of the effective date of this AD, Gulfstream Service Bulletin 100–31–284, Revision 1, dated May 27, 2011, must be used to accomplish the actions required by this paragraph.

(4) Within 250 flight hours after June 25, 2010 (the effective date of AD 2010–11–02, Amendment 39–16307 (75 FR 28485, May 21, 2010)), but no later than within 6 months after the effective date of this AD: Verify that the log of modification of the relevant AFM includes a reference to MOD G1–20052, and, if no reference is found, revise the log of modification of the AFM to include a reference to the modification.

(5) Doing the modifications specified in paragraphs (h)(1), (h)(2), (h)(3), and (h)(4) of this AD terminates the requirements of paragraph (g) of this AD. After the modifications have been done, the AFM limitation required by paragraph (g) of this AD may be removed from the AFM.

(ii) Reserved.

(i) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Tom Stafford, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–1221; fax (425) 227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov.

Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(j) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(3) The following service information was approved for IBR on September 10, 2013.


(ii) Reserved.

(4) The following service information was approved for IBR on June 25, 2010 (75 FR 28485, May 21, 2010).


(5) For service information identified in this AD, contact Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation, P.O. Box 2206, Mall Station D–25, Savannah, Georgia 31402–2206; telephone 800–810–4853; fax 912–965–3520; email pubs@gulfstream.com; Internet http://www.gulfstream.com/product-support/technical_pubs/pubs/index.htm.

(6) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(7) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 9, 2013.

Jeffrey E. Duven,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–18768 Filed 8–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE #910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; The Boeing Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: We are superseding airworthiness directive (AD) 2000–06–13 R1, which applied to certain The Boeing Company Model 737–200, –200C, –300, and –400 series airplanes. AD 2000–06–13 R1 required repetitively inspecting for cracking of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, which terminated the repetitive inspections. This new AD adds airplanes to the applicability, adds inspections and related investigative and corrective actions, revises certain inspection types, and reduces a certain compliance time for modifying the doors. This AD was prompted by reports of cracking in the forward and aft corner frames of the aft cargo door and in the lower cross beam. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, which could result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

DATES: This AD is effective September 10, 2013.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the AD as of September 10, 2013.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in this AD as of May 9, 2000 (65 FR 17583, April 4, 2000).

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in this AD as of December 24, 1998 (63 FR 67769, December 9, 1998).


Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The address for the Docket Office (phone: 800–647–5527) is Document Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 120 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Alan Pohl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton,
We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 to supersede AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), which revised AD 2000–06–13, Amendment 39–11654 (65 FR 17583, April 4, 2000). AD 2000–06–13 superseded AD 98–25–06, Amendment 39–10931 (63 FR 67769, December 9, 1998). AD 2000–06–13 R1 applied to the specified products. The NPRM published in the Federal Register on December 4, 2012 (77 FR 71723). The NPRM proposed to continue to require repetitively inspecting for cracking of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door; doing corrective actions if necessary; and modifying the aft cargo door, which terminates the repetitive inspections. The NPRM also proposed to add airplanes to the applicability, add inspections and related investigative and corrective actions, revise certain inspection types, and reduce a certain compliance time for modifying the doors.

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. The following presents the comments received on the proposal (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012) and the FAA’s response to each comment.

**Request To Revise Compliance Time**

Boeing requested that we revise paragraph (o) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012), which specified the compliance time by referring to paragraph 1.E. of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. Boeing requested that we change this compliance time to “4,500 door flight cycles after the effective date of this AD” to avoid a potential conflict with other compliance times in the NPRM. Boeing explained a scenario in which an operator could comply with paragraph (o) of the NPRM within the required compliance time, but then be immediately out of compliance with the proposed inspection in paragraphs (p) and (q) of the NPRM.

We partially agree with the request. As written, the compliance time in paragraph (o) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012) could result in a compliance conflict with other requirements of this AD for doors subject to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. We disagree, however, with Boeing’s requested compliance time, which would be unnecessarily more restrictive on operators. Also, the referenced doors that have accumulated fewer than 27,000 total flight cycles should be provided the same compliance time as doors subject to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. We have therefore revised paragraphs (p) and (q) in this final rule to change the compliance time to a threshold of 27,000 total flight cycles on the door, with a grace period of 4,500 flight cycles. Since paragraph (u)(4) of the NPRM is therefore no longer necessary, we have removed that paragraph from this final rule.

