constructing the AVC, and included a cost sharing plan with 65 percent Federal and 35 percent local funding. The Bureau of Reclamation would enter into a 50-year repayment contract with Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy District, which would be responsible for paying the local share. The AVC would be a water supply pipeline to help meet existing and future municipal and industrial water demands of southeastern Colorado water providers. Forty towns and rural domestic water providers in Pueblo, Crowley, Otero, Bent, Prowers, and Kiowa counties would participate in AVC. Water providers are requesting annual water deliveries of 10,256 acre-foot to help meet water demands in 2070. Fourteen of these water providers are currently under orders by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment to remove naturally-occurring radioactive contaminants from their surface or groundwater source using expensive treatment, or to find another better water quality source. The interconnection would move water between the existing north and south outlet works at Pueblo Dam during emergencies or periodic maintenance activities. Interconnection operations would require a long-term (40-year) contract between AVC, Pueblo Fish Hatchery, Board of Water Works of Pueblo, Pueblo West, Southern Delivery System, and Fountain Valley Authority.

The purpose of the excess capacity master contract would be to allow use of extra storage space in Pueblo Reservoir to store up to 29,938 acre-feet of water. A long-term storage contract, rather than short-term contracts, is needed by 37 water providers to help meet projected demand through 2060 (the term of the contract).

Some of the resources potentially affected by the proposed actions that are evaluated in the Final EIS include: surface water quantity and quality in the Arkansas River Basin, groundwater, climate change, recreation biological resources, human environment, socioeconomics, environmental justice, and habitat properties.

A Notice of Availability of the Draft EIS was published in the Federal Register on August 29, 2012 (77 FR 52365). The written comment period on the Draft EIS ended on October 30, 2012. The Final EIS contains responses to all comments received, and reflects comments and any additional information received during the review period. Copies of the Final EIS are available at the following locations:

- Bureau of Reclamation, Great Plains Regional Office, 2021 4th Avenue North, Billings, Montana 59101
- Carnegie Library—Cañon City, 516 Macon Avenue, Cañon City, Colorado 81212
- Crowley County Combined Community Library, 1007 Main Street, Ordway, Colorado 81063
- Pueblo City-County Library District, 100 East Arriendo Avenue, Pueblo, Colorado 81004
- Pikes Peak Library District, 5550 North Union Boulevard, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80918
- Pueblo West Public Library, 298 South Joe Martinez Boulevard, Pueblo, Colorado 81007
- Frank & Marie Barkman Library, 1300 Jerry Murphy Road, Pueblo, Colorado 81001
- Pueblo City-County Library District—Lamb Branch, 2525 South Pueblo Boulevard, Pueblo, Colorado 81005
- Salida Regional Library, 405 East Street, Salida, Colorado 81201
- John C. Fremont Library District, 130 Church Avenue, Florence, Colorado 81226
- Fountain Branch Library, 230 South Main Street, Fountain, Colorado 80817
- Fowler Public Library, 400 6th Avenue, Fowler, Colorado 81039
- Kiowa County Public Library District, 1305 Goff Street, Eads, Colorado 81036
- La Junta-Woodruff Memorial Library, 522 Colorado Avenue, La Junta, Colorado 81050
- Lamar Public Library, 102 East Parmenter Street, Lamar, Colorado 81052
- Las Animas-Bent County Library District, 306 5th Street, Las Animas, Colorado 81054
- Manzanola School-Public Library, 301 Catalpa, Manzanola, Colorado 81058
- Rocky Ford Public Library, 400 South 10th Street, Rocky Ford, Colorado 81067
- Security Public Library, 715 Aspen Drive, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80911
- Swink School-Public Library, 610 Columbia Avenue, Swink, Colorado 81077
- Trimble Library, 111 Academy Park Loop, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80910

Dated: August 1, 2013.

Michael J. Ryan,
Regional Director, Great Plains Region.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Request for Comments Concerning the Institution of a Review Concerning the Commission’s Affirmative Determination in Investigation No. 731–TA–1092 (Final); Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From China


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission invites comments from the public on whether changed circumstances exist sufficient to warrant the institution of a review pursuant to section 751(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)) (the Act) to review the Commission’s affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–1092 (Final). The purpose of the proposed review is to determine whether revocation of the existing antidumping duty order on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China is likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)(2)(A)). The Commission further requests comments concerning the degree to which such a proceeding can be conducted in conjunction with the anticipated five-year review of the antidumping duty order on the same subject merchandise. This product is provided for in subheading 8202.39.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States; such goods may be included in combinations of tools provided for in heading 8206.00.00.

