the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day for this filing is August 11, 2013. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider this proposed rule change, which, if approved, would allow the Exchange to determine by order sender which complex orders submitted to the Exchange will trigger a COLA.

Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,[5] designates September 25, 2013, as the date by which the Commission should either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR–Phlx–2013–65).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[6]

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–18075 Filed 7–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

[5] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

[6] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(31).

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–70029; File No. SR–ISE–2013–45]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; International Securities Exchange, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Schedule of Fees

July 23, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the ''Act''),[1] and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[2] notice is hereby given that on July 11, 2013, the International Securities Exchange, LLC (the ''Exchange'' or the ''ISE'') filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change, as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The ISE proposes to amend its Schedule of Fees to modify its routing fees and to eliminate a fee discount applicable to Foreign Currency Options (''FX Options'') traded on the Exchange. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site (*http://www.ise.com*), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to amend the Schedule of Fees to modify the route-out fee applicable to Priority Customer[3] and Professional Customer[4] orders and to eliminate a fee discount applicable to FX Options traded on the Exchange. First, the Exchange currently charges a fee of $0.35 per contract and $0.45 per contract to executions of Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders, respectively, for standard options in all symbols that are routed to one or more exchanges in connection with the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan. For Mini Options, this fee is currently $0.035 per contract for Priority Customer orders and $0.045 per contract for Professional Customer orders. The Exchange now proposes to increase the route-out fee for Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders for standard options to $0.38 per contract and $0.55 per contract, respectively. For Mini Options, the Exchange proposes to increase the route fee for Priority Customer orders to $0.038 per contract and for Professional Customer orders to $0.055 per contract.

The route-out fee offsets costs incurred by the Exchange in connection with using unaffiliated broker-dealers to access other exchanges for linkage executions and is therefore appropriate because the market professionals that are submitting these orders can route them directly to away exchanges, if desired, and should not be able to forgo an away market fee by directing their orders to the ISE. These costs incurred by the Exchange recently increased as a result of the Exchange's changing the way Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders are handled under the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan.[5]

Second, the Exchange currently provides a fee discount for large-sized FX Options orders. The fee discount applies to orders of 250 contracts or more and waives fees on incremental volume above 250 contracts. Contracts at or under the threshold are charged the constituent's prescribed execution fee. The fee discount applies to all market participants who trade FX Options on the Exchange. The Exchange initially adopted the fee discount for large-sized FX Options orders in 2008.[6] The fee discount was subsequently extended[7] and expired on June 30, 2013.[8] The Exchange has determined to eliminate this fee discount because the Exchange believes it is no longer necessary to provide an incentive to attract large-sized FX Options orders to the Exchange and therefore, proposes to remove reference to this fee discount from its Schedule of Fees.

2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(4) that an exchange have an equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its members and other persons using its facilities. In particular, the

[3] A Priority Customer is defined in ISE Rule 100(a)(37A) as a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s).

[4] A Professional Customer is a person who is not a broker/dealer and is not a Priority Customer.

[5] *See* Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 69396 (April 18, 2013), 78 FR 24273 (April 24, 2013) (SR–ISE–2013–18) (Order Approving Order Handling Under the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan).

[6] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 58139 (July 10, 2008), 73 FR 41142 (July 17, 2008) (SR–ISE–2008–54).

[7] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 60192 (June 30, 2009), 74 FR 32211 (July 7, 2009) (SR–ISE–2009–42); 62506 (July 15, 2010), 75 FR 42801 (July 22, 2010) (SR–ISE–2010–67); and 64743 (June 24, 2011, 76 FR 38434 (June 30, 2011) (SR–ISE–2011–35).

[8] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67212 (June 19, 2012), 77 FR 37947 (June 25, 2012) (SR–ISE–2012–55).

Exchange believes the proposed route-out fee is reasonable and equitable as it provides the Exchange the ability to recover costs associated with using unaffiliated broker-dealers to route Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders to other exchanges for ''linkage'' executions. These costs incurred by the Exchange recently increased as a result of the Exchange's changing the way Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders are handled under the Options Order Protection and Locked/Crossed Market Plan.[9] The Exchange also believes that the proposed fees are not unfairly discriminatory because these fees would be uniformly applied to all Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders. As fees to access liquidity for Priority and Professional Customer orders have risen at other exchanges, it has become necessary for the Exchange to raise routing fees in order to recoup the higher costs. The Exchange notes that a number of other exchanges currently charge a variety of routing related fees associated with customer and non-customer orders that are subject to linkage handling. The Exchange also notes that the fees proposed herein are within the range of fees charged by some of the Exchange's competitors.[10]

The Exchange has determined to charge fees for regular orders in Mini Options at a rate that is 1/10th the rate of fees the Exchange currently provides for trading in standard options. The Exchange believes it is reasonable and equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to provide market participants an incentive to trade Mini Options on the Exchange. The Exchange believes the proposed fees are reasonable and equitable in light of the fact that Mini Options have a smaller exercise and assignment value, specifically 1/10th that of a standard option contract, and, as such, levying fees that are 1/10th of what market participants pay to trade standard options. As a result, routing fees for Mini Options will continue to be charged at 1/10th the rate of fees of standard options.