**Request To Revise Requirement To Determine Door Configuration**

Southwest Airlines (SWA) requested that we revise paragraph (o) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012), which specified the door to determine the configuration, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. SWA considered the intent of this proposed requirement could be accomplished by records research instead of a physical inspection. The commenter noted that the Accomplishment Instructions of this service bulletin specify only identifying the part number of the aft cargo door assembly, and does not specify a method of accomplishment.

We agree with the commenter that a records review is acceptable in lieu of accomplishing an inspection to determine the configuration of the door. We have changed paragraph (o) accordingly in this final rule.

**Request To Clarify Required Part References for Compliance**

ANA noted that paragraph (t) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012) referred to Parts 1, 3, 4, 7, and 8 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. Table 3 and Table 4 of that service bulletin also refer to Parts 5 and 6 of that service bulletin. ANA questioned whether operators might do Part 5 and Part 6, which describe the preventive modification procedures, if no cracks are found. To avoid the need for requests for alternative methods of compliance (AMOCs) regarding this proposed requirement, ANA requested that we revise paragraph (t) of the NPRM to clarify that compliance is “in accordance with Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8” of that service bulletin.

We disagree with the commenter. Paragraph (s) requires actions in accordance with Parts 5 and 6 of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. Paragraph (t) of this AD requires other actions, done in accordance with Part 2, which was not identified in paragraph (s) of the NPRM.

**Request To Exclude Certain Supplemental Structural Inspections**

Paragraph (v) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012) would provide relief from certain supplemental structural inspections specified in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011, and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Since paragraph (v) of the NPRM is therefore no longer necessary, we have removed that paragraph from this final rule.

We agree with the commenter that a records review is acceptable in lieu of accomplishing an inspection to determine the configuration of the door. We have changed paragraph (o) accordingly in this final rule.

**Request To Clarify Access Procedures**

ANA noted that paragraph (s) of the NPRM (77 FR 71723, December 4, 2012) identified certain Parts in the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011, for compliance with the proposed requirements. ANA stated that Part 2, which was not identified in paragraph (s) of the NPRM, provides access procedures.
17, 2010. Boeing requested that we revise paragraph (v) of the NPRM to also provide relief from the supplemental structural inspections specified in Table 5 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010. Boeing noted that the NPRM would require inspection of the adjacent cross beam if cracks are found in the lower cross beam, and repair of any cracked adjacent cross beam, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, but the damage-tolerance inspections associated with that repair are not mentioned.

We agree with the request. We have revised paragraph (v) in this final rule to also include reference to Table 5 of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010.

Request To Delay Final Rule Pending Revised Service Information

ANA stated that Boeing was in the process of revising Boeing Service Bulletins 737–52–1153 and 737–52–1154 based on ANA’s validation. ANA requested that we cite the revised service information, if it is available before the final rule is issued, to reduce additional burden for Boeing and the operators. Boeing reported that Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1154 was being revised to add extra material to the repair parts to address issues regarding repair kits found during the validation of the bulletin.

We disagree to delay issuance of the final rule pending issuance of revised service information. Accomplishing the service information specified in this AD addresses the identified unsafe condition. When the revised service bulletins are presented to us for review, however, we might consider approving them as AMOCs for this AD. We have not changed this final rule regarding this issue.

Additional Changes Made to This AD

We have revised paragraph (v) and Note 2 to paragraph (v) of this final rule. We have designated paragraph (v) as paragraph (v)(1) of this final rule, and have reidentified Note 2 to paragraph (v) as paragraph (v)(2) of this final rule.

