## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

### Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Application; Siegfried (USA), LLC

Pursuant to § 1301.33(a), Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), this is notice that on June 10, 2013, Siegfried (USA), LLC., 33 Industrial Park Road, Pennsville, New Jersey 08070, made application by letter to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the following basic classes of controlled substances:

| Drug | Schedule |
|---|---|
| Opium raw (9600) | II |
| Poppy Straw Concentrate (9670) | II |

The company plans to manufacture the listed controlled substances in bulk for distribution to its customers.

Any other such applicant, and any person who is presently registered with DEA to manufacture such substance, may file comments or objections to the issuance of the proposed registration pursuant to 21 CFR § 1301.33(a).

Any such written comments or objections should be addressed, in quintuplicate, to the Drug Enforcement Administration, Office of Diversion Control, Federal Register Representative (ODL), 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; and must be filed no later than September 30, 2013.

Dated: July 23, 2013.

**Joseph T. Rannazzisi,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18338 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

**[TA–W–82,598]**

### Amphenol Backplane Systems, Nashua, New Hampshire; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 24, 2013, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Amphenol Backplane Systems, Nashua, New Hampshire (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on June 14, 2013 and the Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on July 2, 2013 (78 FR 39774). Workers at the subject firm were engaged in activities related to the production of electrical connectors and backplane assemblies.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the Department's findings that sales and production at the subject firm increased during that period; that there was no shift in production to a foreign country or acquisition of production from a foreign country; that imports by the subject firm have decreased; that Amphenol Backplane Systems, Nashua, New Hampshire, is neither a Supplier nor Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a); and that the workers' firm has not been publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in an affirmative finding of serious injury, market disruption, or material injury, or threat thereof.

The request for reconsideration alleges a shift in production/services to a foreign country, that the subject firm increased imports, that the subject firm experienced a loss of business with a TAA-certified firm, that the subject firm has factories in Mexico and China.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 22nd day of July 2013.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2013–18489 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

**[TA–W–82,442]**

### Deluxe Laboratories, Inc., a Division of Deluxe Entertainment Services Group, Inc., Hollywood, California; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 20, 2013, a state workforce official requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Deluxe Laboratories, Inc., a division of Deluxe Entertainment Services Group, Inc., Hollywood, California (subject firm). The negative determination was issued on May 2, 2013 and the Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2013 (78 FR 31593–31596). Workers at the subject firm were engaged in activities related to the production of release and trailer prints.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the Department's findings that with respect to Section 222(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act, imports of articles like or directly competitive with release and trailer prints have not increased from 2011 to 2012 or from 2012 to 2013 by the workers' firm or customers of the workers' firm.

With respect to Section 222(a)(2)(B) of the Act, the investigation revealed that the workers' firm did not shift the production of articles like or directly competitive with release and trailer prints to a foreign country or acquire like or directly competitive articles from a foreign country during 2011, 2012, or 2013. Rather, the investigation confirmed that the worker separations are attributable to decreased demand for movies and trailers that are printed on 35mm film.

With respect to Section 222(b)(2) of the Act, the investigation revealed that Deluxe Laboratories, Inc. is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

Finally, the group eligibility requirements under Section 222(e) of the Act, have not been satisfied because the workers' firm has not been publicly identified by name by the International Trade Commission as a member of a domestic industry in an investigation resulting in an affirmative finding of