APPENDIX L TO SUBPART A OF PART 82—APPROVED CRITICAL USES AND LIMITING CRITICAL CONDITIONS FOR THOSE USES FOR THE 2013 CONTROL PERIOD—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column A</th>
<th>Column B</th>
<th>Column C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commodities .......................................................</td>
<td>California entities storing walnuts, dried plums, figs, raisins, and dates (in Riverside county only) in California.</td>
<td>Rapid fumigation required to meet a critical market window, such as during the holiday season.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Cured Pork Products ...........................................</td>
<td>Members of the National Country Ham Association and the Association of Meat Processors, Nahunta Pork Center (North Carolina), and Gwaltney and Smithfield Inc.</td>
<td>Red legged ham beetle infestation. Cheese/ham skipper infestation. Demersted beetle infestation. Ham mite infestation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You can view and copy the documents that form the basis for this authorization and codification and associated publicly available materials from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday at the following location: EPA, Region 7, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219, phone number: (913) 551–7877. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Haugen, Region 7, Enforcement Coordination Office, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219, phone number: (913) 551–7877, and Email address: haugen.lisa@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Authorization of State-Initiated Changes

A. Why are revisions to State programs necessary?

States which have received Final authorization from the EPA under RCRA section 3006(b), 42 U.S.C. 6926(b), must maintain a hazardous waste program that is equivalent to, consistent with, and no less stringent than the Federal hazardous waste program. As the Federal program changes, the States must change their programs and ask the EPA to authorize the changes. Changes to State hazardous waste programs may be necessary when Federal or State statutory or regulatory authority is modified or when certain other changes occur. Most commonly, States must change their programs because of changes to EPA’s regulations in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) parts 124, 260 through 268, 270, 273 and 279. States can also initiate their own changes to their hazardous waste program and these changes must then be authorized.
B. What decisions have we made in this rule?

EPA concludes that Kansas’ application to revise its authorized program meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements established by RCRA. Therefore, EPA grants Kansas final authorization to operate its hazardous waste program with the changes described in the authorization application. Kansas has responsibility for permitting Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities (TSDFs) within its borders, except in Indian Country, and for carrying out the aspects of the RCRA program described in its revised program application, subject to the limitations of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA).

New Federal requirements and prohibitions imposed by Federal regulations that EPA promulgates under the authority of HSWA take effect in authorized States before they are authorized for the requirements. Thus, EPA will implement those requirements and prohibitions in Kansas, including issuing permits, until Kansas is granted authorization to do so.

C. What is the effect of this authorization decision?

The effect of this decision is that a facility in Kansas subject to RCRA will now have to comply with the authorized State requirements instead of the equivalent Federal requirements in order to comply with RCRA. Kansas has enforcement responsibilities under its State hazardous waste program for violations of such program, but EPA retains its authority under RCRA sections 3007, 3008, 3013, and 7003, which include, among others, authority to: (1) Do inspections, and require monitoring, tests, analyses, or reports; and (2) Enforce RCRA requirements and suspend or revoke permits. This action does not impose additional requirements on the regulated community because the statutes and regulations for which Kansas is being authorized by this direct action are already effective and are not changed by this action.

D. Why wasn’t there a proposed rule before this rule?

EPA did not publish a proposal before this rule because we view this as a routine program change and do not expect comments that oppose this approval. We are providing an opportunity for public comment now. In addition to this rule, in the Proposed Rules section of this Federal Register, we are publishing a separate document that proposes to authorize the State program changes.

E. What happens if EPA receives comments that oppose this action?

If EPA receives comments that oppose this authorization, we will withdraw this rule by publishing a document in the Federal Register before the rule becomes effective. EPA will base any further decision on the authorization of the State program changes on the proposal mentioned in the previous paragraph. We will then address all public comments in a later final rule. You may not have another opportunity to comment. If you want to comment on this authorization, you must do so at this time. If we receive comments that oppose only the authorization of a particular change to the State hazardous waste program, we may withdraw only that part of this rule, but the authorization of the program changes that the comments do not oppose will become effective on the date specified above. The Federal Register withdrawal document will specify which part of the authorization will become effective and which part is being withdrawn.