### ESTIMATED COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Number of airplanes of U.S. registry</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detailed inspection (retained action)</td>
<td>2 work-hours × $85 per hour = $170 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$170 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>$83,890 per inspection cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High frequency eddy current inspection (retained action)</td>
<td>4 work-hours × $85 per hour = $340 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$340 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>$167,960 per inspection cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification (retained action)</td>
<td>144 work-hours × $85 per hour = $12,240.</td>
<td>5,430</td>
<td>$17,670 ..........</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>$8,728,980.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of door configuration (new action)</td>
<td>1 work-hour × $85 per hour = $85.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$85 ..........</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>$49,385.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspections (new action) ..</td>
<td>6 work-hours × $85 per hour = $510 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$510 per inspection cycle</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>$296,310 per inspection cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification (new action)</td>
<td>59 work-hours × $85 per hour = $5,015.</td>
<td>30,536</td>
<td>$35,551 ..........</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of airplanes that require this modification depends on no cracking being found during a certain inspection.

We estimate the following costs to do any necessary related investigative and corrective actions that would be required based on the results of the inspections. We have no way of determining the number of aircraft that might need these actions:

### ON-CONDITION COSTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Related investigative and corrective actions</td>
<td>59 work-hours × $85 per hour = $5,015</td>
<td>$30,536</td>
<td>$35,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII,
Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We have determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
(2) Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.10 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive (AD) 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), and adding the following new AD:


(a) Effective Date

This AD is effective September 10, 2013.

(b) Affected ADs

This AD supersedes AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001).

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to all The Boeing Company Model 737–200, –200C, –300, –400, and –500 series airplanes, certificated in any category.

(d) Subject

Joint Aircraft System Component (JASC)/Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 52, Doors.

(e) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by reports of cracking in the forward and aft corner frame of the aft cargo door and in the lower cross beam. We are issuing this AD to prevent fatigue cracking of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, which could result in rapid depressurization of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Affected Airplanes for Retained Paragraphs

Paragraphs (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l) of this AD are restated from AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001). These paragraphs apply to Model 737–200 and –200C series airplanes, line numbers 6 through 873 inclusive; and Model 737–200, –200C, –380, and –400 series airplanes, line numbers 874 through 1642 inclusive; equipped with an aft cargo door having Boeing part number (P/N) 65–47952–1 or P/N 65–47952–524, excluding airplanes identified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD.

(1) Those airplanes on which that door has been modified as specified in Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079. Or,


(h) Retained Inspections and Corrective Actions

This paragraph restates the actions required by paragraph (a) of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), with revised service information. For airplanes identified in paragraph (g) of this AD, within 90 days or 700 flight cycles after December 24, 1998 (the effective date of 98–25–06, Amendment 39–10931 (63 FR 67769, December 9, 1998), whichever occurs later, perform an internal detailed visual inspection to detect cracking of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (l) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this paragraph. Doing the inspections required by paragraph (p) or (r) of this AD terminates the inspections required by this paragraph.

If no cracking is detected, accomplish the requirements of either paragraph (h)(1)(i) or (h)(1)(ii) of this AD.

(i) Repeat the internal visual inspection thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4,500 flight cycles. Or,

(ii) Prior to further flight, modify the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of such modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this AD.

(2) If any cracking is detected in the upper or lower cross beams, prior to further flight, modify the cracked beam, in accordance with Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of such modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this AD for the modified beam.

(3) If any cracking is detected in the forward or aft upper door frame, prior to further flight, repair the frame and modify the corners of the door frame of the aft cargo door, in accordance with Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010; except as provided by paragraph (i) of this AD. Accomplishment of such modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this AD for the upper door frame.

(4) If any cracking is detected in the forward or aft lower door frame, prior to further flight, replace the damaged frame with a new frame, and modify the corners of the door frame of the aft cargo door, in accordance with Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Part I of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of such modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this AD for the lower door frame.
action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this AD for the lower door frame.

(i) Retained Exception for Certain Actions Specified in Paragraphs (h) and (l) of This AD

This paragraph restates the requirement of paragraph (b) of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001). For actions required by paragraphs (h) and (l) of this AD, where Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010, specifies that certain repairs are to be accomplished in accordance with instructions received from Boeing, this AD requires that, prior to further flight, such repairs be accomplished in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA; or using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (x) of this AD.

(j) Retained Corrective Actions for Certain Cracking Found During Inspection Required by Paragraph (h) of This AD

This paragraph restates the corrective action required by paragraph (c) of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), with revised service information. If any cracking of the outer chord of the upper or lower cross beams of the aft cargo door is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (h) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish the repair specified in paragraph (j)(1), (j)(2), (j)(3), or (j)(4) of this AD. For a repair method to be approved, as required by paragraphs (j)(1), (j)(3), and (j)(4) of this AD, the approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(1) Repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO.

