

Consolidation of the operations into one facility increases efficiency of DLA operations and reduces operational costs. The consolidation would not substantially alter other non-DLA operations at the Sharpe site and the federal government will continue ongoing environmental restoration efforts at the Sharpe Site following the permit relinquishment.

**Proposed Action and Alternatives:** Under the proposed action, DLA would relinquish occupancy and use of the property and return the Sharpe Site to the Army who owns the land and the improvements thereon. DLA wants to consolidate activities currently performed at the Sharpe Site to its existing facilities at the Tracy Site and potentially other DLA facilities. Land and improvements associated with the property would be conveyed back to the Army. The Army would assume all management responsibilities associated with the property. No new construction or ground disturbing activities at the Tracy Site would result from the proposed action. As an alternative to the proposed action, DLA considered taking no action. The no action alternative would have maintained existing conditions through continued occupancy and use of the facility by DLA. Under this alternative, the Army would not resume occupancy and use of the property and DLA would continue to operate the facility until the conclusion of its current permit on April 11, 2020. The no action alternative would not satisfy the project's purpose and need; however, the alternative was included in the environmental analysis to provide a baseline for comparison with the proposed action and was analyzed in accordance with Council on Environmental Quality regulations for implementing NEPA.

An additional alternative was considered, but eliminated from further consideration. This alternative included relocating Sharpe operations to a nearby commercially available site. This alternative was dismissed from further consideration because there would be additional security risks associated with operations conducted at non-secured facilities, as well as additional operational costs.

**Potential Environmental Impacts:** Potential environmental impacts of the proposed action have been assessed and compared to the impacts of the no action alternative. The proposed action is expected to have the following impacts:

- Minor short-term adverse impacts to air quality, noise, and traffic during the transportation of supplies and

materials from the Sharpe Site to other DLA facilities.

- A reduction in traffic at the Sharpe Site, which would lessen potential traffic-related affects to burrowing owls with a long-term negligible beneficial impact on the biological resources at the Sharpe Site.

- A reduction in the work force at the Sharpe Site and an increased work force at the Tracy Site. As such, there would be a long-term negligible adverse impact on the socioeconomic resources on the local Lathrop area and a long-term negligible beneficial impact on the socioeconomic resources on the local Tracy area.

- A temporary increase in economic activities resulting in a short-term and negligible economic beneficial impact for the local economies.

**Determination:** DLA has determined that implementation of the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the human environment. Human environment was interpreted comprehensively to include the natural and physical environment and the relationship of people with that environment. Specifically, no highly uncertain or controversial impacts, unique or unknown risks, or cumulatively significant effects were identified. Implementation of the proposed action will not result in the loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historic resources and implementation of the proposed action will not violate any federal, state, or local laws. Based on the results of the analyses performed during the preparation of the environmental assessment, David Rodriguez, Director, DLA Installation Support, concludes the proposed action to relinquish the permit to use and occupy the Sharpe site does not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the context of the NEPA. Therefore, an environmental impact statement for the proposed action is not required.

Dated: July 16, 2013.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013-17377 Filed 7-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Defense Business Board; Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting

**AGENCY:** DoD.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice; cancellation.

**SUMMARY:** On Tuesday, July 9, 2013 (78 FR 41042), the Department of Defense published a notice announcing a July 25, 2013 meeting of the Defense Business Board. This notice announces that the Defense Business Board meeting scheduled for Thursday, July 25, 2013 is hereby cancelled. The Board will not have any completed studies to outbrief and deliberate on. Therefore, to conserve financial resources, the meeting is cancelled. The next Quarterly Board Meeting will be held on October 17, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Phyllis Ferguson, *Phyllis.Ferguson@osd.mil*, 703-695-7563 or Ms. Debora Duffy, *Debora.Duffy@osd.mil*, (703) 697-2168.

Dated: July 16, 2013.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013-17369 Filed 7-18-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Meeting of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force

**AGENCY:** Director of Administration and Management, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.150, the Department of Defense (DoD) announces that the following Federal advisory committee meeting of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force ("the Commission") will take place.

**DATES:** *Date of Closed Meeting, including Hearing and Commission Discussion:* Monday, July 22, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** 2521 South Clark Street, Suite 525, Crystal City, VA 22202, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Marcia Moore, Designated Federal Officer, National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force, 1950 Defense Pentagon Room 3A874, Washington, DC 20301-1950. Email: *dfoafstrucomm@osd.mil*. Desk (703) 545-9113. Facsimile (703) 692-5625.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Purpose of Meeting:* The members of the Commission will hear testimony from individual witnesses and then will discuss the information presented at the hearings.