F. For what has Kansas previously been authorized?

Kansas initially received final authorization on October 17, 1985 (50 FR 40377), to implement its Base Hazardous Waste Management program. Kansas received authorization for revisions to its program on April 24, 1990 (55 FR 17273), effective June 25, 1990; June 14, 1994, (59 FR 30528), effective August 15, 1994; July 29, 1996 (61 FR 39353), effective September 27, 1996.

G. What changes are we authorizing with this action?

The State has made amendments to the provisions listed in the table which follows. These State-initiated changes satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 271.21(a). These amendments clarify the State’s regulations and make the State’s regulations more internally consistent.

The State’s laws and regulations, amended by these provisions, provide authority which remains equivalent to, no less stringent than, and not broader in scope than the Federal laws and regulations. We are granting Kansas final authorization to carry out the following provisions of the State’s program in lieu of the Federal program. These State-initiated changes satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 271.21(a). These provisions are analogous to the indicated RCRA statutory provisions or RCRA regulations found at 40 CFR as of July 1, 2006. The Kansas provisions are from the Kansas Administrative Regulations, Article 31—Hazardous Waste Management, effective May 10, 2013.

The State’s authorization package includes an updated Program Description, a General Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), and a Corrective Action MOA, between the EPA and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), a copy of the Kansas State Statutes Annotated, Chapter 65—Public Health, Article 34—Hazardous Waste, a copy of the KDHE Administrative Regulations, Article 31—Hazardous Waste Management, effective on May 10, 2013, and an Attorney General’s Statement.

The State has made amendments to the provisions listed in the table which follows. These State-initiated changes satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 271.21(a). These amendments clarify the State’s regulations and make the State’s regulations more internally consistent.

The State’s laws and regulations, amended by these provisions, provide authority which remains equivalent to, no less stringent than, and not broader in scope than the Federal laws and regulations. We are granting Kansas final authorization to carry out the following provisions of the State’s program in lieu of the Federal program. These State-initiated changes satisfy the requirements of 40 CFR 271.21(a). These provisions are analogous to the indicated RCRA statutory provisions or RCRA regulations found at 40 CFR as of July 1, 2006. The Kansas provisions are from the Kansas Administrative Regulations, Article 31—Hazardous Waste Management, effective May 10, 2013.

The State’s authorization package includes an updated Program Description, a General Memorandum of Agreement (MOA), and a Corrective Action MOA, between the EPA and the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE), a copy of the Kansas State Statutes Annotated, Chapter 65—Public Health, Article 34—Hazardous Waste, a copy of the KDHE Administrative Regulations, Article 31—Hazardous Waste Management, effective on May 10, 2013, and an Attorney General’s Statement.
H. Where are the revised State rules different from the Federal rules?

1. Rules for Which Kansas Is Not Seeking Authorization

(a) Kansas is not seeking authorization for, and has appropriately left authority with EPA, for the majority of the non-delegable Federal rules that address specific functions for which EPA must retain authority, including the Federal requirements at 40 CFR 261.39(a)(5), 262.21, 262 Subparts E, F and H, 268.5, 268.6, 268.42(b), 268.44(a)–(g) and 270.3. However, Kansas has adopted the provisions at 40 CFR 263.20(g)(4), 264.71(a)(3), 265.71(a)(3), 268.5, 268.6 and 268.42(b). EPA will continue to implement all of the above mentioned requirements directly through the RCRA regulations.

