ATTENDANCE IS OPEN TO THE INTERESTED PUBLIC BUT LIMITED TO SPACE AVAILABILITY. WITH THE APPROVAL OF THE CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY PRESENT ORAL STATEMENTS AT THE MEETING.

PERSONS WISHING TO PRESENT STATEMENTS OR OBTAIN INFORMATION SHOULD CONTACT THE PERSON LISTED IN THE FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT SECTION. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY PRESENT A WRITTEN STATEMENT TO THE COMMITTEE AT ANY TIME.

ISSUED IN WASHINGTON, DC, ON JUNE 28, 2013.

PAIGE WILLIAMS,
MANAGEMENT ANALYST, NEXTGEN, BUSINESS OPERATIONS GROUP, FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

NOISE EXPOSURE MAP NOTICE FOR HILO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT, HILO, HAWAII

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The FAA announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by Hawaii State Department of Transportation, Airports Division (HDOT–A), for Hilo International Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 47501 et. seq. (Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act) and 14 CFR part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements.

DATES: This notice is effective July 9, 2013, and applicable May 31, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Gordon Wong, Environmental Protection Specialist, FAA Western-Pacific Region, Honolulu Airports Division Office, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 7–128, Honolulu, Hawaii, telephone number (808) 541–1232. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for Hilo International Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 150 (hereinafter referred to as “Part 150”), effective May 31, 2013. Under 49 U.S.C. section 47503 of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict non-compatible land uses as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport. An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Part 150, promulgated pursuant to the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes to take to reduce existing non-compatible uses and prevent the introduction of additional non-compatible uses. The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and accompanying documentation submitted by HDOT–A. The documentation that constitutes the “Noise Exposure Maps” as defined in section 150.7 of Part 150 includes: Exhibit 1 “Existing (2013) Noise Exposure Map” and Exhibit 2 “Future (2018) Noise Exposure Map.” The FAA has determined that these noise exposure maps and accompanying documentation are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on May 31, 2013.

The FAA’s determination on an airport operator’s noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in Appendix A of Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant’s data, information or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program. If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 47503 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 47506 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA’s review of noise exposure maps.

Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator that submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 47503 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under section 150.21 of Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

Copies of the full noise exposure map documentation and of the FAA’s evaluation of the maps are available for examination at the following locations:
Federal Aviation Administration, Western-Pacific Region, Airports Division, Room 3012, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Hawthorne, California 90261.
Federal Aviation Administration, Honolulu Airports District Office, HNL–ADO, 300 Ala Moana Boulevard, Room 7–128, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.
Administrative Offices of the Hawaii Department of Transportation–Airports, Engineering Branch, 400 Rodgers Boulevard, 7th Floor, Honolulu, Hawaii 96819.
Hilo International Airport, 2450 Kekuanaoa Street, Suite 215, Hilo, Hawaii 96720.

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Issued in Hawthorne, California on May 31, 2013.

MARK A. McCRARY,
MANAGER, AIRPORTS DIVISION, WESTERN-PACIFIC REGION.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at San Francisco International Airport, San Francisco, California.

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at San Francisco International Airport (SFO), under the provisions of

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 8, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, 15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3012, Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. John L. Martin, Airport Director, San Francisco International Airport, at the following address: 575 North McDonnell Road, 2nd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94128. Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the San Francisco Airport Commission under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Arlene Draper, Assistant Manager, San Francisco Airports District Office, 1000 Marina Boulevard, Suite 220, Brisbane, CA 94005–1835, Telephone: (650) 827–7602. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at San Francisco International Airport, under the provisions of the 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (Title 14 CFR Part 158).

On October 5, 2010, the public agency submitted an application to impose and use PFC revenue on 25 projects at SFO. On November 4, 2010, the FAA found the application was not substantially complete. On November 18, 2010, the public agency notified the FAA of their intent to supplement the application. The FAA received the supplemented application on June 14, 2013, within the requirements of section 158.27 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than October 12, 2013.

The following is a brief overview of PFC application No. 11–05–C–00–SFO:

Proposed charge effective date: January 1, 2017.

Proposed charge expiration date: June 1, 2023.

Level of the proposed PFC: $4.50.

Total estimated PFC revenue: $610,451,805.

Description of the impose and use project:

Terminal 2 and Boarding Area D Renovations—the project provides for the reimbursement of the costs associated with the renovation, expansion, and modernization of the San Francisco International Airport Terminal 2 and Boarding Area D, including the installation of 14 boarding gates and associated aircraft parking apron and passenger loading bridges.

Withdrawn Projects: By letter dated June 14, 2013, the public agency withdrew 23 airfield improvement projects and the International terminal common use system improvements project, included in the original October 5, 2010 application. Therefore, these projects are no longer part of this application.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Non-scheduled on-demand air carriers filing FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Office located at: 15000 Aviation Blvd., Room 3012, Lawndale, CA 90261. In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the San Francisco International Airport.

Issued in Lawndale, California, on June 27, 2013.

Mia Paredes Ratcliff,
Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–16453 Filed 7–8–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment on Surplus Property Release at Brunswick Executive Airport in Brunswick, ME

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Request for Public Comments.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C. 47153(d), notice is being given that the FAA is considering a request from Midcoast Regional Redevelopment Authority to waive the surplus property requirements for approximately 3.47 acres of airport property located at Brunswick Executive Airport in Brunswick, ME. It has been determined through study and master planning that the subject parcel will not be needed for aeronautical purposes and would better serve the airport if used for aviation compatible, non-aeronautical revenue generation. Full and permanent relief of the surplus property requirements on this specific parcel will allow the airport and its tenant on this parcels to enter into a long-term lease and begin making infrastructure improvements. All revenues through the leasing of the parcel will continue to be subject to the FAA’s revenue-use policy and dedicated to the maintenance and operation of the Brunswick Executive Airport.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 8, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this document to Mr. Barry J. Hammer at the Federal Aviation Administration, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803, Telephone 781–238–7625.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Documents are available for review by appointment by contacting Mr. Marty McMahon, Telephone 207–798–6512 or by contacting Mr. Barry J. Hammer, Federal Aviation Administration, 16 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts, Telephone 781–238–7625.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts on June 17, 2013.

Mary T. Walsh,
Manager, Airports Division, New England Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–16462 Filed 7–8–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration


Denial of Exemption Applications; Epilepsy and Seizure Disorders

AGENCY: Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of denial for exemptions from the provisions of 49 CFR 391.41(b)(8).

SUMMARY: FMCSA announces denial of applications from seven individuals for an exemption from the prohibition against persons with a clinical diagnosis of epilepsy or any other condition which is likely to cause a loss of consciousness or any loss of ability to operate a commercial motor vehicle (CMV), from operating CMVs in interstate commerce. Reasons for denial are listed after each name entry.