#### Agenda

*July 22, 2013—Closed Meeting, Including Hearing and Commission Discussion:* DoD speakers will provide classified information on the roles, missions and capabilities of the various DoD components and how they contribute to the national defense strategy, the integration of force requirements, and DoD's strategies and capabilities to address conflicts and threats. Confirmed speakers include: Mr. David Ochmanek, Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Development, Office of the Secretary of Defense; and Major General Timothy Ray, U.S. Air Force, Director, Operational Planning, Policy and Strategy, Deputy Chief of Staff, Operations, Plans and Requirements, Headquarters, U.S. Air Force, Vice Admiral Kurt Tidd, Director of Operations, Joint Chiefs of Staff, and Major General James McLaughlin, Commander, 24th Air Force and Commander, Air Forces Cyber, Joint Base San Antonio—Lackland, Texas. Specific agenda topics include:

1. The translation of deploy-to-dwell rates into the percentage of the force, by component, when actually deployed.
2. The differences among the components in their ability to plan and generate forces.
3. The long term demand for rotational forces.
4. Assessment of the non-warplan-driven requirement for the reserve forces.
5. The Department's use of the Joint Operational Planning and Execution System and the current and future operations plans of the Combatant Commanders.
6. As a follow up to the Commissioner's interest in how well the active, reserve, and Guard forces manage their joint capabilities in the use of and response to cyber warfare, General McLaughlin will brief the Commissioners on its mission.

*Meeting Accessibility:* In accordance with section 10(d) of the FACA, 5 U.S.C. 552b, and 41 CFR 102–3.155, the DoD has determined that the meeting scheduled for July 22, 2013 will be closed to the public. Specifically, the Director of Administration and Management, with the coordination of the DoD FACA Attorney, has determined in writing that this portion of the meeting will be closed to the public because it will discuss classified

information and matters covered by 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1).

*Written Comments:* Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140 and section 10(a)(3) of the FACA, the public or interested organizations may submit written comments to the Commission in response to the stated agenda of the closed meeting or the Commission's mission. The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) will review all submitted written statements. Written comments should be submitted to Mrs. Marcia Moore, DFO, via facsimile or electronic mail, the preferred modes of submission. Each page of the comment must include the author's name, title or affiliation, address, and daytime phone number. The DFO will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. All contact information may be found in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.** Due to difficulties finalizing the meeting agenda and obtaining the required statutory determinations to approve closing the scheduled meeting of July 22, 2013, to the public for the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force the requirements of 41 CFR 102–3.150(a) were not met. Accordingly, the Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150(b), waives the 15-calendar day notification requirement.

#### Background

The National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force was established by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Pub. L. 112–239). The Department of Defense sponsor for the Commission is the Director of Administration and Management. The Commission is tasked to submit a report, containing a comprehensive study and recommendations, by February 1, 2014 to the President of the United States and the Congressional defense committees. The report will contain a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission, together with its recommendations for such legislation and administrative actions it may consider appropriate in light of the results of the study. The comprehensive study of the structure of the U.S. Air Force will determine whether, and how, the structure should be modified to best fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the U.S. Air Force in a manner consistent with available resources.

The evaluation factors under consideration by the Commission are for a U.S. Air Force structure that—(a) Meets current and anticipated

requirements of the combatant commands; (b) achieves an appropriate balance between the regular and reserve components of the Air Force, taking advantage of the unique strengths and capabilities of each; (c) ensures that the regular and reserve components of the Air Force have the capacity needed to support current and anticipated homeland defense and disaster assistance missions in the United States; (d) provides for sufficient numbers of regular members of the Air Force to provide a base of trained personnel from which the personnel of the reserve components of the Air Force could be recruited; (e) maintains a peacetime rotation force to support operational tempo goals of 1:2 for regular members of the Air Forces and 1:5 for members of the reserve components of the Air Force; and (f) maximizes and appropriately balances affordability, efficiency, effectiveness, capability, and readiness.

Dated: July 16, 2013.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2013–17402 Filed 7–18–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001–06–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

[Docket ID: USN–2013–0031]

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Department of the Navy announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.