will begin at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. The second day will begin at 8:00 a.m. and adjourn at 2:00 p.m.

Members of the public are invited to attend. A comment period will be held on August 27 following the introductions from 9:00–9:30 a.m. All meetings are open to the public.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 15-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in the BLM Idaho Falls District (IFD), which covers eastern Idaho.

Items on the agenda include an overview of the Morgan Bridge Acquisition Proposal, the Pocatello Curlew Deep Creeks Travel Management Plan, the Gateway West Transmission Line Project, and the Phosphate Mining Program.

The Recreation RAC will convene at approximately 9:30 a.m. on August 27 to discuss the proposed Birch Creek Reservation Fee for the Upper Snake Field Office.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Each formal Council meeting will also have time allocated for hearing public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need special assistance, such as sign language interpretation, tour transportation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact the BLM as provided below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sarah Wheeler, RAC Coordinator, Idaho Falls District, 1405 Hollipark Dr., Idaho Falls, ID 83401. Telephone: (208) 524–7550. Email: sawheeler@blm.gov.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

Joe Kraayenhbrink,
Idaho Falls District Manager.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of a Final Environmental Impact Statement for the General Management Plan, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, Texas.

**DATES:** The NPS will execute a Record of Decision no sooner than 30 days following publication in the Federal Register by the Environmental Protection Agency of the Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

**ADDRESSES:** Information will be available for public inspection online at http://parkplanning.nps.gov/gumo. In the office of the Superintendent, Dennis A. Vásquez, at the Park Headquarters/ Pine Springs Visitor Center: 400 Pine Canyon Drive, Salt Flat, TX 79847–4755; telephone (915) 828–3251 ext. 2100.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dennis A. Vásquez, Superintendent, Guadalupe Mountains National Park, HC 60, Box 400, Salt Flat, TX 79847–9400; email address GUMO_Superintendent@nps.gov; telephone (915) 828–3251 ext. 2100.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The document describes four management alternatives including a no-action alternative and the NPS preferred alternative. The anticipated environmental impacts of those alternatives are analyzed. The final document also includes responses to substantive comments from the public, from traditionally associated American Indian tribes, and from government agencies.

The no-action alternative would extend existing conditions and management trends into the future. This alternative serves as a basis of comparison for evaluating the action alternatives. The preferred alternative would emphasize wilderness values and the restoration of ecosystem processes while expanding some opportunities for visitors to enjoy easier access to park settings than currently exist. Enhanced interpretation would include expansion of visitor facilities and services in the Pine Springs visitor center. New administration facilities and a campground would be constructed, and improved facilities and activities would be provided at other sites throughout the park. Alternative B would promote wilderness values and restoration of natural ecosystem processes. Campsites and horse corrals would be closed and their sites revegetated. The limited amount of new construction would primarily support resource protection.

Improvements in interpretation would be less extensive than in the preferred alternative. Alternative C would expand opportunities for visitors to enjoy a wider range of park settings. New park access and facility improvements would provide activities, interpretation, and visitor gateways to the interior of the park from the south and west, recreation opportunities for more diverse visitor groups, and improved administrative facilities.


John Wessels,
Regional Director, Intermountain Region,
National Park Service.

**Editorial Note:** This document was received at the Office of the Federal Register on June 11, 2013.

**BILLING CODE 4312–CB–P**
during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 20, 2013, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain consumer electronics with display and processing capabilities by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 2, 3, 5–8, and 25–31 of the ‘518 patent; claims 1, 3, 4, and 6–10 of the ‘158; and claim 1 of the ‘881 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337; and

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which notice of investigation shall be served:


(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served: Panasonic Corporation, 1006 Oaza, Kadoma-shi, Kadoma 571–8501, Osaka, Japan.

Panasonic Corporation of North America, 1 Panasonic Way, Secaucus, NJ 07094.

Toshiba Corporation, 1–1, Shibaura 1 Chome, Minato-Ku, Tokyo 105–8001, Japan.


Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., 9740 Irvine Boulevard, Irvine, CA 92618.

Vizio, Inc., 39 Tesla, Irvine, CA 92618.

AmTran Logistics, Inc., 9 Goddard Central Expressway #323, Richardson, TX 75080.

ZTE Corporation, ZTE Plaza, No. 55, Hi-Tech Road South, Hi-Tech Industrial Park, Shenzhen 518057, Guangdong, China.

ZTE (USA) Inc. (registered agent), 33 Wood Avenue South, Floor 2, Iselin, NJ 08830, and 2425 N. Central Expressway #323, Richardson, TX 75080.

The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 19 CFR 210.10 (2012).

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) Pursuant to subsection (c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.15(e) and 201.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appearance and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: June 20, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,
Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–15103 Filed 6–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under Clean Air Act


In this action the United States seeks, among other things, injunctive relief and civil penalties for the importation by American Honda Motor Company, Inc. (“AHM”) of uncertified small nonroad gasoline engines in violation of Section 203(a) of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 7522(a). The engines were uncertified because they did not conform to the certificates of conformity issued to cover their importation and sale in the United States, in that they lacked mufflers or air intake boxes. The proposed Consent Decree provides for AHM to pay a $580,000 civil penalty and to address the environmental harm by retiring 55 tons of pollution credits.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States v. American Honda Motor Company, Inc., D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–2–1–10148. All comments must be submitted no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:

By email .................... pubcommentees. enrd@usdoj.gov

By mail .................... Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Wash-

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined...