

The People’s Republic of China: Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe C–570–911                         1/1/12–12/31/12

Baoshan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd

Beijing Jia Mei AO Tading Co., Ltd

Beijing Jinfang Global Trading Co

Benxi Northern Steel Pipes, Co. Ltd

CNOOC Kingland Pipeline Co., Ltd

ETCO (China) International Trading Co., Ltd

Guangzhou Juyi Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Huludao City Steel Pipe Industrial

Jiangsu Changbao Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Jiangsu Yutong Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Liaoning Northern Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Pangang Chengdu Group Iron & Steel Co., Ltd

Shanghai Zhongyou TIPO Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Tianjin Haoyou Industry Trade Co

Tianjin Longshenghua Import & Export

Tianjin Shuangjie Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

Weifang East Steel Pipe Co., Ltd

WISCO & CRM Wuhan Materials & Trade

Zhejiang Kingland Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd.

Period to be reviewed

1/1/12–12/31/12
or after May 10, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. Ongoing segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011 should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the Interim Final Rule. See Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (“Interim Final Rule”), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2); Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration during Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Supplemental Interim Final Rule, 76 FR 54697 (September 2, 2011). All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the Final Rule. See Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (“Final Rule”); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the Final Rule, available at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/facts/faq_07172013.pdf. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

These initiatives and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 21, 2013.

Gary Taverman,
Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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BILLING CODE 3510–05–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XC797

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Meeting; Correction

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting; correction.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council’s Spiny Dogfish Advisory Panel (AP) will meet to develop a Fishery Performance Report for the Spiny Dogfish fishery in preparation for the Council and the Council’s Scientific and Statistical Committee review of specifications that have been set for the 2014 fishing year. The meeting date and time are being corrected in this document. All other information previously-published is repeated here.

DATES: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 at 1 p.m. until 4 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held via Webinar with a listening station also available at the Council Address below. Webinar link: http://mafmc.adobeconnect.com/dogfish/Council Address: Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N. State Street, Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 674–2331.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher M. Moore Ph.D., Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 N. State Street, Suite 201, Dover, DE 19901; telephone: (302) 526–5255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The original notice published at 78 FR 48421, August 8, 2013, and the correction to the meeting time published at 78 FR 52135, August 22, 2013. This document corrects the meeting time and date. The Advisory Panel will develop a Fishery Performance Report for consideration by the Council and the Council’s SSC as they review spiny dogfish management measures established for the 2014 fishing year.

Special Accommodations:

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to M. Jan Saunders at the Mid-Atlantic Council Office (302) 526–5251 at least five days prior to the meeting date.


Tracey L. Thompson,
Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–20987 Filed 8–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID DoD–2013–05–0119]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received by September 27, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Licari, 571–372–0493.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title, Associated Form and OMB Number: National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency Enterprise Workforce System; OMB Control Number 0704–TBD.

Type of Request: New Collection.

Number of Respondents: 12,000.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Annual Responses: 12,000.

Average Burden per Response: 30 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 6,000.

Needs and Uses: The information collection requirement is necessary to maintain and disseminate employee information to facilitate a variety of NGA’s mission-related duties, including activities related to administrative matters, account creation, operations support, access controls, workforce security, training records, expertise, competency management, polygraph information, drug, vision and medical test results, Federal reporting requirements, and domestic and international counterintelligence.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent’s Obligation: Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jasmeet Seehra.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Jasmeet Seehra at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.