The Exchange's proposal to remove references to the fee discount for large-sized FX Options from its Schedule of Fees is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange has determined to no longer provide an incentive to attract this order flow to the Exchange. The reference to this fee discount on the Exchange's Schedule of Fees for large-sized FX Options is therefore unnecessary.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

ISE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes the proposed fee change does not impose a burden on competition because the proposed fee is consistent with fees charged by other exchanges and will uniformly apply to all Priority Customer and Professional Customer orders in standard options and Mini Options that are routed out to other exchanges for linkage executions. The Exchange notes that Members can and do route these orders to other markets or to specify that ISE not route orders away on their behalf. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct their order flow to competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges. For the reasons described above, the Exchange believes that the proposed fee change reflects this competitive environment. Furthermore, neither fee change presents a change to or impacts intra-market competition as the route out fee applies to orders routed to away markets and the large-sized FX Options order incentive does not change the relative levels of fees paid by various ISE participants.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act[11] and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b–4 thereunder,[12] because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by ISE.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or
- Send an email to *rule-comments@sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR–ISE–2013–45 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2013–45. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal

[9] *See* note 5.

[10] *See* NASDAQ OMX PHLX (''PHLX'') Fee Schedule, Section V, Routing Fees; and Chicago Board Options Exchange (''CBOE'') Fees Schedule, Linkage Fees.

[11] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

[12] 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(2).

office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–ISE–2013–45, and should be submitted on or before August 19, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[13]

Kevin M. O'Neill,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–18077 Filed 7–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Notice of 30 day reporting requirements submitted for OMB review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

DATES: Submit comments on or before August 28, 2013. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer before the deadline.

Copies: Request for clearance (OMB 83–1), supporting statement, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this notice to: Agency Clearance Officer, Curtis Rich, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., 5th Floor, Washington, DC 20416; and OMB Reviewer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Curtis Rich, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 205–7030 *curtis.rich@sba.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Statement of Personal History.

Frequency: On Occasion.

SBA Form Number: 1081.

Description of Respondents: Small Business Lending Companies.

Responses: 215.

Annual Burden: 107.50.

Curtis Rich,

Management Analyst.

[FR Doc. 2013–17948 Filed 7–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 02/02–0662]

DeltaPoint Capital IV, LP;

Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that DeltaPoint Capital IV, L.P., 45 East Avenue, 6th Floor, Rochester, NY 14604, Federal Licensees under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (''the Act''), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financings which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration (''SBA'') Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). DeltaPoint Capital IV, L.P. provided financing to BioMaxx, Inc., 1 Fishers Road, Suite 160, Pittsford, NY 14534. The financing was contemplated for working capital.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because DeltaPoint Capital IV (New York), L.P., an Associate of DeltaPoint Capital IV, L.P., owns more than ten percent of BioMaxx, Inc.

Therefore, this transaction is considered a financing of an Associate requiring an exemption. Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Acting Associate Administrator for Investment, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416.

Dated: July 15, 2013.

Pravina Raghavan,

Acting Associate Administrator for Investment & Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2013–17945 Filed 7–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 8396]

Shipping Coordinating Committee; Notice of Committee Meeting

The Shipping Coordinating Committee (SHC) will conduct an open meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, August 14, 2013, in Room 5–0624 of the United States Coast Guard Headquarters Building, 2100 2nd Street SW., Washington, DC 20593. The primary purpose of the meeting is to prepare for the thirty-ninth Session of the International Maritime Organization's (IMO) Facilitation Committee to be held at the IMO Headquarters, United Kingdom, October 20–24, 2014.

The agenda items to be considered include:

—Decisions of other IMO bodies
—Consideration and adoption of amendments to mandatory instruments
—General review of the Convention, including harmonization with other international instruments
—E-business possibilities for the facilitation of maritime traffic:
A. electronic means for the clearance of ships, cargo and passengers
B. electronic access to, or electronic versions of, certificates and documents required to be carried on ships
—Formalities connected with the arrival, stay and departure of persons:
A. shipboard personnel
B. stowaways
C. illegal migrants
D. persons rescued at sea
—Ensuring security in and facilitating international trade:
A. shore leave and access to ships
B. trade recovery
—Ship/port interface
—Technical Co-operation activities related to facilitation of maritime traffic
—Relations with other organizations
—Application of the Committee's Guidelines
—Work programme
—Election of Chairman and Vice-Chairman for 2015
—Any other business

Members of the public may attend this meeting up to the seating capacity of the room. To facilitate the building security process, and to request reasonable accommodation, those who plan to attend should contact the meeting coordinator, Mr. David Du Pont, by email at *David.A.DuPont@uscg.mil*, by phone at (202) 372–1497, by fax at (202) 372–1928, or in writing at Commandant (CG–REG), U.S. Coast Guard, 2100 2nd Street SW Stop 7126, Washington, DC 20593–7126 not later than August 6, 2013, 7 days prior to the meeting. Requests made after August 6, 2013, might not be able to be accommodated. Please note that due to security considerations, two valid, government issued photo identifications must be presented to gain entrance to the Headquarters building. The

[13] 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).