

section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This proposed regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would amend Class E airspace in the Macon, GA, area.

This proposal would be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

#### **Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71**

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### **The Proposed Amendment**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### **PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

##### **§ 71.1 [Amended]**

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9W, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2012, effective September 15, 2012, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

##### **ASO GA E5 Macon, GA [Amended]**

Middle Georgia Regional Airport, GA  
(Lat. 32°41'34" N., long. 83°38'57" W.)  
Macon Downtown Airport  
(Lat. 32°49'18" N., long. 83°33'43" W.)  
Robins AFB  
(Lat. 32°38'25" N., long. 83°35'31" W.)  
Perry-Houston County Airport  
(Lat. 32°30'38" N., long. 83°46'02" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7.8-mile radius of Middle Georgia Regional Airport, and within a 8.8-mile radius of Macon Downtown Airport, and within a 7-mile radius of Robins AFB, and within a 9.8-mile radius of Perry-Houston County Airport.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on August 16, 2013.

**Kip B. Johns,**

*Manager, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.*

[FR Doc. 2013–20504 Filed 8–21–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### **14 CFR Part 71**

**[Docket No. FAA–2013–0681; Airspace Docket No. 13–AEA–15]**

#### **Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Olean, NY**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** This action proposes to amend Class E Airspace at Olean, NY, as the Olean Non-Directional Beacon (NDB) has been decommissioned, requiring airspace redesign at Cattaraugus County-Olean Airport. This action would enhance the safety and airspace management of Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at the airport. This action also would update the geographic coordinates of the airport.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before October 7, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments on this proposed rule to: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001; Telephone: 1–800–647–5527; Fax: 202–493–2251. You must identify the Docket Number FAA–2013–0681; Airspace Docket No. 13–AEA–15, at the beginning of your comments. You may also submit and review received comments through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John Fornito, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404) 305–6364.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Comments Invited**

Interested persons are invited to comment on this rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are

particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers (FAA Docket No. FAA–2013–0681; Airspace Docket No. 13–AEA–15) and be submitted in triplicate to the Docket Management System (see **ADDRESSES** section for address and phone number). You may also submit comments through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this action must submit with those comments a self-addressed stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA–2013–0681; Airspace Docket No. 13–AEA–15." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

#### **Availability of NPRMs**

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded from and comments submitted through <http://www.regulations.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's Web page at [http://www.faa.gov/airports\\_airtraffic/air\\_traffic/publications/airspace\\_amendments/](http://www.faa.gov/airports_airtraffic/air_traffic/publications/airspace_amendments/).

You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office (see the **ADDRESSES** section for address and phone number) between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An informal docket may also be examined between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays at the office of the Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation Administration, room 350, 1701 Columbia Avenue, College Park, Georgia 30337.

Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should contact the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267–9677, to request a copy of Advisory circular No. 11–2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking distribution

System, which describes the application procedure.

### The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 to amend Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Cattaraugus County-Olean Airport, Olean, NY. Airspace reconfiguration to within a 10-mile radius of the airport is necessary due to the decommissioning of the Olean NDB, and cancellation of the NDB approach, and for continued safety and management of IFR operations at the airport. The geographic coordinates of the airport would be adjusted to coincide with the FAA's aeronautical database.

Class E airspace designations are published in Paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9W, dated August 8, 2012, and effective September 15, 2012, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore, (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This proposed rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This proposed regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would amend Class E airspace at

Cattaraugus County-Olean Airport, Olean, NY.

This proposal would be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

### Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

### The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### **PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS**

- 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### **§ 71.1 [Amended]**

- 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9W, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 8, 2012, effective September 15, 2012, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **AEA NY E5 Olean, NY [Amended]**

Cattaraugus County-Olean Airport, NY  
(Lat. 42°14'28" N., long. 78°22'17" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of Cattaraugus County-Olean Airport.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on August 16, 2013.

**Kip B. Johns,**

*Manager, Operations Support Group, Eastern Service Center, Air Traffic Organization.*

[FR Doc. 2013–20511 Filed 8–21–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## **OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION**

### **29 CFR Part 2200**

#### **Request for Public Comment on a Review Level Alternative Dispute Resolution Program**

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission invites the public to comment on the potential development of an alternative dispute resolution program at the review level.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before October 21, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit all written comments, identified by the title "Settlement Part Public Comment," by mail or hand delivery to John X. Cervený, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Washington, DC 20036–3457, by fax to 202–606–5050, or by email to [fedreg@oshrc.gov](mailto:fedreg@oshrc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John X. Cervený, Deputy Executive Secretary, Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission, 1120 20th Street NW., Ninth Floor, Washington, DC 20036–3457; Telephone (202) 606–5706; email address: [fedreg@oshrc.gov](mailto:fedreg@oshrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission ("Commission") adjudicates contested citations issued by the U.S. Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") at the trial level before an administrative law judge and, if directed for review, before the Commissioners on appeal. The Commission initiated an alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") program at the trial level, known as the Settlement Part program, in 1999. The Settlement Part program, codified at 29 CFR 2200.120, employs both mandatory and voluntary procedures to promote case settlement. Under the program, an administrative law judge acts as a settlement judge and oversees the ADR process. If a case does not settle, an administrative law judge who did not act as the settlement judge typically hears the case and issues a decision, which may be appealed to the Commissioners at the review level. An ADR program does not currently exist at the Commission's review level, but the Commission is exploring the feasibility of instituting such a program.

At the Commission's request, ADR experts at Indiana University School of Public and Environmental Affairs recently completed a study of the Settlement Part program at the judges' level. Upon studying both empirical data and survey responses from internal and external participants, Indiana University deemed the program "successful" and noted that the Commission "has done an admirable job