DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).


Title: Marine Recreational Information Program Longitudinal Survey of Recreational Fishing Participation.

OMB Control Number: None.

Form Number(s): NA.

Type of Request: Regular submission (request for a new information collection).

Number of Respondents: 5,131.

Average Hours per Response: 10 minutes.

Burden Hours: 1,593.

Needs and Uses: This request is for a new information collection.

Marine recreational anglers are surveyed to collect catch and effort data, fish biology data, and angler socioeconomic characteristics. These data are required to carry out provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), as amended, regarding conservation and management of fishery resources.

This data collection will test the effectiveness of a longitudinal panel study for contacting anglers and determining how many individuals participate in recreational saltwater fishing. The goal of the study is to assess the feasibility of the data collection design for collecting recreational fishing data, as well as testing assumptions and measuring potential sources of error in ongoing recreational fishing surveys.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Every four months; annually.

Respondent’s Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: OIRA Submission@omb.eop.gov.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0336, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at Jessup@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.


Gwellnar Banks,
Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–16543 Filed 7–9–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

[A–570–898]


AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chlorinated isocyanurates (chlorinated isos) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2011, through May 31, 2012. This administrative review covers six producers/exporters of the subject merchandise:

1. Arch Chemicals (China) Co. Ltd. (Arch China);
2. Hebei Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd. and Hebei Jiheng Baikang Chemical Industry Co., Ltd. (collectively, Jiheng);
3. Heze Huayi Chemical Co. Ltd. (Heze);
4. Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd. and Juancheng Ouyang Chemical Co., Ltd. (collectively, Kangtai);
5. Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd. (Sinoacarbon); and
6. Zhucheng Taisheng Chemical Co., Ltd. (Zhucheng).

Jiheng and Kangtai are the two producers/exporters being individually examined as mandatory respondents. We preliminarily determine that Jiheng and Kangtai made sales in the United States at prices below normal value (NV). We preliminarily determine that Arch China, Sinoacarbon and Zhucheng have demonstrated that they are eligible for a separate rate. The Department is also preliminarily determining that Heze made no shipments during the POR.

DATES: Effective Date: July 10, 2013.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are chlorinated isos, which are derivatives of cyanuric acid, described as chlorinated s-triazine triones.1 Chlorinated isos are currently classifiable under subheadings 2933.69.6015, 2933.69.6021, 2933.69.6050, 3808.40.50, 3808.50.40 and 3808.94.5000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written product description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

Heze timely filed a “no shipment” certification stating that it had no entries of subject merchandise during the POR.2 The Department subsequently confirmed with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) the “no shipment” claim made by Heze. Based on the certifications by Heze and our analysis of CBP information, we preliminarily determine that Heze did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. In addition, the Department finds that consistent with its recently announced refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy (NME) cases, further discussed below, it is appropriate not to rescind the review in part in these circumstances but, rather, to complete the review with respect to Heze and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review.3

Methodology

The Department has conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Export prices have been calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Because the PRC is an NME country within the meaning of section 771(18) of the Act, NV has been calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. Specifically,

1 For a complete description of the Scope of the Order, see Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, “Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Chlorinated Isocyanurates from the People’s Republic of China,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).


3 See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) (NME Proceedings); see also “Assessment Rates” section below.
the respondents’ factors of production have been valued in the Philippines, which is economically comparable to the PRC and is a significant producer of comparable merchandise.

For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weight-average dumping margin percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arch Chemicals (China) Co. Ltd.*</td>
<td>51.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebei Jiheng Chemical Co., Ltd.</td>
<td>68.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juancheng Kangtai Chemical Co., Ltd.*</td>
<td>33.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinoacarbon International Trading Co., Ltd.*</td>
<td>51.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhucheng Taisheng Chemical Co., Ltd.*</td>
<td>51.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These companies demonstrated eligibility for a separate rate in this administrative review. The rate for these companies is the simple average of the calculated antidumping duty rates for Jiheng and Kangtai.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose calculations performed for these preliminary results to parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice. The schedule for filing case briefs will be provided to parties at a later date. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be filed no later than five days after the time limit for filing the case briefs, as specified by 19 CFR 351.309(d).

Interested parties that wish to request a hearing, or participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically using IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department’s IA ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act unless this deadline is extended.

Deadline for Submission of Publicly Available Surrogate Value Information

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(i), the deadline for submission of publicly available information to value factors of production under 19 CFR 351.408(c) is 20 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(1), if an interested party submits factual information less than ten days before, on, or after (if the Department has extended the deadline), the applicable deadline for submission of such factual information, an interested party may submit factual information to rebut, clarify, or correct the factual information no later than ten days after such factual information is served on the interested party. Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept in the rebuttal submission additional or alternative surrogate value information not previously on the record, if the deadline for submission of surrogate value information has passed. Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept business proprietary information in either the surrogate value submissions or the rebuttals thereto, as the regulation regarding the submission of surrogate values allows only for the submission of publicly available information.

Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For assessment purposes, we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Where appropriate, we calculated an ad valorem rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total entered values associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting ad valorem rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise.

Where appropriate, we calculated a per-unit rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the entered quantity of the subject merchandise. Where an importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rate is de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer’s) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Also, the Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.

4 See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

5 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

6 See 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3).

7 See 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3).

8 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).
Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for merchandise that was not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by an exporter individually examined during this review, but that entered under the case number of that exporter (i.e., at the individually-examined exporter’s cash deposit rate), the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.\footnote{For a full discussion of this practice, see NME Proceedings.}

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporter’s listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate for that exporter individually examined (i.e., at the individually-examined exporter’s cash deposit rate), the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.\footnote{For an explanation on the derivation of the PRC-wide rate, see Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Chlorinated Isocyanurates From the People’s Republic of China, 70 FR 24502, 24505 (May 10, 2005).}

**result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties. We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213.**

**Dated:** July 2, 2013.

**Paul Piquado,** Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix**

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

1. Scope of the Order
2. Non-Market Economy Country Status
3. Preliminary Determination of No Shipments
4. Separate Rates
5. Separate Rates for Non-Selected Companies
6. Surrogate Country
7. Date of Sale
8. Fair Value Comparisons
9. Export Price
10. Normal Value
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**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A–588–850]

**Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe (Over 4 1⁄2 Inches) From Japan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain large diameter carbon and alloy seamless standard, line, and pressure pipe (over 4 1⁄2 inches) from Japan. The period of review (POR) is June 1, 2011, through May 31, 2012. This review covers five producers/exporters of subject merchandise, Canadian Natural Resources Limited (CNRL), JFE Steel Corporation (JFE), Nippon Steel Corporation (Nippon), NKK Tubes (NKK), and Sumitomo Metal Industries, Ltd. (SMI). We preliminarily find that no shipments were made by JFE, Nippon, SMI, or SMI. We also preliminarily find that CNRL’s entries of subject merchandise should be liquidated without regard to antidumping duties.

**DATES: Effective Date:** July 10, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nancy Decker or Joshua Morris, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0196, and (202) 482–1779, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is large diameter seamless pipe. The large diameter seamless pipe subject to the order is currently classifiable under the following subheadings of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 7304.10.10.30, 7304.10.10.60, 7304.10.20.20, 7304.10.20.30, 7304.10.20.40, 7304.10.20.50, 7304.10.20.60, 7304.10.20.70, and 7304.10.20.80. The subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, “Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Large Diameter Carbon and Alloy Seamless Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe (Over 4 1⁄2 Inches) From Japan,” dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The written description is dispositive.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in