the same customers to determine whether these customers had the operational capability to use natural gas and, if so, whether they increased imports of natural gas. The customers did not have any such imports.

No customer survey was conducted on the customers of Arch Coal, Inc., because the subject firm retained its own customer base during the period under investigation.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department collected natural gas data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration and the U.S. Department of Energy. An analysis of the data revealed that imports of natural gas into the United States declined in the period under investigation while exports of natural gas by the United States increased during this period.

After careful review of the request for reconsideration, previously-submitted information, and information obtained during the reconsideration investigation, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that the requirements of Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272, have not been met and, therefore, deny the petition for group eligibility of ICG Knott County, LLC, a subsidiary of ICG, Inc., a subsidiary of Arch Coal, Inc., including on-site leased workers of P&P Construction, Kite, Kentucky, to apply for adjustment assistance, in accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 15th day of August 2013.

Del Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2013–20814 Filed 8–26–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration


On March 8, 2013, the Department of Labor issued a negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Gamesa Technology Corporation, Trevose, Pennsylvania, Fairless Hills, Pennsylvania, Ebensburg, Pennsylvania, and Bristol, Pennsylvania (hereafter collectively referred to as “Gamesa” or “the subject firm”). Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the Department’s finding of no shift in production of like or directly competitive articles to a foreign country, no acquisition of production of like or directly competitive articles from a foreign country, and no increased imports of like or directly competitive articles from a foreign country, and no increased imports of like or directly competitive articles during the relevant period, as defined in 29 CFR part 90.

In the request for reconsideration, the state workforce official alleged that the subject firm has shifted abroad the production or articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm and urged the Department to consider information in the 201302015 business plan on the Gamesa Web site, which reflected increased reliance on a facility on Spain and “increased blade outsourcing of 65%.” The attachment to the request included a letter which alleged imports from China and Spain and the effect of lost bids due to the uncertainty of the Production Tax Credit extension.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that the subject firm did not shift and does not plan to shift production of like or directly competitive articles to a foreign country or acquire such production from a foreign country, and that the subject firm did not import, and has no plans to import, articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm.

Should the subject firm shift, or decide to shift, production of like or directly competitive articles to a foreign country, acquire the production of like or directly competitive articles from a foreign country, or begin to import like or directly competitive articles, those facts would be relevant to the investigation of a new petition, not the immediate investigation.

For the reasons stated above, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that the requirements of Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272, have not been met and, therefore, deny the petition for

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–82,568, TA–W–82,568A and TA–W–82,568B are hereby issued as follows:

Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Homeward Residential, Inc., a subsidiary of Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, including on-site leased workers from Statffmark Staffing, including workers whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages were reported under the names Power REO Management Services, Inc., and Stratus Asset Management.

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (“Act”), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on April 24, 2013, applicable to workers of Homeward Residential, Inc., a subsidiary of Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, including on-site leased workers from Staffmark Staffing, Coppell, Texas (TA–W–82,568), Addison, Texas (TA–W–82,568A) and Jacksonville, Florida (TA–W–82,568B). On June 21, 2013, the Department issued an amended certification to include workers whose unemployment insurance wages were reported under American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm.

New information shows that workers separated from employment at the Coppell, Texas, Addison, Texas and/or Jacksonville, Florida locations of Homeward Residential, Inc. had their unemployment insurance (UI) wages paid under the names Power REO Management Services, Inc. and/or Stratus Asset Management.

Accordingly, the Department is amending this certification to include workers of the subject firm whose UI wages are reported through Power REO Management Services, Inc. and/or Stratus Asset Management.

The amended notice applicable to TA–W–82,568, TA–W–82,568A and TA–W–82,568B are hereby issued as follows:

“All workers from Homeward Residential, Inc., a subsidiary of Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, including on-site leased workers from Statffmark Staffing, including workers whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages are reported through American Mortgage Servicing, Inc., Power REO Management Services, Inc., and Stratus Asset Management, Coppell, Texas (TA–W–82,568); Homeward Residential, Inc., a subsidiary of Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, including on-site leased workers from Statffmark Staffing, including workers whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages are reported through American Mortgage Servicing, Inc., Power REO Management Services, Inc., and Stratus Asset Management, Addison, Texas (TA–W–82,568A); and Homeward Residential, Inc., a subsidiary of Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, including on-site leased workers from Statffmark Staffing, including workers whose unemployment insurance (UI) wages are reported through American Mortgage Servicing, Inc., Power REO Management Services, Inc., and Stratus Asset Management, Jacksonville, Florida (TA–W–