submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all proceeding segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013, and thus are applicable to these investigations. Please review the final rule, available at http://ia.ita.doc.gov/FRN/2013/1304frn/2013–08227.txt, prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

Certification Requirements

Any party submitting factual information in an AD or CVD proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials, as well as their representatives, and all segment of any AD or CVD proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the Interim Final Rule. Foreign governments and their officials may continue to submit certifications in either the format that their officials may continue to submit prior to submitting factual information in these investigations.

The formats for the revised certifications are provided for convenience and customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of the investigations is dispositive.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[\textbf{A–533–824, A–583–837}]

Polyethylene Terephthalate Film from India and Taiwan: Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary and Final Results of the Second Antidumping Duty Sunset Reviews


dates: Effective Date: July 29, 2013.

For further information contact: Jun Jack Zhao or Jacky Arrowsmith at 202–482–1396 or 202–482–5255, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Background

On April 2, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated the second sunset reviews of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on polyethylene terephthalate film (PET Film) from India and Taiwan, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). See \textit{Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review, }78 FR 19647 (April 2, 2013). Within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i), the Department received notices of intent to participate, in both sunset reviews, on behalf of DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc. (collectively, domestic interested parties). Each claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as a producer of domestic like product. The Department received timely substantive responses from these domestic interested parties. On April 22, 2013, after analyzing the substantive responses of interested parties, consistent with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(i)(A), the Department determined to conduct expedited sunset reviews of these AD orders on the basis that no respondent interested party submitted a substantive response in either review. See \textit{Letter to Catherine DeFilippo, Director, Office of Investigations, International Trade Commission, regarding "Sunset Reviews Initiated on April 2, 2013."} (April 22, 2013).
On February 14, 2012, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice entitled Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012) (Final Modification for Reviews). In that notice, the Department announced the modification of its methodology regarding the calculation of the weighted-average dumping margins in certain segments of antidumping duty proceedings and stated that it would apply to all sunset reviews for which preliminary or final results were due more than 60 days after publication (i.e., April 16, 2012). On July 22, 2013, the Department reconsidered its determination to conduct expedited sunset reviews of these orders and determined to conduct full sunset reviews of the AD orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan.1 The preliminary results of these full sunset reviews are currently due July 22, 20132 and the final results are due November 27, 2013.

Extension Of Time Limits

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act, the Department may extend the period of time for making its determination by not more than 90 days, if it determines that the sunset review is extraordinarily complicated. We determine that these AD sunset reviews are extraordinarily complicated, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C)(i) and (ii) of the Act, because of the need to consider the effect of the Final Modification for Reviews on the determinations the Department must make with regard to both the likelihood of dumping to continue or recur and the magnitude of the margin of dumping to prevail if the orders were revoked. See Adequacy Redetermination Memorandum.

Accordingly, the Department is extending the deadlines for both the preliminary and final results of these full sunset reviews by 90 days. As a result, the Department intends to issue the preliminary results of these full sunset reviews of the AD orders on PET Film from India and Taiwan no later than October 18, 2013, and the final results of the reviews no later than February 25, 2014.

This notice is issued in accordance with sections 751(c)(5)(B) and (C) of the Act.

Dated: July 22, 2013.

Christian Marsh,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013–18161 Filed 7–26–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[Docket ID: DoD–2013–OS–0168]

Privacy Act of 1974; Computer Matching Program

AGENCY: Defense Manpower Data Center (DMDC), DoD.

ACTION: Notice of a Computer Matching Program.

SUMMARY: Subsection (e)(12) of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a), requires agencies to publish advanced notices of any proposed or revised computer matching program by the matching agency for public comment. The Department of Defense (DoD), as the matching agency under the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, is hereby giving notice to the record subjects of a computer matching program between the DoD and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) acting on behalf of the State Public Assistance Agencies (SPAA). The purpose of the computer matching program is to exchange personal data for purposes of identifying individuals who are receiving Federal compensation or pension payments and also are receiving payments pursuant to Federal benefit programs being administered by the States.

The parties to this agreement have determined that a computer matching program is the most efficient, expeditious, and effective means of obtaining and processing the information needed by the SPAA to identify individuals who may be ineligible for public assistance benefits. The principal alternative to using a computer matching program for identifying such individuals would be to conduct a manual comparison of all Federal personnel records with SPAA records of those individuals currently receiving public assistance under a Federal benefit program being administered by the State. Conducting a manual match, however, would clearly impose a considerable administrative burden, constitute a greater intrusion of the individual’s privacy, and would result in additional delay in determining eligibility and, if applicable, the eventual recovery of any outstanding debts.

A copy of the computer matching agreement between HHS and DoD is available upon request. Requests should be submitted to the address captioned above or to the Director, Office of Financial Services Office of Administration, 370 L’Enfant Promenade...