

and reasons for it. The decision shall be effective upon receipt unless it provides otherwise. The decision shall also advise the provider that it may be appealed within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt (unless a shorter time frame is deemed necessary). If an appeal is not filed in a timely manner, the decision of PT shall become a final decision of the Postal Service. The appeal may be filed with the Chief Information Officer of the Postal Service and must include all supporting evidence and state with specificity the reasons the provider believes that the decision is erroneous. The decision of the Chief Information Officer shall constitute a final decision of the Postal Service.

* * * * *

■ 4. In § 501.7, revise paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 501.7 Postage Evidencing System requirements.

(a) A Postage Evidencing System submitted to the Postal Service for approval must meet the requirements of the Intelligent Mail Indicia Performance Criteria published by PT. Copies of the current Performance Criteria may be requested via mail to the address in § 501.2(g).

* * * * *

■ 5. In § 501.8, revise paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 501.8 Postage Evidencing System test and approval.

(a) To receive Postal Service approval, each Postage Evidencing System must be submitted by the provider and evaluated by the Postal Service in accordance with the Postage Evidencing Product Submission Procedures published by PT. Copies of the current Performance Criteria may be requested via mail to the address in § 501.2(g). These procedures apply to all proposed Postage Evidencing Systems regardless of whether the provider is currently authorized by the Postal Service to distribute Postage Evidencing Systems. All testing required by the Postal Service will be an expense of the provider.

* * * * *

■ 6. Revise § 501.10 to read as follows:

§ 501.10 Postage Evidencing System modifications.

(a) An authorized provider must receive prior written approval from the manager, PT, of any and all changes made to a previously approved Postage Evidencing System. The notification must include a summary of all changes made and the provider's assessment as

to the impact of those changes on the security of the Postage Evidencing System and postage funds. Upon receipt of the notification, PT will review the summary of changes and make a decision regarding the need for the following:

(1) Additional documentation.

(2) Level of test and evaluation required.

(3) Necessity for evaluation by a laboratory accredited by the National Institutes of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP).

(b) Upon receipt and review of additional documentation and/or test results, PT will issue a written acknowledgement and/or approval of the change to the provider.

■ 7. In § 501.12, revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 501.12 Administrative sanctions.

* * * * *

(d) After receipt and consideration of the defense, the Postal Service shall advise the provider of the decision, and the facts and reasons for it; the decision shall be effective upon receipt unless it provides otherwise. The decision shall also advise the provider that it may, within thirty (30) calendar days of receiving written notice, appeal that determination to the Chief Information Officer of the Postal Service, who shall issue a written decision upon the appeal, which will constitute the final Postal Service decision.

* * * * *

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Legal Policy & Legislative Advice.

[FR Doc. 2013-17712 Filed 7-23-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2013-0223; FRL-9837-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Atlanta, Georgia 1997 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area; Reasonable Further Progress Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of direct final rule.

SUMMARY: Due to the receipt of an adverse comment, EPA is withdrawing the May 29, 2013, direct final rule to approve Georgia's October 21, 2009, state implementation plan (SIP)

submission to address the reasonable further progress (RFP) plan requirements for the Atlanta, Georgia 1997 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) nonattainment area. EPA is considering this comment and will address the comment in a subsequent action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

DATES: The direct final rule published at 78 FR 32135 on May 29, 2013, is withdrawn as of July 24, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara Waterson, Air Planning Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Phone number: (404) 562-9061; Email: waterson.sara@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 29, 2013 (78 FR 32135), EPA published a direct final rulemaking to approve Georgia's October 21, 2009, SIP submission to address the RFP plan requirements for the Atlanta, Georgia 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS nonattainment area. In the direct final rule, EPA stated that if adverse comments were received by June 28, 2013, the rule would be withdrawn and not take effect. On June 28, 2013, EPA received a comment. EPA interprets this comment as adverse and, therefore, EPA is withdrawing a portion of the direct final rule. EPA will address the comment in a subsequent final action based upon the proposed rulemaking action, also published on May 29, 2013 (78 FR 32222). EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, and Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: July 12, 2013.

Beverly H. Banister,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 4.

■ Accordingly, the direct final rule which published in the **Federal Register** on May 29, 2013, at 78 FR 32135 is withdrawn as of July 24, 2013.

[FR Doc. 2013-17689 Filed 7-23-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P