(b) Kansas is not seeking authorization for the National Environmental Performance Track Program (April 22, 2004, 69 FR 21737); as amended October 25, 2004, 69 FR 62217; Revision Checklist 204). On May 14, 2009, EPA terminated the National Environmental Performance Track Program. (c) Kansas has not adopted the optional provisions at 40 CFR 260.20–22, which are applicable to the delisting of a waste, and the provision at 40 CFR 260.23 which addresses petitions to add a universal waste. These optional provisions were not promulgated under HSWA. Therefore, the provisions for delisting a waste, or to petition to add a universal waste, are not applicable in Kansas.

(d) Kansas has not adopted the provisions at 266.103 which are applicable to interim status burners. There are currently no such facilities in the State, nor does the State expect there

will be in the future. If at any time a facility in the State of Kansas becomes subject to 40 CFR 266.103, the Federal government will administer the applicable regulations.

(e) Kansas did not adopt the provision at 270.42(l) which requires a list of all approved permits and permit modifications be maintained and a notification, published annually, an updating list is available for review. The State and EPA have agreed to place this requirement in the Memorandum of Agreement.

2. More Stringent Kansas Rules

The Kansas hazardous waste program contains some provisions that are more stringent than is required by the RCRA program as codified in the July 1, 2006, edition of the title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations. These more stringent provisions are being recognized as a part of the Federally-authorized program.

The specific more stringent provisions are also noted in Kansas’ authorization application. They include, but are not limited to, the following:

Kansas Generator Regulations—Generally Applicable to All Generator Classifications

(a) At 28–31–261(c)(6) generators who generate 1 kg or more of acutely hazardous waste in a calendar month are considered large quantity generators (LQGs) for that waste and the waste becomes subject to the LQG requirements. Kansas is more stringent because under the federal regulations, generators that generate exactly 1 kg of acute hazardous waste are not subject to the LQG requirements. This more stringent requirement is also applicable to the accumulation of acutely hazardous waste.

(b) In addition, at 28–31–261(c)(6) Kansas replaces the phrases “that acute hazardous waste” and “those accumulated wastes” with “the generator’s hazardous waste and acute hazardous waste.” This requires all generator’s waste to become subject to full regulation if a generator exceeds the limits of 40 CFR 261.5(e), (f)(2) or (g)(2), not just the specific waste that exceeded the limits.

(c) The federal regulations at 40 CFR 265.201(a)–(d) and (f)–(h) are only applicable to generators of between 100 and 1,000 kg/mo. Kansas modifies these provisions at 28–31–265(c)(17)(A)–(D) making these provisions applicable to generators who accumulate more than 25 kg, which makes the State more stringent.

(d) At 28–31–4 Kansas requires the information on the originally submitted RCRA notification form be changed if there is a change in the information.

(e) At 28–31–262(c)(7) Kansas requires labels to read “Hazardous Waste.” The federal regulations allow other words which identify the contents.

(f) At 28–31–262(b)(3) Kansas does not adopt the special requirements applicable to F006 waste at 40 CFR 262.34(g)(3) and is therefore more stringent.

Kansas Generator Classifications

Kansas establishes four (4) generator categories as opposed to the three (3) established by the Federal regulations. The table below illustrates the differences between the State’s generator categories and those in the Federal provisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State requirement</th>
<th>Analogous Federal requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28–31–268(a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–270(b)(4)</td>
<td>270.4–270.5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–270(a)</td>
<td>270.6.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–260(b)(1)</td>
<td>270.10–270.33, 270.40–270.43, 270.50–270.5, 270.60–270.68, 270.70–270.73, 270.79–270.110, 270.115, 270.120–270.150, 270.155–270.190, 270.195, 270.200–270.230, 270.235, 270.250, 270.255, 270.260–270.305, 270.310, 270.315–270.320 except 270.10(a) and 270.17(d).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–279(a)</td>
<td>279.60–279.67 except 279.62(b)(1)–(2) and 279.64(g).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–279(a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendices III–IV, VI–VIII, XI.
## Kansas Generator Classification—KSQG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generator size (kg of HW/month)</th>
<th>Kansas generator classification</th>
<th>EPA Generator classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>Conditionally exempt small quantity generator</td>
<td>Conditionally exempt small quantity generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=25 but &lt;100</td>
<td>Kansas small quantity generator..</td>
<td>Small quantity generator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100 but &lt;1,000</td>
<td>Small quantity generator</td>
<td>Large quantity generator.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;=1,000</td>
<td>Large quantity generator.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some Federal rules which, when applied to the State specific generator classifications, make the State rules more stringent than the RCRA program. These include, but are not limited to the following:

- Kansas Generator Classification—Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator (CESQG)

The following table lists regulations where there is a state requirement and with a direct analogous Federal requirement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State requirement KAR</th>
<th>Analogous Federal requirement 40 CFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28–31–261(a)</td>
<td>261.5(a).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–261(b)</td>
<td>261.5(g).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–261(c)</td>
<td>261.5(g)(3).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–261(c)(13) and (14)</td>
<td>No analog in federal regulations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–261a</td>
<td>262 Subpart C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(f)(2)(C)(i)</td>
<td>262.34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–273(a)</td>
<td>273.8(a)(2) related.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–279(a)</td>
<td>279.10(b)(3) related.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Rules Applicable to Kansas Generator Status—CESQG

(a) At 28–31–262a(f)(1) Kansas prohibits conditionally exempt small quantity generators from disposing of their hazardous waste in a construction and demolition landfill in Kansas. Construction and demolition landfills in Kansas are not subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 257.5 thru 257.30 and therefore cannot accept CESQG waste.

(b) At 28–31–262a(f)(2)(A) Kansas requires CESQGs that accumulate 25 kg (55 lbs) or more of hazardous waste to inspect areas where one or more hazardous waste containers are stored, on a monthly basis.

(c) At 28–31–262a(f)(2)(B)(i) and (ii) Kansas limits a CESQG that sends 25 kg or more of hazardous waste at any one time to disposal at state permitted sites, or a disposal facility meeting certain requirements in 40 CFR 261.5(g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State requirement KAR</th>
<th>Analogous Federal requirement 40 CFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262(c)(4)</td>
<td>262.27(b).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262(c)(9)</td>
<td>262.42(b).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262(c)(11)(A) and (B)</td>
<td>262.44.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(A), (B) and (C)</td>
<td>262 Subparts A, B, and C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(D)</td>
<td>262.34.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(E)</td>
<td>262.44.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(H)</td>
<td>265 Subpart C.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(I)</td>
<td>265 Subpart l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–262a(e)(3)(K)</td>
<td>268.7(a)(5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–31–268(c)(2) and (5)</td>
<td>268.1(e)(1); 268.7(a)(5); 268.7(a)(10).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Rules Applicable to Kansas Generator Status—KSQG

(a) At 28–31–262a(e)(1) Kansas requires KSQGs to submit a waste minimization certification.

(b) At 28–31–262a(e)(2) Kansas requires KSQGs to inspect areas where one or more hazardous waste containers are stored, on a monthly basis.

(c) At 28–31–262a(e)(4) Kansas requires KSQGs to provide training no more than six months after an employee is hired or transferred to a new position, repeat the training annually, record the employee name, date of training, and topics covered and keep records for at least three years from the date of the training. Personnel training records may accompany personnel transferred within the same company.
requirements for financial assurance required under 40 CFR 264.143, 264.145
and 264.147.
(d) At 28–31–262a(e)(5) Kansas requires any KSQG that accumulates more than 1.000 kg (2.200 lbs) of hazardous waste to comply with all requirements for small quantity generators.

Kansas Generator Status—Small Quantity Generator (SQG)

(a) At 28–31–261(c)(8) Kansas replaces “generators of between 100 kg and 1000 kg of hazardous waste” with “small quantity generators.” Kansas is more stringent because the generation limit for CESQGs is lower < 25 kg rather than ≤ 100 kg. Also, generators of ≥ 25 kg but ≤ 100 kg per calendar month are subject to more stringent than the 40 CFR 261.5 requirements. See also also 28–31–262a(f).

(b) At 28–31–262a(d)(1) Kansas requires small quantity generators to provide training no more than six months after an employee is hired or transferred to a new position, repeat the training annually, record the employee name, date of training, and topics covered and keep records for at least three years from the date of the training. Personnel training records may accompany personnel transferred within the same company.

(c) At 28–31–262a(d)(2)(A) Kansas subjects small quantity generators to the inspection documentation and record keeping requirements of 40 CFR 265.15(d) for both containers and tanks.

(d) At 28–31–262a(d)(2)(B) and (C) Kansas subjects small quantity generators to the closure requirements of 40 CFR 265.11(a) and (B) and 265.114.

Kansas Generator Status—Large Quantity Generator (LQG)

At 28–31–262a(c) Kansas requires large quantity generators to document and keep records of weekly inspections of containers and hazardous waste storage areas as well as daily inspections of tanks in accordance with 40 CFR 265.15(d).

Additional Kansas Regulations Deemed To Be More Stringent by EPA

(a) At 28–31–263(c)(2) Kansas requires transporters of KSQG waste to comply with 263.20(1)–(4), which under the Federal program are only applicable to SQGs. (b) At 28–31–264(c)(9)–(10) and 28–31–265(c)(11)–(12) Kansas requires owners/operators to update the post-closure cost estimate during the post-closure period as well as the active life of the facility.

(c) At 28–31–264a(a)(1) establishes the definitions for state-specific terms applicable to the state’s additional

3. Broader in Scope

EPA considers the following State requirements to be broader in the scope of the Federal program, and therefore EPA is not authorizing these requirements and cannot enforce them. Entities must comply with these requirements in accordance with State law, but they are not RCRA requirements. The specific broader in scope provisions include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) At 28–31–264a(c)(3) Kansas requires that operators of hazardous waste contain and monitor hazardous waste storage areas and tanks in accordance with the requirements found at 40 CFR 264.119(b) to active facilities.

(b) At 28–31–264a(c)(4) Kansas requires that all covenants, easements, and other documents be permanent unless there is an agreement between the property owner and the Secretary of State to remove it.

(c) At 28–31–264a(c)(5) Kansas requires that each offer or contract for the conveyance of easement, title, or other interest to the property shall disclose all terms and conditions and provisions for care and subsequent land use. Provisions for maintaining waste containment and monitoring systems are required.

(d) At 28–31–264a(c)(6) Kansas requires that hazardous waste injection wells comply with the Kansas Underground Injection Well Regulations.

(e) At 28–31–264a(e)(2) Kansas requires that all hazardous waste injection wells be classified in accordance with 40 CFR 262.34(a)(2) and (3).

(f) At 28–31–270(c) Kansas requires persons applying for a permit to dispose of hazardous waste to first petition the Secretary for an exception to the State’s prohibition against underground land burial.

(g) At 28–31–279a(a)(2) Kansas specifically prohibits the use of used oil as a coating; a sealant; a dust suppressant; pesticide carrier; or any other similar application.
necessary to conduct a background investigation.

(f) At 28–31–124c(b) the state has chosen to include a regulation which outlines the basis of a permit application denial by the secretary. The federal regulations speak to issuing a tentative decision to deny. The Kansas regulation states only that if the permit application does not meet the regulatory requirements, it will be denied.

(g) At 28–31–260(c)(2)(D) Kansas has modified the definition of “qualified ground-water scientist” to require such to be a licensed geologist or a professional engineer. Kansas also requires training in corrective action.

(h) At 28–31–260a(a)(6) and (7) Kansas defines the terms “Kansas licensed geologist” and “Kansas professional engineer” and substitutes these terms for the Federal terms “qualified geologist or geotechnical engineer;” “qualified engineer;” and/or “qualified soil scientist.”

(i) At 28–31–262(c)(2) Kansas requires that the sample be sent to a laboratory certified by the department for the hazardous waste analysis.