DATES: Effective Date: August 2, 2013.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background.—On May 22, 2006, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) determined that imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China...
and Korea are being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673) (71 FR 29303 and 71 FR 29310, respectively). The Commission initially determined that a U.S. industry was not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea (71 FR 39128, July 11, 2006).

Following an appeal of the negative determinations and on remand from the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT), the Commission determined that a U.S. industry was threatened with material injury by reason of imports of subject imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea. On January 13, 2009, the CIT affirmed the Commission’s affirmative determinations on remand. Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States, Slip Op. 09–05 (Ct. Int’l Trade 2009). On January 22, 2009, the Commission notified Commerce of the Court’s decision, stating that it was a decision “not in harmony with” with the Commission’s original negative determinations.

On February 10, 2009, Commerce published notice of the CIT’s decision and suspended liquidation for entries of the subject merchandise after the effective date of the notice until the end of all appellate proceedings (74 FR 6570). On November 4, 2009, Commerce published orders that antidumping duties be imposed on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea, effective January 23, 2009 (74 FR 57145).

Following affirmance of the CIT’s judgment by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and upon conclusion of all appellate proceedings in the action, the Commission published notice of its final determinations in the antidumping investigations of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China and Korea, effective January 23, 2009 (74 FR 57145).

On July 11, 2013, the Commission received a request to review its affirmative determination in investigation No. 731–TA–1092 (Final) pursuant to section 751(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b)). The request, filed by Husqvarna Construction Products North America, Inc. (Husqvarna), Olathe, Kansas, alleges several changes since the issuance of the Commission’s remand determination. Specifically, Husqvarna notes Commerce’s revocation of the antidumping duty order on imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof from Korea; additional Commerce determinations with respect to Chinese exporter Advanced Technology & Materials Co., Ltd.; the acquisition of certain petitioners by non-U.S. producers of diamond sawblades, as well as changes in those petitioners’ patterns of sourcing diamond sawblades; a reduction in the overlap of competition between subject imports and the domestic like product as a result of the preceding changes; and opposition to the continuation of the order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China by a “significant part of U.S. production.”

Written comments requested.— Pursuant to section 207.45(b) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, the Commission requests comments concerning whether the alleged changed circumstances, brought about by the aforementioned changes in the imports of diamond sawblades and parts thereof subject to an antidumping duty order and in the U.S. producers’ operations, production, and sales of the domestic like product, are sufficient to warrant institution of a review. The Commission further requests comments concerning the degree to which any changed circumstances proceeding concerning diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China can be conducted in conjunction with the five-year review of the antidumping duty order on the same subject merchandise that Commerce is scheduled to initiate and the Commission is scheduled to institute in December 2013. If the Commission-initiates a changed circumstances review, the review is likely to be conducted on an overlapping basis with the five-year review concerning diamond sawblades and parts thereof from China. Therefore, commenters are encouraged to address the nature of the respective inquiries, the data and other information necessary for the Commission’s evaluation, and procedural considerations for the effective conduct of the reviews.

Written submissions.—Comments must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission by no later than October 15, 2013. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of sections 201.8 and 207.3 of the Commission’s rules and any submissions that contain business proprietary information must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6 and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. Please be aware that the Commission’s rules with respect to electronic filing have been amended. The amendments took effect on November 7, 2011. See 76 FR 61937 (October 6, 2011) and the newly revised Commission’s Handbook on E-Filing, available on the Commission’s Web site at http://edis.usitc.gov.

Authority: This notice is published pursuant to section 207.45 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140–0080]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Notification of Change of Mailing or Premise Address

Correction

In notice document 2013–18010 beginning on page 45275 of the issue of Friday, July 26, 2013 make the following correction:

In the second column, beginning on the eleventh line, “[insert the date 60 days from the date this notice is published in the Federal Register]” should read “September 24, 2013”.

[FR Doc. C1–2013–18010 Filed 8–8–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[OMB Number 1117–0013]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested: Application for Permit To Import Controlled Substances for Domestic and/or Scientific Purposes (DEA Form 357)

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until October 8, 2013. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed