

NUHOMS® 24PHB DSCs under CoC No. 1004 at the Oconee Nuclear Station. These fuel assemblies have M5 cladding (a zirconium alloy), but the current TSs allow only “zircaloy” clad assemblies.

Approval of the exemption request will allow the applicant to effectively manage its spent fuel inventory to meet decay heat zoning requirements throughout its scheduled loading campaigns. The applicant’s ability to load M5 clad fuel in its next scheduled loading campaign will mean that older “zircaloy clad” fuel assemblies will be available for future loadings, so that future loadings will not be restricted by the aggregate heat generated by hotter fuel and therefore contain fewer total assemblies. The proposed action enables the applicant to load the fewest possible DSCs by permitting cask loading of the hotter M5 fuel without later needing to “short load” casks due to heat load.

*Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action:* The staff has determined that the proposed action would not endanger life or property and would not have significant impacts on the human environment. The potential impact of using the TN Standardized NUHOMS® dry cask storage system was initially evaluated in the EA for the rulemaking to add the TN Standardized NUHOMS® Horizontal Modular Storage System for Irradiated Nuclear Fuel to the list of approved spent fuel storage casks in 10 CFR 72.214 (59 FR 28496, June 2, 1994 (Proposed Rule); 59 FR 65920, December 22, 1994 (Final Rule)).

The exemption proposed to Amendment No. 9 to CoC 1004 would permit the loading of M5 clad B&W Mark B11 and B11A fuel. The proposed action does not result in any changes to the types or amounts of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure as a result of the proposed action. Therefore, there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action. The proposed action only affects the requirements associated with the fuel assemblies to be loaded into the 24PHB DSCs and does not affect plant effluents, or any other aspects of the environment. Therefore, there are no significant impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

*Alternative to the Proposed Action:* Because there is no significant environmental impact associated with the proposed action, alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact

were not evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the proposed action would involve loading additional DSCs due to heat load restrictions, as described in the safety evaluation report. Denial of the exemption would result in an increase in radiological exposure to workers, potential additional radioactive releases to the environment, additional opportunities for accidents, and increased cost to the licensee. Therefore, the NRC staff has determined that approving the proposed action has a lesser environmental impact than denying the proposed action.

*Agencies and Persons Consulted:* The EA associated with this exemption request was sent to Ms. Shelly Wilson of the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (SCDHEC) by email dated April 10, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13107B435). The state response was received by email dated April 11, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13107B441). The email states that SCDHEC reviewed the draft EA and has no comments. The NRC staff has determined that a consultation under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act is not required, because the proposed action will not affect listed species or critical habitat. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not a type of activity that has the potential to impact historic properties, because the proposed action would occur within the established Oconee site boundary. Therefore, no consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

### III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The environmental impacts of the proposed action have been reviewed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 10 CFR part 51. Based upon the foregoing Environmental Assessment, the Commission finds that the proposed action of granting the exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(3), 72.212(b)(5)(i), 72.214, and the portion of 10 CFR 72.212(b)(11) that states the licensee shall comply with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC limited to the loading of the 24PHB DSCs with M5 clad B&W Mark B11 and Mark B11A fuel assemblies, will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined that preparation of an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption is not warranted and that a finding of no significant impact is appropriate.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**W. Christopher Allen,**

*Acting Chief, Licensing Branch, Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2013–16029 Filed 7–2–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Advisory Committee On Reactor Safeguards (ACRS); Meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee On Reliability and PRA; Revision to Notice of Meetings

The **Federal Register** Notice for the ACRS Subcommittee Meeting on Reliability and PRA scheduled to be held on July 22, 2013, is being revised to notify the following:

The meeting will be open to public attendance with the exception of a portion that may be closed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) to discuss proprietary information of the voluntary site.

The notice of this meeting was previously published in the **Federal Register** on Friday June 21, 2013 [78 FR 37596–37597].

Further information regarding these meetings can be obtained by contacting the Designated Federal Official (DFO), John Lai (Telephone 301–415–5197 or Email: [John.Lai@nrc.gov](mailto:John.Lai@nrc.gov)) between 8:15 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

Dated: June 26, 2013.

**Antonio Dias,**

*Technical Advisor, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2013–16005 Filed 7–1–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

### National Council on Federal Labor-Management Relations Meeting

**AGENCY:** Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The National Council on Federal Labor-Management Relations plans to meet on Wednesday, September 18, 2013.

The meeting will start at 10:00 a.m. EDT and will be held at the U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 1350, Washington, DC 20415. Interested parties should consult the Council Web site at

[www.lmrcouncil.gov](http://www.lmrcouncil.gov) for the latest information on Council activities, including changes in meeting logistics.

The Council is an advisory body composed of representatives of Federal employee organizations, Federal management organizations, and senior Government officials. The Council was established by Executive Order 13522, entitled, "Creating Labor-Management Forums to Improve Delivery of Government Services," which was signed by the President on December 9, 2009. Along with its other responsibilities, the Council assists in the implementation of labor-management forums throughout the Government and makes recommendations to the President on innovative ways to improve delivery of services and products to the public while cutting costs and advancing employee interests. The Council is co-chaired by the Director of the U.S. Office of Personnel Management and the Deputy Director for Management of the U.S. Office of Management and Budget.

At its meetings, the Council will continue its work in promoting cooperative and productive relationships between labor and management in the executive branch by carrying out the responsibilities and functions listed in section 1(b) of the Executive Order. The meetings are open to the public. Please contact the U.S. Office of Personnel Management at the address shown below if you wish to present material to the Council at the meeting. The manner and time prescribed for presentations may be limited, depending upon the number of parties that express interest in presenting information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Wachter, Labor Relations Manager, Partnership and Labor Relations, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 7H28, Washington, DC 20415; phone at (202) 606-2930; or email at [PLR@opm.gov](mailto:PLR@opm.gov).

For the National Council.

**Elaine Kaplan,**  
Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 2013-15870 Filed 7-2-13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-39-P**

---

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2013-53 and CP2013-69; Order No. 1767]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add a new product to the competitive product list. This document invites public comments on the request and addresses several related procedural steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* July 8, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at <https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx>. Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Introduction
- II. Postal Service's Filings
- III. Notice of Filings
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

The Postal Service seeks to add Priority Mail International Regional Rate Boxes—Non-Published Rates (PMI RRB-NPR) to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup> It provides a related model contract (Request, Attachment 4) and addresses filing practices for individual contracts within the new product. Request at 5.

### II. Postal Service's Filings

The Postal Service filed the Request, supporting attachments, sealed documents, and a public Excel file with redacted financial documentation to support the addition of the new product to the competitive product list. The Request is based on Governors' Decision No. 11-6. *Id.* at 1-2. It was filed pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020 *et seq.* *Id.* at 1.

The Postal Service describes PMI RRB-NPR as a product that offers incentive pricing to small and medium-size business mailers that satisfy prescribed capability requirements and are willing to enter a contractual commitment to tender certain minimum levels of postage for PMI RRB on an annualized basis. *Id.* at 4. Certain

<sup>1</sup> See Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail International Regional Rate Boxes—Non-Published Rates to the Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Priority Mail International Regional Rate Boxes—Non-Published Rates Model Contract and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, June 25, 2013 (Request).

requirements attach if customers use a permit imprint using Postal Service-provided Global Shipping Software. *Id.* at 5.

Attachments to the Request include:

- Attachment 1—an application for non-public treatment of materials filed under seal;
- Attachment 2A—a redacted version of Governors' Decision No. 11-6;
- Attachment 2B—a proposed version of the Mail Classification Schedule language for the new product;
- Attachment 2C—a redacted version of a management analysis of pricing and methodology for the new product;
- Attachment 2D—a redacted version of maximum and minimum prices for Priority Mail International Regional Rate Boxes under PMI RRB-NPR;
- Attachment 2E—the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5 (c)(2) concerning prices for applicable negotiated service agreements under PMI RRB-NPR;
- Attachment 3—the Statement of Supporting Justification required by 39 CFR 3020.32; and
- Attachment 4—a redacted version of the PMI RRB-NPR model contract, which includes Annex One (prices) and Annex Two (country price groups). *Id.* at 2-3.

The Postal Service addresses several elements of the non-discounted price table for PMI RRB-NPR, including the three box types that will be offered and related weight limits and dimensions. *Id.* at 4. It identifies eight country price groups. *Id.* at 5. The Postal Service states that the Management Analysis (Attachment 2C) provides an explanation of how floor and ceiling prices are established. *Id.* The Postal Service also states it anticipates the Commission will ask that PMI RRB-NPR filings be similar to GEPS-NPR 4 filings and that notice of new rates for PMI RRB-NPR will necessitate the addition of successor filings. *Id.*

The Postal Service presents reasons why the proposed new product satisfies the criteria in 39 U.S.C. 3642(b)(1) and (2) (concerning product category and monopoly status), and states that the Statement of Supporting Justification addresses 39 U.S.C. 3642(b)(3) (market considerations). *Id.* at 6-7.

The Postal Service asserts that redacted portions of materials filed under seal should remain confidential as sensitive business information. *Id.* at 7. The Postal Service also intends to rely on the application for non-public treatment filed as Attachment 1 in this docket when it files actual PMI RRB-NPR customer agreements. *Id.* at 8.