merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposal does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements and Sulfur oxides.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: August 7, 2013.

Beverly H. Banister,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2013–20022 Filed 8–15–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52


Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the Antelope Valley Air Quality Management District (AVAQMD) and Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern sulfur oxide emissions from lead smelters and volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions from the data storage and vacuum producing device industries. We are proposing to rescind local rules that regulate emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments must arrive by September 16, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA–R09–OAR–2013–0394 by one of the following methods:


2. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or email. www.regulations.gov is an “anonymous access” system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send email directly to EPA, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed at www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Marino, EPA Region IX, (415) 972–3019, marinaro.robert@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This proposal addresses the following local rules: AVAQMD Rule 1101, “Secondary Lead Smelters/Sulfur Oxides;” VCAPCD Rule 37, “Project XL;” and VCAPCD Rule 67, “Vacuum Producing Devices.” In the Rules and Regulations section of this Federal Register, we are approving rescission of these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comments on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt not final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information please see the direct final action.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 300

[40 CFR Part 300]

AGENCY: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
ACTION: Proposed rule; notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 is issuing a Notice of Intent to Delete the Quincy Smelter and Calumet Lake parcels of OU3 of the Torch Lake Superfund Site (Site), located in Houghton County, Michigan, from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action. The NPL, promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is an appendix of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). EPA with the concurrence of the State of Michigan, through the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), has determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA, other than operation, maintenance, and five year reviews, at these identified parcels have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

This partial deletion pertains to the surface tailings and slag deposits of the Quincy Smelter and Calumet Lake parcels of OU3. The following parcels or areas will remain on the NPL and are not being considered for deletion as part of this action: Dollar Bay, Point Mills, Boston Pond, and North Entry.

DATES: Comments must be received by September 16, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID no. EPA–HQ–SFUND–1986–0005; FRL–9846–3]

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nefertiti DiCosmo, Remedial Project Manager, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (SR–6), 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604, (312) 886–6148, dicosmo.nefertiti@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the “Rules and Regulations” section of today’s Federal Register, we are publishing a direct final Notice of Partial Deletion for the Quincy Smelter and Calumet Lake parcels of OU3 of the Torch Lake Superfund Site without prior Notice of Intent for Partial Deletion because EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this deletion in the preamble to the direct final Notice for Partial Deletion, and those reasons are incorporated herein. If we receive no adverse comment(s) on this partial deletion action, we will not take further action on this Notice of Intent for Partial Deletion. If we receive adverse comment(s), we will withdraw the direct final Notice for Partial Deletion, and it will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final Notice of Partial Deletion based on this Notice.