

Members of the Committee will include individuals representing each of the following stakeholder sectors:

1. Industry, including non-Federal representatives from the extractive industry, including oil, gas, and mining companies and industry-related trade associations.

2. Civil society, including organizations with an interest in extractive industries, transparency, and government oversight; members of the public; and public and/or private investors.

3. Government, including Federal, State, local, and Tribal governments.

In addition to honoring the EITI principle of self-selection within stakeholder sectors above, the following criteria will be considered in making final selections:

- (1) Understanding of and commitment to the EITI process
- (2) Ability to collaborate and operate in a multi-stakeholder setting
- (3) Access to and support from a relevant stakeholder constituency and authority to make decisions on its behalf
- (4) Basic understanding of the extractive industry and/or revenue collection, or willingness to be educated on such matters
- (5) Ability to represent U.S. based constituents, organizations, and institutions or companies with significant operations in the U.S.

No individual who is currently registered as a Federal lobbyist is eligible to serve as a member of the Committee.

The Committee will meet quarterly or at the request of the Designated Federal Officer. Non-Federal members of the Committee will serve without compensation. However, we may pay the travel and per diem expenses of Committee members, if appropriate, under the Federal Travel Regulations.

To learn more about USEITI, please visit the official Web site at www.doi.gov/eiti.

Dated: August 14, 2013.

Rhea Suh,

Assistant Secretary—Policy, Management and Budget, Department of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 2013–20177 Filed 8–16–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–T2–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS–R5–ES–2013–N153; FXES1113050000D2–134–FF05E00000]

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Initiation of a 5-Year Review of Nine Northeastern Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of reviews; request for information.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), are initiating 5-year reviews under the Endangered Species Act (Act), as amended, for nine northeastern species. We will review the following endangered species: Peter’s Mountain mallow, Jesup’s milk-vetch, James spiny mussel, sandplain gerardia, harperella, American chaffseed, and rough rabbitsfoot. We will also review the threatened northeastern beach tiger beetle and Virginia spiraea. A 5-year review is based on the best scientific and commercial data available at the time of the review. We are requesting submission of any such information that has become available since the previous 5-year review for each species.

DATES: To ensure consideration, please send your written information by October 3, 2013. However, we will

continue to accept new information about any listed species at any time.

ADDRESSES: For instructions on how and where to submit information, see “Request for Information” and “Contacts” under **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information regarding a particular species, contact the appropriate person or office listed in the “Contacts” table in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section. For general information regarding this notice, contact Mary Parkin, by U.S. mail at U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Northeast Regional Office, 300 Westgate Center Drive, Hadley, MA 01035; by telephone at 617–417–3331; or by electronic mail at mary_parkin@fws.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Why do we conduct 5-year reviews?

Under the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), we maintain Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants (which we collectively refer to as the List). Wildlife and plants on the List can be found at http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/pub/listedAnimals.jsp and http://ecos.fws.gov/tess_public/pub/listedPlants.jsp, respectively. Section 4(c)(2)(A) of the Act requires us to review each listed species’ status at least once every 5 years. Our regulations at 50 CFR 424.21 require that we publish a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing those species under active review. For additional information about 5-year reviews, refer to our fact sheet at: <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/what-we-do/recovery-overview.html>.

What species are under review?

We are initiating 5-year status reviews of the species in the following table.

TABLE 1—SPECIES UNDER 5-YEAR REVIEW

Common name	Scientific name	Status	Where listed/ occurs	Listing date and citation
ANIMALS				
James spiny mussel	<i>(Pleurobema collina)</i>	Endangered	Entire: NC, VA, WV	July 22, 1988; 53 FR 27689.
Rough rabbitsfoot	<i>(Quadrula cylindrica strigillata)</i>	Endangered	Entire: TN, VA	January 10, 1997; 62 FR 1647.
Northeastern beach tiger beetle.	<i>(Cicindela dorsalis dorsalis)</i> ...	Threatened ...	Entire: MD, MA, NJ, VA	August 7, 1990; 55 FR 32088.
PLANTS				
Peter’s Mountain mallow	<i>(Iliamna corei)</i>	Endangered	Entire: VA	May 12, 1986; 51 FR 17343.
Jesup’s milk-vetch	<i>(Astragalus robbinsii</i> var. <i>jesupi)</i> .	Endangered	Entire: NH, VT	June 5, 1987; 52 FR 21481.
Sandplain gerardia	<i>(Agalinis acuta)</i>	Endangered	Entire: CT, MD, MA, NY, RI ...	September 7, 1988; 53 FR 34701.

TABLE 1—SPECIES UNDER 5-YEAR REVIEW—Continued

Common name	Scientific name	Status	Where listed/ occurs	Listing date and citation
Harperella	<i>(Ptilimnium nodosum)</i>	Endangered	Entire: AL, AR, GA, MD, NC, SC, VA, WV.	September 28, 1988; 53 FR 37978.
American chaffseed	<i>(Schwalbea americana)</i>	Endangered	Entire: AL, FL, GA, LA, MS, NJ, NC, SC, VA.	September 29, 1992; 57 FR 44704.
Virginia spiraea	<i>(Spiraea virginiana)</i>	Threatened ...	Entire: GA, KY, NC, OH, PA, TN, VA, WV.	June 15, 1990; 55 FR 24241.

What information do we consider in our review?

A 5-year review considers all new information available at the time of the review. In conducting these reviews, we consider the best scientific and commercial data that have become available since the listing determination or most recent status review, such as:

(A) Species biology, including but not limited to population trends, distribution, abundance, demographics, and genetics;

(B) Habitat conditions, including but not limited to amount, distribution, and suitability;

(C) Conservation measures that have been implemented that benefit the species;

(D) Threat status and trends in relation to the five listing factors (as defined in section 4(a)(1) of the Act); and

(E) Other new information, data, or corrections, including but not limited to taxonomic or nomenclatural changes, identification of erroneous information contained in the List, and improved analytical methods.

Any new information will be considered during the 5-year review and will also be useful in evaluating the ongoing recovery programs for the species.

Request for New Information

To ensure that a 5-year review is complete and based on the best available scientific and commercial information, we request new information from all sources. See “What Information Do We Consider in Our Review?” for specific criteria. If you submit information, please support it with documentation such as maps, bibliographic references, methods used to gather and analyze the data, and/or copies of any pertinent publications, reports, or letters by knowledgeable sources.

How do I ask questions or provide information?

If you wish to provide information for any species listed above, please submit your comments and materials to the appropriate contact in the table below. You may also direct questions to those contacts. Individuals who are hearing

impaired or speech impaired may call the Federal Relay Service, at 800–877–8339 for TTY assistance.

Public Availability of Information Submitted

Before including your address, phone number, electronic mail address, or other personal identifying information in your submission, you should be aware that your entire submission—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. Although you can request that personal information be withheld from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the offices where the information is submitted.

Contacts

New information on the species covered in this notice should be submitted by mail or electronic mail to the appropriate species’ contact person within the time frame provided under **DATES** above.

TABLE 2—CONTACTS

Species	Contact person, phone, e-mail	Contact address
James spiny mussel	Kimberly Smith, 804–693–6694; e-mail <i>kimberly_smith@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia Field Office, 6669 Short Lane, Gloucester, VA 23061.
Rough rabbitsfoot	Shane Hanlon, 276–623–1233; e-mail <i>shane_hanlon@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Southwest Virginia Field Office, 330 Cummings Street, Abingdon, VA 24210.
Northeastern beach tiger beetle	Mike Drummond, 804–693–6694; e-mail <i>mike_drummond@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia Field Office, 6669 Short Lane, Gloucester, VA 23061.
Peter’s Mountain mallow	Troy Andersen, 804–693–6694; e-mail <i>troy_andersen@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia Field Office, 6669 Short Lane, Gloucester, VA 23061.
Jesup’s milk-vetch	Susi von Oettingen, 603–223–2541; e-mail <i>susi_vonoettingen@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New England Field Office, 70 Commercial Street, Ste. 300, Concord, NH 03301.
Sandplain gerardia	Steve Sinkevich, 631–776–1401; e-mail <i>steve_sinkevich@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Long Island Field Office, 3 Old Barto Road, Brookhaven, NY 11719.
Harperella	Barbara Douglas, 304–636–6586; e-mail <i>barbara_douglas@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, West Virginia Field Office, 694 Beverly Pike, Elkins, WV 26241.
American chaffseed	Jeremy Markuson, 609–646–9310; e-mail <i>jeremy_markuson@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, New Jersey Field Office, 927 North Main Street, Bldg D, Pleasantville, NJ 08232.
Virginia spiraea	William Hester, 804–693–6694, e-mail <i>william_hester@fws.gov</i> .	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Virginia Field Office, 6669 Short Lane, Gloucester, VA 23061.