(j) At 28–31–262a(a)(2) Kansas requires SQGs and LQGs shipping waste to use only transporters who are properly registered with the department. The federal program does not require transporter registration so the State is broader in scope.

(k) At 28–31–262a(b) Kansas requires SQGs and KSQGs to submit a report to the secretary by March 1 each year that details the total quantities of waste produced during the previous calendar year. The State also requires that LQGs, SQGs and KSQGs submit their annual monitoring fee with the report, adhere to a schedule for submission, and keep the report for three years. These provisions are broader in scope as there are no analogous requirements in the Federal program.

(l) At 28–31–262a(k) Kansas requires entities to pay annual fees. The regulations regarding these fees can be found at 28–31–262a(b)(2); 28–31–10(a)–(f); and 28–31–10a.

(m) At 28–31–263a(a) Kansas exempts KSQGs and CESQGs that meet certain conditions from the transporter requirements.

(n) At 28–31–263a(c) Kansas requires transporters to ensure that the generators and facilities from whom they pick up or to whom they deliver hazardous waste, have provided proper notification to the department.

(o) At 28–31–263a(g) Kansas requires transporter use routes that minimize risk to public health and that are preferred routes.

(p) At 28–31–264(c)(7)(A) and (B), and 28–31–264c(c)(7) Kansas requires that the insurer must be licensed to or eligible to provide insurance in Kansas.

(q) At 28–31–264c(a)(4) Kansas requires the owner of the property to pay all recording fees. There is no analogous counterpart in the Federal program, so the State is broader in scope.

(r) At 28–31–264a(a)(2)(A) Kansas requires the bank or trust company have authority to issue letters of credit in Kansas or to act as trustee for the facility in Kansas.

(s) At 28–31–264a(a)(3) Kansas requires that, if the financial assurance is a “purchased” financial instrument, the financial institution which provides the “purchased” financial instrument must be unrelated to both the owner and the operator of the facility.

(t) At 28–31–264a(a)(4) Kansas requires that each person required to submit information under one or more of the following requirements must also submit a copy of the most recent corporate annual report: 40 CFR 264.143(f)(3); 264.145(f)(3); 265.143(e)(3); 265.145(e)(3); or 267.143(f)(3).

(u) At 28–31–264a(a)(5) Kansas requires corporate reports be submitted for both publicly and privately owned facilities and contain financial statements, notes to the financial statements, and a copy of the independent CPA’s report, including an unqualified opinion.

(v) At 28–31–264a(a)(6) Kansas promulgates additional laboratory certification and analysis requirements.

(w) At 28–31–264a(f) Kansas applies additional laboratory certification requirements regarding the analysis of hazardous waste to be burned for destruction or energy recovery.

(x) At 28–31–6 and 28–31–279a(b) Kansas subjects transporters of hazardous waste and used oil to registration and insurance requirements. There is not an analogous provision in the Federal program therefore the Kansas provision is broader in scope.

(y) At 28–31–13 Kansas addresses variances from the State requirements that EPA deems to be more stringent or broader in scope. But because there is no analogous provision in the Federal program, the State is broader in scope.

(z) At KS–31–6(a)–(d) Kansas establishes state specific requirements for person(s) transporting hazardous waste or used oil. These requirements include registration with the Secretary, and the securing and maintenance of liability insurance on all vehicles transporting hazardous waste or used oil in Kansas. There is no analogous counterpart in the Federal program, so the State is broader in scope.

I. Who handles permits after the authorization takes effect?

Kansas will issue permits for all the provisions for which it is authorized and will administer the permits it issues. EPA will continue to administer and enforce any RCRA and HSWA (Hazardous and Solid Waste Act) permits or portions of permits which it has issued in Kansas prior to the effective date of this authorization until the State incorporates the terms and conditions of the Federal permits into the State RCRA permits. Kansas will immediately assume oversight responsibility on two sites with EPA-issued permits, the Former Lawrence Nitrogen Plant (KSD007128507) and the Former Sunflower Army Ammunition Plant (KS3213820878), through the authority of State-issued orders. EPA will not issue any more new permits, or new portions of permits, for the provisions listed in the table above after the effective date of this authorization. EPA will continue to implement and issue permits for any HSWA requirements for which Kansas is not yet authorized.