(2) Repair in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010.

(3) Repair in accordance with data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated Engineering Representative who has been authorized by the FAA to make such findings.

(4) Repair in accordance with a method approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes Organization Designation Authorization (ODA) whom we have authorized to make those findings.

(k) Retained Inspections and Corrective Actions for Airplanes Identified in Paragraph (g) of This AD

This paragraph restates the actions required by paragraph (d) of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), with revised service information. For airplanes identified in paragraph (g) of this AD: Within 4,500 flight cycles or 1 year after May 9, 2000 (the effective date of AD 2000–06–13, Amendment 39–11654 (65 FR 17585, April 4, 2000), whichever occurs later, perform a high frequency eddy current inspection (HFEC) to detect cracking of the four corners of the door frame of the aft cargo door, using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (x) of this AD, or in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (l) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of this paragraph. Doing the inspections required by paragraph (p) or (s) of this AD terminates the inspections required by this paragraph.

Note 1 to paragraph (k) of this AD: Additional guidance for the inspection can be found in Boeing 737 Nondestructive Test Manual, Part 6, Chapter 51–00–00 (Figure 4 or Figure 23).

(1) If no cracking of the corners of the door frame of the aft cargo door is detected, repeat the HFEC inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed Certification limits until accomplishment of the modification specified in paragraph (l) of this AD.

(2) If any cracking of the corners of the door frame of the aft cargo door is detected, prior to further flight, replace the damaged frame with a new frame, and modify the four corners of the door frame, in accordance with Part II and Part III of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Part II and Part III of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Part III and Part IV of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of such modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraph (k)(1) of this AD for that door frame.

(l) Retained Terminating Action for Inspections Specified in Paragraphs (h) and (k) of This AD

This paragraph restates the action required by paragraph (e) of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), with revised service information. For airplanes identified in paragraph (g) of this AD: Within 4 years or 12,000 flight cycles after August 15, 2001 (the effective date of AD 2000–06–13 R1), whichever occurs later, modify the four corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door, in accordance with Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, Revision 5, dated May 16, 1996; Part II of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999; or Part III of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Accomplishment of that modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (h) and (k) of this AD.

(m) Retained Method of Compliance

This paragraph restates the method of compliance of Note 3 of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001). Accomplishment of the modification required by paragraph (a) of AD 90–06–02, Amendment 39–6489 (55 FR 8372, March 7, 1990), is considered acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (l) of this AD.

(n) Retained Credit for Previous Actions

This paragraph restates the credit given for service information specified in Note 4 of AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001). This paragraph provides credit for the modification of the corners of the door frame and the cross beams of the aft cargo door required by paragraph (l) of this AD, if the modification was accomplished prior to August 15, 2001 (the effective date of AD 2000–06–13 R1), using Boeing Service Bulletin 737–52–1079, dated December 16, 1983; Revision 1, dated December 15, 1986; Revision 2, dated July 20, 1988; Revision 3, dated May 17, 1990; or Revision 4, dated February 21, 1991.

(o) New Requirement for Determining Door Configuration

At the applicable time specified in Table 1 of paragraph 1.E.3., "Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011, except as provided by paragraph (u)(1) of this AD: Inspect to determine the configuration of the aft cargo door, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011. A review of airplane maintenance records is acceptable in lieu of this inspection if the configuration of the cargo door can be conclusively determined from that review.

(p) New Requirements for Certain Doors Subject to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, Dated December 17, 2010

If, during the inspection required by paragraph (o) of this AD, any door is determined to be from any airplane having line numbers 6 through 873 inclusive, and neither the modification nor the repair specified in any service bulletin identified in paragraphs (p)(1) through (p)(7) of this AD has been done as of the effective date of this AD: Do a one-time HFEC and a one-time ultrasonic inspection for cracking of the upper and lower corner frames and the upper and lower cross beams, and do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions, in accordance with Parts II, III, IV, and VI of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010; and, as applicable, the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1156, dated December 16, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011; except as provided by paragraphs (u)(2) and (u)(3) of this AD. Do the inspections before the accumulation of 27,000 total flight cycles on the door, or within 4,500 door flight cycles after the
effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later (for airplanes on which the door flight cycles are known); or within 4,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD (for airplanes on which door flight cycles are not known). Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight. If no cracking is found during the initial inspections, before further flight, do the modification in accordance with Part III of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010. Do the inspection specified in this paragraph terminates the inspections required by paragraphs (h) and (k) of this AD.


