The NRC received an application, dated April 23, 2007, from Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Entergy), filed pursuant to Section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 54 (10 CFR Part 54), to renew the operating license for IP2 and IP3. Renewal of the license would authorize the applicant to operate the facilities for an additional 20-year period beyond the period specified in the current operating licenses. The current operating license for IP2 expires on September 28, 2013, and the current operating license for IP3 expires on December 12, 2015.

This supplement (Volume 4) to the FSEIS is being issued as part of the NRC’s process to decide whether to issue a renewed license to IP2 and IP3, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 54. This supplement to the FSEIS was prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), and the NRC’s regulations for implementing NEPA in 10 CFR Part 51.

In December 2010, the NRC published its FSEIS related to the license renewal of IP2 and IP3, NUREG–1437, Supplement 38, Volumes 1–3. After publication, the staff identified new information that necessitated changes to its assessment in the FSEIS. In addition to supplementing the FSEIS to address the new information, the NRC is also documenting the completion of the consultation process under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA), with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) regarding the shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) and the Atlantic sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus) population in the vicinity of IP and IP3. This supplement to the FSEIS does not alter the conclusion stated in Section 9.3 of the December 2010 FSEIS:

Based on (1) the analysis and findings in the GEIS, (2) the ER and other information submitted by Entergy, (3) consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies, (4) the NRC staff’s consideration of public scoping comments received, and comments on the draft SEIS, and (5) the NRC staff’s independent review, the recommendation of the NRC staff is that the Commission determine that the adverse environmental impacts of license renewal for IP2 and IP3 are not so great that preserving the option of license renewal for energy planning decision makers would be unreasonable.

In preparing this supplement (Volume 4) to the final SEIS, the NRC staff also reviewed, considered, evaluated, and addressed the public comments received during the comment process on the draft supplement to the final SEIS.

**Document Availability**

Documents related to this notice are available on the NRC’s plant application for license renewal Web site at http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/licensing/renewal/applications/.

The FSEIS for the IP2 and IP3 projects may also be accessed through the internet at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/st1437.

**Summary:**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is giving notice that by petition dated June 21, 2012, Mr. Wallace Taylor (the petitioner) has requested that the NRC take enforcement action against Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1 (FCS). The petitioner’s requests are included in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

**Addresses:**

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2013–0111 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may access information related to this document, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, using any of the following methods:
- NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this document (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced.
- NRC’s PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 21, 2012 (ADAMS Accession No. ML1174A228), the petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action with regard to FCS. The petitioner also met with the Petition Review Board (PRB) and supplemented its petition during a teleconference on August 27 and November 19, 2012 (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML12250A714, and ML12352A279, respectively). As a basis for the request, the petitioner states that the NRC's own guidelines regarding enforcement sanctions would categorize the events at FCS over the past 20 years at Severity Level I, the highest level, because those events involve (1) situations involving particularly poor licensee performance, or involving willfulness; (2) situations when the violation results in a substantial increase in risk, including cases in which the duration of the violation has contributed to the substantial increase; and (3) situations in which the licensee made a conscious decision to be in noncompliance to obtain an economic benefit (63 FR 26630–01, 26642; May 13, 1998). The petitioner states that the NRC considers these violations to be of significant concern, and it may apply its appropriate orders. Id. The petitioner provided supplemental information in support of the petition and states that (1) A support beam was found that was not within allowable limits for stress and loading; (2) given Exelon's long history of deliberate misconduct and willful violations at its various nuclear plants around the United States, day-to-day management of FCS by Exelon is likely to worsen FCS' performance rather than improve it; (3) the flooding hazard at Fort Calhoun greatly exceeds its flooding protection measures at this time; (4) FCS’ risk of flooding from each of the six upstream dams has not been evaluated or resolved; and (5) the identification of 614 primary reactor containment electrical penetration seals containing Teflon that could degrade during design-basis accident conditions.

The request is being treated pursuant to § 2.206 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, “Requests for Action under This Subpart,” of the Commission’s regulations. The request has been referred to the Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR). As provided by § 2.206, appropriate action will be taken on this petition within a reasonable time. The petitioner requested an opportunity to address the Nuclear Power Review Board (PRB). The PRB held recorded teleconferences with the petitioner during which the petitioner supplemented and clarified the petition. The PRB considered results of those discussions in its determination regarding the petitioner’s request. As a result, the PRB acknowledged the petitioner’s concerns about containment internal structures, electrical penetrations, and upstream dam failures. The NRC is currently reviewing the issues above related to containment internal structures, electrical penetrations, and upstream dam failures. Both the containment internal structures and electrical penetrations issues have been identified as issues that must be resolved before restart of the facility and have been added to the confirmatory action letter (ADAMS Accession No. ML13057A287). Additionally, the PRB noted that natural disasters, such as flooding, are undergoing NRC review as part of the lessons learned from the Fukushima Dai-ichi event in Japan. The NRC staff is evaluating the effect of multiple upstream dam failures as a part of the Fukushima Dai-ichi reviews, as well as other processes. The PRB intends to use the results of the aforementioned reviews to inform its final decision on whether to implement the requested actions.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of June 2013.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jennifer L. Uhle,
Deputy Director, Reactor Safety Programs,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
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POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
[Docket No. MT2011–2; Order No. 1755]
Market Test on Gift Cards

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing requesting a temporary extension of a market test on gift cards. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: July 8, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 18, 2013, the United States Postal Service moved to temporarily extend its Gift Card market test under 39 U.S.C. 3641(d). The market test is set to expire June 27, 2013. Motion at 1. The impending expiration date prompts the Postal Service to request an extension of the market test until January 31, 2014. Id. at 2. In addition, the Postal Service requests a waiver of 39 U.S.C. 3641(d)(2), which requires that requests to extend market tests be submitted not later than 60 days before the date on which the market test would otherwise expire. Id.

In support of its Motion, the Postal Service states it “needs more time to determine the impact of its efforts to improve sales, as well as the demand for closed loop cards.” Id. It contends an extension would not prejudice any party. Id. at 3.

The Commission will grant a 1-month extension of the Gift Card market test, through July 27, 2013. Given the short deadline before the market test would otherwise terminate, the extension will afford interested persons an opportunity to comment on the Motion. Such comments are due no later than July 8, 2013.

Robert N. Sidman, previously designated to serve as Public Representative in this proceeding, will continue to serve in that capacity.

Dissenting Opinion of Commissioner Langley

I support the Postal Service seeking new revenue streams. However, as I indicated initially, in Order No. 721, section 404(e)(2) prohibits the Postal Service from offering any new nonpostal services and this prohibition applies to experimental offerings. See Order No. 721, Order Authorizing Gift Card Market Test, Dissenting Opinion of Commissioner Blair and Commissioner Langley, April 28, 2011. [Signed] Commissioner Nanci E. Langley

It is ordered:
1. The Commission grants an extension until July 27, 2013 to the expiration date of the market test of Gift Cards.
2. Comments by interested persons are due no later than July 8, 2013.

1 Motion of the United States Postal Service for Temporary Extension of Gift Cards Market Test, June 18, 2013 (Motion). See also Order No. 721, Order Authorizing Gift Card Market Test, April 28, 2011.