J. How does this action affect Indian Country (18 U.S.C. 1151) in Kansas?

Kansas is not authorized to carry out its Hazardous Waste Program in Indian Country within the State. This authority remains with EPA. Therefore, this action has no effect in Indian Country.

K. What is codification and is EPA codifying Kansas’ Hazardous Waste Program as authorized in this rule?

Codification is the process of placing the State’s statutes and regulations that comprise the State’s authorized hazardous waste program into the Code of Federal Regulations. We do this by referencing the authorized State rules in 40 CFR part 272. We reserve the amendment of 40 CFR part 272, subpart R, for this authorization of Kansas’ program until a later date.

Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted this rule (RCRA State Authorization) from the requirements of Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and Executive Order 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011). This rule authorizes state requirements for the purpose of RCRA 3006 and imposes no additional requirements beyond those required by state law. This final rule does not impose an information collection
burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.). Incorporation by reference will not impose any new burdens on small entities. Accordingly, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by State law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), does not apply to this rule because it will not have federalism implications (i.e., substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government). This action also does not have Tribal implications within the meaning of Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000).

This action also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant and it does not make decisions based on environmental health or safety risks. This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use" (66 FR 28344, May 22, 2001) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

EPA approves state programs as long as they meet criteria required by RCRA; so it would be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, in its review of a state program, to require the use of any particular voluntary consensus standard in place of another standard that meets requirements of RCRA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply to this rule.

As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. The final rule does not include environmental justice issues that require consideration under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994). EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the " Attorney General’s Supplemental Guidelines for the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order.

The Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq), generally provides that, before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). This action will be effective September 20, 2013.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271
Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Confidential business information, Hazardous waste, Hazardous waste transportation, Indian lands, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Authority: This notice is issued under the authority of Sections 2002(a), 3006 and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Dated: June 27, 2013.

Mark Hague,
Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.
[FR Doc. 2013–17566 Filed 7–19–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

42 CFR Part 7

[Docket No. CDC–2013–0013]

RIN 0920–AA53

Distribution of Reference Biological Standards and Biological Preparations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Direct final rule and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), located within the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) proposes to update four sections of its regulations titled “Distribution of Reference Biological Standards and Biological Preparations” to update the authority citation and reflect the agency’s current name, address, and contact information for fees schedules and terms of payment. These updates will not affect current practices.

DATES: The direct final rule (DFR) is effective on September 20, 2013 unless significant adverse comment is received by August 21, 2013. If we receive no significant adverse comments within the specified comment period, we intend to publish a document confirming the effective date of the final rule in the Federal Register within 30 days of the conclusion of the comment period. If we receive any timely significant adverse comment, we will withdraw this DFR in part or in whole by publishing a notice in the Federal Register within 30 days of the conclusion of the comment period.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by “RIN 0920–AA52”: by any of the following methods:
• Mail: Division of Scientific Resources, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE., MS C–17, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, ATTN: Part 7 DFR.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number or Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. All relevant comments will be posted without change to http://regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. For detailed instructions on submitting comments and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the “Public Participation” heading of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, please go to http://www.regulations.gov. Comments will be available for public inspection Monday through Friday, except for legal holidays, from 9 a.m. until 5 p.m., Eastern Time, at 1600 Clifton Road, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30333. Please call ahead to 404–639–3466 and ask for a representative in the Division of Scientific Resources (DSR) to schedule your visit. To download an electronic version of the rule, access http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For questions concerning this direct final rule: Dr. Carolyn M. Black, Director, Division of Scientific Resources, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., Mailstop C–17, Atlanta, Georgia 30333; telephone 404–639–3466.