(q) Requirements for All Doors Subject to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, Dated December 17, 2010

If, during the inspection required by paragraph (o) of this AD, any door is determined to be from any airplane having line numbers 874 or 875 inclusive: Before the accumulation of 27,000 total flight cycles on the door, or within 4,500 door flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, (for airplanes on which the door flight cycles are known); or within 4,500 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD (for airplanes on which door flight cycles are not known); inspect the lower corner frames to determine if the door has reinforcement angles, P/N 65C25180–9, –43, –10, –11, or –12, that were installed as specified in Tables 1 and 2 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010.

(1) Replace the corner reinforcement angle, in accordance with Part V of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010, before further flight, do the actions specified in paragraphs (r)(1), (r)(2), and (r)(3) of this AD.

(2) Do a one-time detailed inspection or HFEC inspection for cracking at the forward and aft ends of cross beam D, in accordance with Part V of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010; or Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011, if any cracking is found, before further flight, do all applicable repairs in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010; or Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011, except as provided by paragraph (u)(2) of this AD.

(3) Do a one-time detailed inspection or ultrasonic inspection for cracking on the frames, in accordance with Part 2 (detailed inspection) or Part 8 (ultrasonic inspection) of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011. If any cracking is found, before further flight, replace the frame in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010.

(s) Requirements for Doors Subject to Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, Dated July 13, 2011

If, during the action required by paragraph (o) of this AD, a door is determined to be from an airplane having line numbers 874 and subsequent: At the applicable time specified in Tables 3 and 4 of paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as applicable: the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011; except as provided by paragraph (u) of this AD. Do all applicable related investigative and corrective actions before further flight.

(u) Service Information Exceptions

The following exceptions apply to this AD.

(1) Where paragraph 1.E., “Compliance,” of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011, specifies a compliance time “after the original issue date of this service bulletin,” this AD requires compliance within the specified compliance time after the effective date of this AD.

(2) Where Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010; and Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated August 3, 2011; except as provided by Boeing Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011, specify to contact Boeing for repair, before further flight, repair using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (s) of this AD.
(3) Where Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010, specifies to contact Boeing for repair, before further flight, repair using a method approved in accordance with the procedures specified in paragraph (x) of this AD.

(4) This AD does not require accomplishment of the access and restoration procedures identified in the Work Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 7, dated December 17, 2010; Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1153, dated July 13, 2011; Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010; and Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, dated December 17, 2010, as revised by Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 737–52–1154, Revision 1, dated August 3, 2011.

(v) Supplemental Structural Inspections


(x) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle ACO, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in the Related Information section of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD if it is approved by the Boeing Commercial Airplanes ODA that has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make those findings. For a repair method to be approved, the repair must meet the certification basis of the airplane, and the approval must specifically refer to this AD.

(4) AMOCs approved previously in accordance with AD 2000–06–13, Amendment 39–11654 (65 FR 17583, April 4, 2000), and AD 2000–06–13 R1, Amendment 39–12317 (66 FR 36146, July 11, 2001), are approved as AMOCs for the corresponding requirements of this AD.

(y) Related Information

For more information about this AD, contact Alan Pohl, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–1205, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6540; fax: 425–917–6590; email: alan.pohl@faa.gov.

(z) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(3) The following service information was approved for IBR on September 10, 2013.


(4) The following service information was approved for IBR on May 9, 2000 (65 FR 17583, April 4, 2000).

(i) Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–52A1079, Revision 6, dated November 18, 1999.

(ii) Reserved.

(iii) Reserved.


(v) Reserved.

(6) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet https://www.myboeingflight.com.

(7) You may view this service information at FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057–3356. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

(8) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 18, 2013.

John P. Piccola,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
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