IX. Authority

We publish this document under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 25, 2013.

Wendi Weber,

Regional Director, Northeast Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc. 2013-17328 Filed 8-16-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Fish and Wildlife Service**

[FWS-R3-R-2013-N075; FXRS1265030000-134-FF03R06000]

Iowa Wetland Management District, 35 Counties in North-Central and Northwest Iowa; Environmental Assessment and Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of an environmental assessment (EA) and draft comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) for the Iowa Wetland Management District (district, WMD) for public review and comment. In this EA/draft CCP we describe how we propose to manage the district for the next 15 years.

DATES: To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by September 18, 2013. We will hold open house-style meetings during the comment period to receive comments and provide information on the EA and draft CCP. In addition, we will use special mailings, newspaper articles, internet postings, and other media announcements to inform people of opportunities for input.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments or requests for more information by any one of the following methods:

- *Email:* r3planning@fws.gov. Include "Iowa WMD EA/Draft CCP" in the subject line of the message.
- *Fax:* Attention: Refuge Manager, 515-928-2230.
- *U.S. Mail:* Attention: Refuge Manager Tim Miller, Iowa Wetland Management District, 1710 360th Street, Titonka, IA 50480.

• *In-Person Drop Off:* You may drop off comments during regular business hours at the above address.

You will find the EA and Draft CCP with an executive summary, as well as

information about the planning process, on the planning Web site: <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/iowawetlands/index.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Miller, 515-928-2523.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Introduction**

With this notice, we continue the CCP planning process for the Iowa Wetland Management District, which we began by publishing a notice of intent in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 7289) on February 18, 2010. For more about the initial process and the history of the district, see that notice.

Background

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee) (Administration Act), requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge (including wetland management districts). The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide the district manager with a 15-year strategy for achieving district purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS), consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Administration Act.

Each unit of the NWRS was established for specific purposes. We use these purposes as the foundation for developing and prioritizing the management goals and objectives for each unit within the NWRS mission, and to determine how the public can use each unit. The planning process is a way for us and the public to evaluate management goals and objectives that will ensure the best possible approach to wildlife, plant, and habitat conservation, while providing for wildlife-dependent recreation opportunities that are compatible with each unit's establishing purposes and the mission of the NWRS.

Additional Information

The EA/draft CCP, which includes detailed information about the planning

process, district, issues, and management alternatives considered and proposed, may be found at <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/iowawetlands/index.html>. There are four alternative management options considered in the EA. The Service's preferred alternative is reflected in the draft CCP. The following regulation would be implemented on the Service's fee title property within the district under any alternative: "You may only use or possess approved nontoxic shot shells while in the field, including shot shells used for hunting wild turkey."

The alternatives analyzed in detail include:

- **Alternative A, Current Management (No Action):** This no-action alternative reflects the current management of the Iowa WMD. It provides the baseline against which to compare other alternatives.

- **Alternative B, Breeding Waterfowl:** This alternative focuses on managing for breeding waterfowl by restoring cropland to perennial grassland in the uplands and restoring semi- or less permanent pothole wetlands in the lowlands. Food plots would be eliminated from the district, because they are not essential for breeding waterfowl survival. Environmental education, interpretation, and outreach would remain at current levels, with more emphasis on distributing a consistent message for the entire district. Public use opportunities would remain limited to hunting, fishing, and trapping.

- **Alternative C, Migrating Waterfowl:** This alternative focuses on managing for migrating waterfowl by restoring cropland to perennial grassland in the uplands. Restoration in the lowlands would focus on semi- or less permanent pothole wetlands important to the restoration of semi-permanent to shallow lakes. This strategy would provide good water quality to support native vegetation and invertebrates as high-quality food for migrating waterfowl. Some food plots would remain within the district as an additional food source. Environmental education, interpretation, and outreach would remain at current levels, with more emphasis on distributing a consistent message for the entire district. Public use facilities (kiosks, etc.) would be provided at key locations, and other recreational opportunities would be provided, in addition to hunting, fishing, and trapping.

- **Alternative D, Breeding Waterfowl (Preferred Alternative):** This alternative has components from all other alternatives. As in Alternative B, it focuses on managing for breeding